

## EVALUATION OF EXCESSIVE LIFETIME CANCER RISK AND ANNUAL GONADAL EQUIVALENT DOSE DUE TO NATURAL RADIOACTIVITY IN VERMICELLI

SHATHA F. ALHOUS<sup>1\*</sup>, ZAHRA MOUSA HAMZA, SHAYMAA AWAD KADHIM<sup>3</sup> AND NAEEMA HADI ALI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>AL- Furat Al- Awssat Technical University 31003, Kufa Technical Institute, Iraq

<sup>3,4</sup>Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Kufa, Iraq

(Received 22 April, 2021; accepted 2 June, 2021)

### ABSTRACT

Vermicelli is one of the common foods made from grains and it is a type of pasta and is characterized by ease of preparation. In the current study, the concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K Bq/kg was estimated in fourteen different types of vermicelli samples selected from markets in Iraq. Sodium Iodide NaI (TI) detector was used to measure the concentration of these radionuclides. The concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K Bq.kg<sup>-1</sup> were estimated to be 1.119, 0.051, 0.386 and 8.524. On the other hand, the average value of Ra<sub>eq</sub> was 2.328 ± 0.184 Bq.kg<sup>-1</sup>. Radiation hazard index of RLI, I<sub>d</sub>, I<sub>c</sub>, AUI, H<sub>ex</sub> and H<sub>in</sub> have been calculated, and depends on the permission limits recommended by UNSCER is lower than the unity. Radiation doses D<sub>R</sub>, H<sub>E</sub>, AEDE<sub>in</sub>, AEDE<sub>out</sub>, AGED and AID, all these values were universally accepted. The risk of cancer ELCR were also estimated to be lower than the values allowed worldly. All data were statistically treated to find the correlation between them and calculate the p-value for all variables, the Pearson factor for comparison between most of the radiation parameters was of a strong positive and statistically significant relationship except (<sup>226</sup>Ra with <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K) was weak and statistically insignificant. All results were less than the permissible limits assessed by UNSCER.

**KEY WORDS :** Radiation hazard indices, Excessive Lifetime Cancer Risk, Annual gonadal equivalent, Vermicelli.

### INTRODUCTION

In fact, the natural radiation comes from many sources, where the sources of natural radioactivity are divided into three main types: cosmic rays, radionuclides generated as a result of cosmic rays and radionuclides of terrestrial origin (Reedy *et al.*, 1983). Cosmic rays and the earth's atmosphere are included for example Tritium, Beryllium-7 and Carbon-14. In addition, the radionuclides generated as a result of cosmic rays come from the interaction between the radionuclide of cosmic and the earth atmosphere. On the other hand, terrestrial origin represents the dangerous part due to these radionuclide is touch with human (Watson *et al.*, 2005; Arndt *et al.*, 2013). Uranium -238 (<sup>238</sup>U),

Actinium-235 (<sup>235</sup>U) and Thorium- 232 (<sup>232</sup>Th) are the largest naturally occurring radioactive elements in the earth's crust, and the half-life of these elements is estimated at millions of years (Watson *et al.*, 2005). The radioactive materials present in nature differ according to the location, height above sea level, the nature of the soil, there was a large number of radionuclides, which has decayed with the passage of time (Eisenbud and Gesell, 1997). The radionuclides may emit either alpha or beta particles, may be taken into the body through ingestion or inhalation (Arndt *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, it is worth studying the radioactivity in commonly consumed foodstuffs and estimating the potential risk ratio in order to protect the health of the consumer vermicelli is traditional product was

chosen to evaluation of cancer risk and annual gonadal equivalent dose in it. In addition to being one of the types of pasta, vermicelli is considered one of the most important common foods manufactured from grains, due to its ease of preparation, long shelf life, storage and low cost, where a traditional product prepared from wheat flour (the fiber in it makes up to 3.4%). Vermicelli is classified into five basic classes, relative to the raw materials from which it is made and various other additives, also the nutritional value of vermicelli, as it contains per 100 g (9 g of protein, 78 mg of carbohydrates, 22 mg of Calcium, 92 mg of phosphorous and 2 mg of Iron) (Arya *et al.*, 2016). Due to the large consumption of this food item, many studies about foods have been conducted, where the radioactivity was studied and estimation of risk factors for radionuclides for some types of foods such as pasta and the other food (Hamza *et al.*, 2020; Ziqiang *et al.*, 1988). Therefore, it is necessary to study this food item and calculate some important transactions related directly and significantly to human health, as well as in order for a database of vermicelli material to be available. The aims of this study to measure the radionuclide of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  and then evaluation of excessive lifetime cancer risk and annual gonadal equivalent dose.

