Eco. Env. & Cons. 28 (December Suppl. Issue) : 2022; pp. (S207-S216) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2022.v28i08s.032

A survey on avifauna diversity in some selected localities in and around Madurai city

Thangavel Rajagopal^{1*0}, Karuppaiya Sonaimuthu^{1,20}, Subbiah Selvarani¹, Ponnirul Ponmanickam³ and Mahadevan Sekar⁴

 ¹Department of Zoology, Thiagarajar College (Autonomous), Madurai 625 009, Tamil Nadu, India
 ²National Centre of Excellence (MHRD), Thiagarajar College (Autonomous), Madurai 625 009, Tamil Nadu, India
 ³Department of Zoology, Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College (Autonomous), Sivakasi 626 124, Tamil Nadu, India
 ⁴Arignar Anna Zoological Park, Vandalur, Chennai 620 048, Tamil Nadu, India

(Received 3 July, 2022; Accepted 7 September, 2022)

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to survey the avifaunal diversity in some selected localities in and around Madurai City, Tamil Nadu during June 2021 to April 2022. Birds were surveyed using short-strip transects counts (SSCT) method. A total of 99 species of birds belonging to 44 families and 86 genera have been recorded in Madurai city, of which 78 species are resident, 14 species are migrant and 7 species are local migrants. The Ardeidae were the most prominent family with 11 species, and their relative diversity index was the highest (RDi = 11.11). Carnivores' bird community was found to be dominant among foraging pattern. According to their frequency of occurrence, 57 species (57.57%) were very common, 30 (30.30%) common and 12 (12.12%) rare. IUCN threat categories classify four species as "Near Threatened" and 95 species as "Least Concern". The present study reveals the highest birds species diversity (Shannon's), richness (Margalef's), and evenness (Pielou's) indices were found in the Madakulam area when compared to that of other study areas. Based on the occurrence of bird species in ten different areas, we can conclude that the diversity and richness of bird species increases with increased vegetation areas and decrease with greater human disturbances. Additionally, researchers have reported that anthropogenic disruptions will reduce the diversity of bird species, as well as other issues such as habitat loss, overhunting, structural collisions, pollution, climate change etc.

Key words : Madurai city, Birds diversity, Short-strip transects counts method, Shannon's index, Conservation

Introduction

India is home to many of the world's most biodiversity ecosystems, including deserts, high mountains, highlands, tropical and temperate forests, swamplands, plains, grasslands, riverine habitats, and the Island Archipelago (National bird of India, 2007). The avifauna of India comprises 1369 species, 83 of which are endemic, 2 of which are extinct, 212 of which are critically endangered, and one new species (*Bugun liocichla*) was discovered in 2006 in Arunachal Pradesh (Puri, 2007; Ranjit and Asif, 2020; Denis Lepage, 2022). The World Watch Institute reports that 1,200 species will go extinct in

©These authours contributed equally to this wark

the next century and also declining bird populations in numerous parts of the world (Gill, 1995). There are several factors that contribute to the loss of bird species diversity, including habitat loss, overhunting, urbanization, industrialization, pollution, inter-intra animal competition, predation, oil spills in the ocean, and pesticide use (Moore *et al.*, 2008). In this context, it is imperative to amend legislation, preserve habitats, and reintroduce birds into captivity, in collaboration with government and non-government organizations, to protect birds and their habitats.

Most habitats on earth are inhabited by birds and they perform a wide variety of roles around the world, including (a) dispersing seeds for different plants (Pejchara *et al.*, 2008), (b) bird droppings (guano) increase the nutrient content of different water bodies (Boros *et al.*, 2021), (c) they act as sanitation workers by picking up dead animals and eating their remains (Holmberg, 2021), (d) keeping pest populations under control by consuming large numbers of insects (Garcia *et al.*, 2020), (e) are good indicators of the productivity, stability, and quality of agro-ecosystems (Egwumah *et al.*, 2017) and (f) birds and their eggs have been the source of food for humans since their origins (Møller and Xia, 2020) and so on.