### Collection and Preparation of Sample

Fourteen vermicelli samples collected from the markets, some of them local and imported as shown in Table 1. Five hundred grams were taken from each sample, then they were ground into a powder and stored in special containers. The samples were

**Table 1.** Name of samples and its origin that were collected from the local markets

Origin	Name of sample	Code
Turkey	Cihan	VER <sub>01</sub>
Iran	NC	VER <sub>02</sub>
Iran	Mumayz	VER <sub>03</sub>
Turkey	Alkis	VER <sub>04</sub>
Iraq	Bararee	VER <sub>05</sub>
Italy	Divella	VER <sub>06</sub>
Iran	Zar	VER <sub>07</sub>
Iran	Tawtak	VER <sub>08</sub>
Thailand	Erawan	VER <sub>09</sub>
Kuwait	Number 1	VER <sub>10</sub>
Iran	Pirooz	VER <sub>11</sub>
Pakistan	Suayan	VER <sub>12</sub>
Italy	Durra	VER <sub>13</sub>
Kuwait	Number 2	VER <sub>14</sub>

kept in containers for 1 month to confirm the radioactive equilibrium between the series of Uranium and Thorium and their short-lived progenies (Aswood *et al.*, 2019). After that, the samples were ready for measurement. The time to get the spectrum was 18000 seconds also all samples were placed in the physics laboratory at the Faculty of Education, University of Kufa, to be ready for measurement.

### Gamma- Ray Detection System

Concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  (Bq/Kg) are measured using Sodium Iodide NaI (TI) detector. A lead shielding as the circulator which was 5 cm in thickness, 10 cm in inner diameter and 50 cm in high surrounded the detector to reduce the background radiation. Spectral data from the detector is accumulated and analyzed using computer software MAESTRO-32 model (A65- B32) provided by ORTEC. Standard sources,  $^{22}\text{Na}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{133}\text{Ba}$  and  $^{152}\text{Eu}$  from the IAEA, set no. 34 were used to calibrate energy and measured the absolute efficiency. The concentration of natural radionuclides calculated by equation (1) (Adhab and Alsabari, 2020; Ajay *et al.*, 2008).

$$A = \frac{N_{net}}{\epsilon \cdot I_{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot t} \pm \frac{\sqrt{N_{net}}}{\epsilon \cdot I_{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot t} \quad \dots (1)$$

where  $N_{net}$  represent the net count (area under the specified energy peak after back ground subtraction) in (c/s),  $\sqrt{N_{net}}$  is the random error in (c/s),  $\epsilon$  is the efficiency of the detector,  $I_{\gamma}$  is the transition probability of the emitted gamma ray,  $t$  is the time (18000 s) for spectrum collected, and  $m$  is the sample weight (Kg).

### Radium equivalent activity ( $Ra_{eq}$ )

This parameter is used to describe gamma output from different mixtures of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in substances. It was calculated using special equation depending on activity concentrations for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  respectively (Salman *et al.*, 2020; Hussain, 2009; Aswood *et al.*, 2019) depending on the equation (2).

$$Ra_{eq} = A_{Ra} + 1.43A_{Th} + 0.077A_{K} \quad \dots (2)$$

where,  $A_{Ra}$ ,  $A_{Th}$  and  $A_{K}$  are the activity of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , respectively.

### Gamma Radiation Representative level index (RLI)

To estimate the level of associated gamma

radioactivity for the three nuclides known as the representative level index, which given by the following expression (UNSCEAR, 1994):

$$RLI = \frac{1}{150} A_{Ra} + \frac{1}{100} A_{Th} + \frac{1}{1500} A_K \quad \dots (3)$$

### Representative Alpha index ( $I_\alpha$ )

Alpha index was calculated for the vermicelli samples were used the equation below (Ziqiang *et al.*, 1988):

$$I_\alpha = \frac{A_{Ra}}{200} \quad \dots (4)$$

Gamma activity concentration index  $I_C$

To estimate Gamma activity concentration index  $I_C$  (Bq/ Kg), the following equation was relied upon (Dallner, 2000):

$$I_c = \frac{A_{Ra}}{300} + \frac{A_{Th}}{200} + \frac{A_K}{3000} \quad \dots (5)$$

### Activity Utilization Index (AUI)

In order to calculate the dose rates from different groups of three radionuclides in vermicelli by applying the appropriate conversion the indices is estimated (AUI) which is given by the following expression (Kolo *et al.*, 2015):

$$AUI = f_{Ra} \frac{A_{Ra}}{50} + f_{Th} \frac{A_{Th}}{50} + f_K \frac{A_K}{500} \quad \dots (6)$$

where  $f_{Ra}$ ,  $f_{Th}$  and  $f_K$  are the fractional contribution to the total dose rate in air due to gamma radiation from the actual concentration of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , respectively (Ramasamy *et al.*, 2011).

### Internal and external radiation hazard indices ( $H_{in}$ , $H_{ex}$ )

The internal hazard index is used to control internal exposure to  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and its radioactive progeny in addition to external exposure to radon gas, dangerous for the respiratory system. They are calculated from activity concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  (Hussain *et al.*, 2010; Alhous *et al.*, 2020).

$$H_{in} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{185} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \quad \dots (7)$$

$$H_{ex} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{370} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \quad \dots (8)$$

### Effective dose equivalent ( $H_E$ )

It was defined the effective dose equivalent and estimated from equation below (McCollough and

Schueler, 2000).

$$H_E = W_T \times H_T \quad \dots (9)$$

Where  $W_T$  is the weighting coefficient per tissue T,  $H_T$  is the dose equivalent to tissue. To estimate the absorbed dose rate in air ( $D_R$ ) due to gamma-ray emissions from  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in ( $\text{nGy}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ), it was used in this equation (Chikasawa *et al.*, 2001).

$$DR = 0.427A_{Ra} + 0.662A_{Th} + 0.043A_K \quad \dots (10)$$

### The Annual Effective Dose Equivalent (AEDE)

The annual effective dose equivalent from indoor  $AEDE_{in}$  and outdoor  $AEDE_{out}$  exposure gamma radiation ( $\text{mSv}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), which can be calculated in this study, which is related with ( $D_R$ ) absorbed dose rate measured in  $\text{nGy}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  (UNSCEAR, 2000; Aswood *et al.*, 2017).

### Annual Gonadal Equivalent Dose (AGED) and Annual Ingestion Dose (AID)

The reproductive gland is important due to its high sensitivity to radiation; it assumed great importance in the UNSCEAR 2000. Therefore, should calculate the annual gonadal equivalent dose (AGED) due to the specific activities of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , in addition to the annual ingestion dose (AID) using the following formulas, respectively:

$$AGED = 3.09A_{Ra} + 4.18A_{Th} + 0.314A_K \quad \dots (11)$$

$$AID = A + C + FDC_{ING} \quad \dots (12)$$

where, A is the average of activity concentration ( $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , while the C is the consumption rate ( $3.3\text{ kg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ) and  $FDC_{ING}$  is the ingestion dose coefficient of the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  which was 0.28, 0.23 and 0.0062 in ( $\mu\text{Sv}\cdot\text{Bq}^{-1}$ ) respectively (UNSCEAR, 2000; Aswood *et al.*, 2017).

### Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR)

Excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) is calculated using the following equation (Hamza *et al.*, 2020; El-Arabi *et al.*, 2001):

$$ELCR = AID \times DL \times RF \quad \dots (13)$$

where, DL and RF are life expectancy (70 years) and risk factor ( $0.055\text{ Sv}^{-1}$ ) respectively.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis (Pearson's correlation analysis) to find out the interrelation among the parameters obtained from natural radionuclides and the p-value whether it is statistically significant or not,

depending on 0.05. In this work main statistical software 'Statistical program for the Science (SPSS by windows system, standard version 20.0) was used for statistical analysis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the natural radioactivity of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in vermicelli samples have been evaluated by using NaI (TI) detector technique. Table 2 represents the concentrations (Bq/Kg) of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , in addition to the radium equivalent activity ( $\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$ ) in the vermicelli samples. The highest concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$  were in  $\text{VER}_{11}$  sample Pirooz (Iran)  $2.25\pm 0.15$ ,  $1.10\pm 0.01$ ,  $0.67\pm 0.05$  and  $3.66\pm 0.23$  respectively. Whilst, the lowest concentrations were  $0.40\pm 0.06$ ,  $0.02\pm 0.01$ ,  $0.18\pm 0.02$ , and  $1.37\pm 0.13$  respectively, in  $\text{VER}_{13}$  sample, Durra (Italy), with an averages value  $1.12\pm 1.10$ ,  $0.05\pm 0.03$ ,  $0.39\pm 0.03$ , and  $2.33\pm 0.18$ , respectively. On the other hand, the highest and lowest concentration of  $^{40}\text{K}$  (Bq/Kg) were  $12.31\pm 0.37$  and  $2.93\pm 0.18$  in samples  $\text{VER}_{10}$ , Number1 (Kuwait) and  $\text{VER}_{01}$ , Cihan (Turkish) respectively, with an average of  $(8.52\pm 0.30)$ . Depending on the internationally permissible limits, the concentrations of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$  are lower than the values reported by UNSCEAR, 2000.

Table 3, the concentration (ppm) of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  and radiation hazard index of vermicelli samples were estimated. The highest value for concentration were 0.18, 0.16, and 396.25 for samples  $\text{VER}_{11}$  (Iranian)  $\text{VER}_{04}$  (Turkey) and  $\text{VER}_{10}$

(Kuwait) respectively, while the lowest concentrations were 0.03, 0.04, 94.33 in the sample  $\text{VER}_{13}$  (Italy) and in sample  $\text{VER}_{01}$  (Turkey), with an averages of 0.09, 0.10, 274.49, respectively. On the other side, radiation hazard index of RLI,  $I_a$ ,  $I_c$ , AUI,  $H_{\text{in}}$  and  $H_{\text{ex}}$  were calculated. The results have been shown the averages of all the radiometric parameters of the studied samples in this study were 0.0170, 0.006, 0.009, 0.016, 0.010 and 0.006) for RLI,  $I_a$ ,  $I_c$ , AUI,  $H_{\text{in}}$  and  $H_{\text{ex}}$  respectively. In this work, the exemption dose criterion (0.3 mSv/y) corresponds to an activity concentration index  $I_c d'' 2$ , while the dose criterion of 1(mSv/y) is met for  $I_c d'' 6$ . The results have been noted that the average value of all radiation hazard index is less than the global value (Nordic, 2000) (Chikasawa *et al.*, 2001; El-Arabi *et al.*, 2001; WHO, 2001).

**Table 4** represents the results of radiological parameters for vermicelli samples. The average values of  $H_{\text{e}}$ , DR,  $\text{AEDE}_{\text{in}}$  and  $\text{AEDE}_{\text{out}}$ , ELCR, AGED, and AID were 1.56 mSv, 1.12nGy/h, 0.005mSv/y, 0.001mSv/y, 5.6E-03, 7.75  $\mu\text{Sv}/\text{y}$ , and 5.01E-04 mSv/y respectively. All the results of radiological parameters were less than the global permissible value. On the other hand, the average  $\times$  of ELCR was less than  $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  recommended by ICRP and WHO, (2011).

**Table 5** represents the ratio between  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$ . It is difficult to determine the concentration of  $^{235}\text{U}$ , (The natural abundance is low 0.72%) from natural Uranium. The possibility of alpha emission in Uranium- 235 is higher than Uranium- 238, so it is considered less

**Table 2.** Activity concentration (Bq/Kg) of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$

ID	Activity Concentration				$\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$
	$^{40}\text{K}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$	$^{235}\text{U}$	$^{226}\text{Ra}$	
$\text{VER}_{01}$	0.93±0.09	0.04±0.03	0.31±0.03	2.93±0.18	1.59±0.15
$\text{VER}_{02}$	0.65±0.08	0.03±0.06	0.27±0.03	4.41±0.22	1.37±0.14
$\text{VER}_{03}$	0.84±0.09	0.04±0.01	0.51±0.04	6.57±0.27	2.08±0.17
$\text{VER}_{04}$	1.08±0.11	0.05±0.04	0.67±0.05	9.77±0.33	2.80±0.20
$\text{VER}_{05}$	1.36±0.12	0.06±0.08	0.42±0.04	6.87±0.28	2.49±0.19
$\text{VER}_{06}$	1.22±0.11	0.06±0.01	0.37±0.03	11.05±0.36	2.60±0.19
$\text{VER}_{07}$	0.92±0.09	0.04±0.02	0.23±0.03	7.70±0.29	1.85±0.16
$\text{VER}_{08}$	0.52±0.07	0.02±0.03	0.43±0.04	8.20±0.30	1.76±0.15
$\text{VER}_{09}$	1.03±0.10	0.05±0.01	0.49±0.04	7.70±0.29	2.31±0.18
$\text{VER}_{10}$	1.88±0.14	0.09±0.06	0.33±0.03	12.31±0.37	3.30±0.22
$\text{VER}_{11}$	2.25±0.15	0.10±0.01	0.41±0.04	10.66±0.35	3.66±0.23
$\text{VER}_{12}$	1.09±0.10	0.05±0.02	0.37±0.03	9.18±0.32	2.32±0.18
$\text{VER}_{13}$	0.40±0.06	0.02±0.01	0.18±0.02	11.56±0.36	1.54±0.13
$\text{VER}_{14}$	1.51±0.12	0.07±0.04	0.43±0.04	10.44±0.34	2.93±0.21
Average	1.12±1.10	0.05±0.03	0.39±0.03	8.52±0.30	2.33±0.18

stable and therefore the percentage of its presence in nature is much less than 238, it will be explained through the study of ratios. Where found the ratio between Uranium 235 to Uranium 238, and it was 0.0461 for the studied samples, and it is considered high compared to the global ratio (WHO, 2001). The calculated average of the ratios  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$  were (3.04, 0.36, 0.15 and 0.05). The highest average was for ratio  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{232}\text{Th}$ . From 1.22 to 5.64 in samples (VER<sub>08</sub> and VER<sub>10</sub>) and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{226}\text{Ra}$  ratio ranges from 0.17 to 0.82 in samples (VER<sub>10</sub> and VER<sub>08</sub>) respectively. While, the ratio between  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$  were range from 0.04 to 0.32 and from (0.02 to 0.10) in samples (VER<sub>13</sub> and VER<sub>01</sub>), respectively.

### Pearson's correlation

Mutual relationships and the level of association that may exist among the calculated radiological variables were assessed through the calculation of Pearson's correlation coefficients and when applied to perform a statistical test a *p*-value helps us determine the significance of our results in relation to the null hypothesis. A *p*-value less than 0.05 are statistically significant. It indicates strong evidence against the null hypothesis, as there is less than a 5% probability the null is correct (and the results are random). The results of correlation matrix among the radiological variables for vermicelli samples are presented in Table 6. The results have shown a positive relationship between  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ . On the

**Table 3.** Elemental concentration (ppm) and radiation hazard indices of Vermicelli samples.

ID	Elemental concentration (ppm)				Radiation hazard indices < 1				
	$^{226}\text{Ra}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$	$^{40}\text{K}$	AUI	$I_a$	$I_c$	AUI	$H_{in}$	$H_{ex}$
VER <sub>01</sub>	0.08	0.08	94.33	0.011	0.005	0.006	0.013	0.007	0.004
VER <sub>02</sub>	0.05	0.07	141.86	0.010	0.003	0.005	0.010	0.006	0.003
VER <sub>03</sub>	0.07	0.13	211.68	0.015	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.008	0.005
VER <sub>04</sub>	0.09	0.17	314.55	0.020	0.005	0.010	0.019	0.010	0.007
VER <sub>05</sub>	0.11	0.10	221.33	0.018	0.007	0.009	0.018	0.010	0.006
VER <sub>06</sub>	0.10	0.09	355.77	0.019	0.006	0.010	0.017	0.010	0.007
VER <sub>07</sub>	0.07	0.06	248.07	0.014	0.005	0.007	0.012	0.008	0.005
VER <sub>08</sub>	0.04	0.10	264.04	0.013	0.003	0.007	0.011	0.006	0.004
VER <sub>09</sub>	0.08	0.12	248.07	0.017	0.005	0.008	0.016	0.009	0.006
VER <sub>10</sub>	0.15	0.08	396.25	0.024	0.009	0.012	0.022	0.014	0.008
VER <sub>11</sub>	0.18	0.10	343.14	0.026	0.011	0.013	0.027	0.016	0.009
VER <sub>12</sub>	0.09	0.09	295.61	0.017	0.006	0.009	0.015	0.009	0.006
VER <sub>13</sub>	0.03	0.04	372.11	0.012	0.002	0.006	0.007	0.005	0.004
VER <sub>14</sub>	0.12	0.10	336.09	0.021	0.008	0.011	0.020	0.012	0.007
Average	0.09	0.10	274.49	0.017	0.006	0.009	0.016	0.010	0.006

**Table 4.** Radiological parameters of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$

ID	$H_E * 10^{-3}$ (mSv)	DR (nGy/h)	AEDE <sub>in</sub> (mSv/y)	AEDE <sub>out</sub> (mSv/y)	ELCR	AGED* $10^{-3}$ ( $\mu\text{Sv}/\text{y}$ )	AID (mSv/y)
VER <sub>01</sub>	1.02	0.74	0.004	0.001	1.3E-03	5.08	3.84E-04
VER <sub>02</sub>	0.90	0.65	0.003	0.001	3.4E-03	4.51	2.97E-04
VER <sub>03</sub>	1.36	0.98	0.005	0.001	5.0E-03	6.79	4.32E-04
VER <sub>04</sub>	1.84	1.32	0.007	0.002	6.6E-03	9.22	5.70E-04
VER <sub>05</sub>	1.62	1.18	0.006	0.001	6.6E-03	8.11	5.72E-04
VER <sub>06</sub>	1.76	1.25	0.006	0.002	6.3E-03	8.79	5.45E-04
VER <sub>07</sub>	1.25	0.89	0.004	0.001	4.6E-03	6.23	3.95E-04
VER <sub>08</sub>	1.19	0.85	0.004	0.001	3.7E-03	5.96	3.24E-04
VER <sub>09</sub>	1.51	1.10	0.005	0.001	5.7E-03	7.62	4.92E-04
VER <sub>10</sub>	2.21	1.59	0.008	0.002	8.6E-03	11.05	7.46E-04
VER <sub>11</sub>	2.40	1.74	0.009	0.002	1.0E-02	12.02	8.69E-04
VER <sub>12</sub>	1.56	1.12	0.005	0.001	5.7E-03	7.79	4.92E-04
VER <sub>13</sub>	1.12	0.78	0.004	0.001	2.9E-03	5.61	2.47E-04
VER <sub>14</sub>	1.95	1.40	0.007	0.002	7.5E-03	9.74	6.46E-04
Average	1.56	1.12	0.005	0.001	5.6E-03	7.75	5.01E-04

**Table 5.** The ratio between  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in the vermicelli samples

ID	$^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{232}\text{Th}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{226}\text{Ra}$	$^{226}\text{Ra}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$ - $^{40}\text{K}$
VER <sub>01</sub>	3.05	0.33	0.32	0.10
VER <sub>02</sub>	2.39	0.42	0.15	0.06
VER <sub>03</sub>	1.63	0.61	0.13	0.08
VER <sub>04</sub>	1.61	0.62	0.11	0.07
VER <sub>05</sub>	3.21	0.31	0.20	0.06
VER <sub>06</sub>	3.28	0.31	0.11	0.03
VER <sub>07</sub>	3.99	0.25	0.12	0.03
VER <sub>08</sub>	1.22	0.82	0.06	0.05
VER <sub>09</sub>	2.12	0.47	0.13	0.06
VER <sub>10</sub>	5.64	0.18	0.15	0.03
VER <sub>11</sub>	5.41	0.18	0.21	0.04
VER <sub>12</sub>	2.97	0.34	0.12	0.04
VER <sub>13</sub>	2.29	0.44	0.04	0.02
VER <sub>14</sub>	3.55	0.28	0.15	0.04
Max.	5.64	0.82	0.32	0.10
Min.	1.22	0.18	0.04	0.02
Ave.	3.04	0.36	0.15	0.05
World-wide[29]	0.86	11.43	-	-

other hand, the correlation matrix among the radiological variables for vermicelli noted that the Pearson coefficient for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with  $^{232}\text{Th}$  is poorly correlated and not statistically significant despite of relationship is expected owing to the fact that radium and thorium decay series have an existence in nature (Ravisankar *et al.*, 2014), whilst, the  $^{40}\text{K}$  Moderate association and also not statistically significant. As for the relationship of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  to each of  $D_R$ ,  $R_{aeq}$ ,  $H_{ex}$ ,  $H_{in}$ , RLI, AUI,  $I_C$ , AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR. They have a strong correlation, where the Pearson value is close to one and is statistically significant, while with  $I_a$  Perfect positive association statically.

Pearson coefficient for  $^{232}\text{Th}$  with  $^{40}\text{K}$  is very weak and it is not statistically significant while with ( $DR$ ,  $R_{aeq}$ ,  $H_{ex}$ , RLI,  $H_{in}$ , AGED,  $H_E$  and  $I_C$ ) Moderate association and not statistically significant while with ( $H_{in}$ ,  $I_a$ , AID and ELCR) weak association is not statistically significant. Also from the results have been observed the relationship of  $^{40}\text{K}$  with ( $D_R$ ,  $R_{aeq}$ ,  $H_{ex}$ , RLI,  $I_C$  and  $H_E$ ) Strong and statistical relationship and with ( $H_{in}$ , AUI,  $I_a$ , AID and ELCR) Moderate association and not statistically significant. DR has a very strong and statistical positive correlation with ( $R_{aeq}$ ,  $H_{in}$ ,  $H_{ex}$ , AUI,  $I_a$ , AID and ELCR). They has completed and statistical positive correlation with (RLI,  $I_C$ , AGED and  $H_E$ ).  $R_{aeq}$  has a very strong and statistical positive correlation with  $H_{in}$ , AUI,  $I_a$ , RLI,  $I_C$ , AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR,

while, the perfect positive association with  $H_{ex}$  also statistically significant  $H_{in}$ . It must also be noted that the internal relationship is a very strong and statistically important correlation ( $H_{ex}$ , RLI, AUI,  $I_C$ ,  $I_a$ , AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR).  $H_{ex}$  must also be noted that the internal relationship is a very strong and statistically important correlation (RLI, AUI,  $I_C$ ,  $I_a$ , AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR). Correlation of RLI is very strong and statistically significant with (AUI,  $I_a$ , AID and ELCR) while, it has a complete and statistical positive correlation with ( $I_C$ , AGED and  $H_E$ ). AUI must also be noted that the internal relationship is a very strong and statistically important correlation ( $I_C$ ,  $I_a$ , AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR).  $I_C$  Correlation is very strong and statistically significant with ( $I_a$ , AID and ELCR) while with (AGED and  $H_E$ ) is perfect positive association.  $I_a$  with (AGED,  $H_E$ , AID and ELCR) is very strong and statistically significant. AGED has a complete and statistical positive correlation with ( $H_E$ ) and very strong and statistically significant with (AID and ELCR). In the end, it must be noted ( $H_E$ ), it has a very strong statistical relationship with (AID and ELCR). While the relation between AID and ELCR is perfect positive association.

## CONCLUSION

In the current study, the samples reveal low values for radionuclide concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$ , thus contributing to the low absorbed dose rates in the air. In general, the calculated radionuclides in terrestrial source have given lower concentrations than in the recent UNSCEAR 2008 report. The average values of AGED and AIG, ELCR are less than the world average value recommended 0.29 mSv/y as mentioned in UNSCEAR 2000). Internal and external radiation hazard indices are less than the unity as they mentioned in UNSCEAR 2000. The obtained ratio  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  -  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  -  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  concentration ratio is low compared to global ratio of food except for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  -  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , it was above international values. Through the use of the statistical program for the science was used for statistical analysis (Pearson's Correlation) have been found, the strong relationship, depend of the results, the vermicelli samples were safe.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to apply warm thanks and praise to the University of Kufa / Iraq for the support and



facilities it provides to researchers. I apply my thanks to the staff of laboratory of the Physics department at the College of Education for Girls for their support.

### Ethical statement

This article does not contain any studies involving human participants performed by any of the authors.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Arndt, A., Barker Jørgensen, S.B., La Rowe, D.E., Middelburg, J.J., Pancost, R.D. and Regnier, P. 2013. Quantifying the degradation of organic matter in marine sediments. *A Rev. and Synth. Ear. Scie. Revi.* 123 : 53-86.
- Arya, S.S., Salve, A.R. and Chauhan, S. 2016. Peanuts as functional food: a review. *J. of Fo. Scie. and Techn.* 53 (1) : 31-41.
- Aswood, M.Sh., Al-Hamzawi, A.A. and Khadayeir, A.A. 2019. Natural radionuclides in six selected fish consumed in south Iraq and their committed effective doses. *SN Appl. Scie.* 1(1) : 1-5.
- Adhab, H.G. and Alsabari, E.K. 2020. Assessment excess lifetime cancer risk of soils samples in Maysan neighborhood adjacent to the middle Euphrates cancer center in Najaf/Iraq, *Conf. Seri. Mater. Scie. and Engi.* 928 (7) : 072100.
- Ajay, K., Singhal, R.K., Preetha, J., Rupali, K., Narayanan, U., Sughandhi Suresh, Manish K. Mishra, and Ranade, A.K. 2008. Impact of tropical ecosystem on the migrational behavior of K-40, Cs-137, Th-232 U-238 in perennial plants. *Water Air. and Soil Pollu.* 192 (1) : 293-302.
- Alaboodi, A.S., Hassan, A.M. and Muhmood, A.A. 2019. Study the Health risk of Radioisotopes in different samples of salt in markets of Iraq. *J. of Phy. Conf. Ser.* 1279 (1) : 012032.
- Aswood, M. Sh., Abojassim, A.A. and Al Musawi, M.S.A. 2019. Natural radioactivity measurements of frozen red meat samples consumed in Iraq. *Radia. Detec. Techn. and Meth.* 3(4) : 1-4.
- Alhous, S.F., Kadhim, Sh. A., Alkufi, A.A. and Kadhim, B.A. 2020. Measuring the level of Radioactive contamination of selected samples of Sugar and Salt available in the local markets in Najaf governorate/Iraq, In: *IOP Conference Series: Mater. Scie. and Engin.* 928 (7) : 072097.
- Aswood, M.S., Jaafar, M.S. and Salih, N. 2017. Estimation of annual effective dose due to natural radioactivity in ingestion of vegetables from Cameron Highlands, Malaysia. *Environmental Technology & Innovation.* 8 : 96-102.
- Chikasawa, K., Ishii, T. and Sugiyama, H. 2001. Terrestrial gamma radiation in Kochi prefecture, Japan. *J. of Hea. Scie.* 47 (4) : 362-372.
- Dallner, M. 2000. Validation of the General Nordic Questionnaire (QPSNordic) for psychological and social factors at work.: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Davoudian, T. A. H., Mahmodi, F., Aghaei, H., Jodaki, L. and Ganji, D. 2016. Evaluation the effect of individual and demographic factors on awareness, attitude and performance of radiographers regarding principles of radiation protection. *Al Am een. J. Med. Scie.* 9 (2) : 90-95.
- Eisenbud, M. and Gesell, T.F. 1997. Environmental radioactivity from natural, industrial and military sources: from natural, industrial and military sources. Elsevier.
- El-Arabi, A., Ahmed, N. and El-Kamel, A. 2001. Gamma spectroscopic analysis of powdered granite samples in some Eastern desert's areas. *Ar. J. of Nucl. Scie. and Appli.* 34 (2) : 245-255.
- Hamza, Z. M., Alshebly, Sh. A. and Hussain, H.H. 2020. A practical study to determine the percentage of radiation in medicinal herbs used in the Iraqi market. *In J. of Phy. Confer. Seri.* 1591 (1) : 012007.
- Hussain, H.H. 2009. Measurement of the Absorbed Dose and Elemental Concentration of 40k In Building Material In The Middle Euphrates of Iraq. *Al-Mustan. J. of Scie.* 20 (3) : 66-74.
- Hussain, H., Hussain, R., Yousef, R. and Shamkhi, Q. 2010. Natural radioactivity of some local building materials in the middle Euphrates of Iraq, *J. of Radioan. and Nucl. Chemi.* 284 (1) : 43-47.
- Kolo, M.T., Tikpangi, M., Abdul Aziz, S.A.B., Khandaker, M.U., Asaduzzaman, K. and Amin, Y.M. 2015. Evaluation of radiological risks due to natural radioactivity around Lynas Advanced Material Plant environment, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia. *Envir. Scie. and Pollu. Res.* 22(17) : 13127-13136.
- McCollough, C.H. and Schueler, B.A. 2000. Calculation of effective dose. *Medi. Phy.* 27 (5) : 828-837.
- Reedy, R.C., Arnold, J.R. and Lal, D. 1983. Cosmic-ray record in solar system matter. *Scie.* 219 (4581) : 127-135.
- Ramasamy, V., Suresh, G., Meenakshisundaram, V. and Ponnusamy, V. 2011. Horizontal and vertical characterization of radionuclides and minerals in river sediments. *Appl. Radia. and Isoto.* 69 (1) : 184-195.
- Reedy, R.C., Arnold, J.R. and Lal, D. 1983. Cosmic-ray record in solar system matter. *Scie.* 219 (4581) : 127-135.
- Ramasamy, V., Suresh, G., Meenakshisundaram, V. and Ponnusamy, V. 2011. Horizontal and vertical characterization of radionuclides and minerals in



- river sediments. *Appl. Radia. and Isoto.* 69 (1) : 184-195.
- Salman, A.Y., Kadhim, Sh. A., Alaboodi, A.S. and Alhous, Sh. F. 2020. Study the contamination of Radioactivity levels of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in (water) Iraq and their potential radiological risk to human population. *Confe. Ser. Mater. Sci. and Engine.* 928 (7) : 072008.
- UNSCEAR, 1994. Radiation Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. 1994 report to the General Assembly, with scientific annexes.
- UNSCEAR, 2000. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. United Nations New York.
- Watson, S.J., Jones, A.L., Oatway, W.B. and Hughes, J.S. 2005. Review of the radiation exposure of the UK population. *CARDIFF.* 426.
- WHO. World Health Organization, 2001. Depleted uranium: sources, exposure and health effects. World Health Organization.
- WHO, World Health Organization, 2011. The International Food Safety Authorities Network (INFOSAN) progress report 2004-2010.
- Ravisankar, R., Vanasundari, K., Suganya, M., Raghu, Y., Rajalakshmi, A., Chandrasekaran, A. and Venkatraman, B. 2014. Multivariate statistical analysis of radiological data of building materials used in Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu, India. *App. Rad. and Isot.* 85 : 114-127.
- Salman, A.Y. , Kadhim, Sh. A., Alaboodi, A.S. and Alhous, Sh. F. 2020. Study the contamination of Radioactivity levels of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in (water) Iraq and their potential radiological risk to human population. *Confe. Ser. Mater. Sci. and Engine.* 928 (7) : 072008.
- UNSCEAR, 1994. Radiation Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. 1994 report to the General Assembly, with scientific annexes.
- UNSCEAR, 2000. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. United Nations New York.
- Watson, S.J., Jones, A.L., Oatway, W.B. and Hughes, J.S. 2005. Review of the radiation exposure of the UK population. *CARDIFF.* 426.
- WHO. World Health Organization, 2001. Depleted uranium: sources, exposure and health effects. World Health Organization.
- WHO, World Health Organization, 2011. The International Food Safety Authorities Network (INFOSAN) progress report 2004-2010.
- Ziqiang, P., Yin, Y. and Mingqiang, G. 1988. Natural radiation and radioactivity in China. *Radia. Prote. Dosi.* 24(1-4) : 29-38.
-