Madurai is one of the most popular pilgrimage destinations in Tamil Nadu and also it is one of the oldest continuously inhabited cities in India. There has been little research done on the birds of the 'fort' of Madurai city as well as the Madurai district to date. The Avifauna of Madura District was first documented by Nichols in three parts: Part I (Nichols, 1944a), Part II (Nichols, 1994b), and Part III (Nichols, 1945). At various times, Dr. Sathasivam has published notes on certain bird species in Madurai, including House sparrow (Sathasivam, 1991), Alpine swifts (Sathasivam, 1992), Pitta mystery (Sathasivam, 1995), Shikras (Sathasivam, 1996) and Glossy ibis (Narayanan and Sathasivam, 2002). In addition, he provided a checklist of birds in Madurai City in 2015 (Sathasivam, 2015). Further, Information on the diversity of birds and their compositions is sparse in and around Madurai city. As bird species diversity, richness, and community composition are frequently used by ecologists to assess the diversity of bird species in the concern ecosystem, therefore, this study aims to assess the bird community structure and diversity at selected habitats in and around Madurai city.

Materials and Methods

Study area

A bird's species survey was conducted in and around Madurai City (9.93°N, 78.12°E) in a some selected localities. Madurai, one of South India's great temple cities, is known for the famous Meenakshi Temple, which is located on the banks of the Vaigai river. Further, Madurai has a rich cultural heritage dating back more than 2500 years, and it was formerly the capital city of the ancient Pandya kings. The Madurai city is altitude at a height of 101 meters or 330 feet above sea level. The average yearly rainfall is roughly 840 mm. The annual average temperature is 28.8 °C. Humidity varies from season to seasonal. A preliminary survey of bird species was undertaken in the ten sites listed below:

- 1. Mandela Nagar (rural agro-based habitats it covers pond, wetland and agricultural area),
- 2. Thirupparankundram (sub-urban agro-based habitats it covers lake and agricultural areas),
- 3. Vaigai River (urban based river bank it covers from Arapalyam to Sivakangai ring road),
- 4. Periyar Residential Area (urban habitats),
- 5. Azhagar Kovil (rural agro-based habitats it covers bottom of hills and agricultural area),
- 6. Madakkulam (rural agro-based habitats it covers lake and agricultural areas),
- 7. Palanganatham Residential Area (urban habitats),
- 8. Atchampathu (sub-urban agro-based habitats covers residential and agricultural areas),
- 9. Avaniyapuram (sub-urban agro-based habitats it covers lake and agricultural areas) and
- 10. Thiagarajar College Campus (urban habitats).

Data collection and analysis

The data on bird species diversity was collected during June 2021 to April 2022. Short-strip transects counts (SSTC) for continuous walk method was used to observe bird species diversity. The observers walked for 10 minutes continuously and documented the bird species they saw along the way. In the SSTC approach, an average distance of 50m was traversed every ten minutes. All birds within a 20meter band were recorded. The survey was done between the hours of 6.00 to 8.00 am, and 16.00 to 18.00 pm. The data from the birds was collected for four hours each day, four days per month.

RAJAGOPAL ET AL

The majority of the documentation was done through photography. When birds were seen, they were sighted using binoculars and identified on the spot with field guides (Ali 1980), and after confirmation of identification, the species were recorded in the data sheet. The checklist is prepared using the guidelines provided by Ali (1980, 2002). Bird's species occurrence was classified into three categories: Abundant (A), Common (C), and Rare (R). The species diversity of the bird was calculated using the following techniques.

1. Based on the following formula, we calculated relative diversity (RDi) of bird species families, resident status, frequency of occurrence, and feeding guild (Torre-Cuadros *et al.*, 2007):

 $RDi = \frac{No. \text{ of bird species in a family or feeding guide}}{Total number of species} \times 100$

2. Birds species diversity (Shannon's index), richness (Menhinick's index), and evenness (Pielou's index) indices were calculated using PAST statistical software (Hammer *et al.*, 2001).

Results

The avian diversity of the Madurai City is represented by a total of 99 species belonging to 44 families and 86 genera (Table 1). Of which 87 species were found in the Madakulam, 78 in Mandela nagar, 63 in Thirupparankundram, 56 in Avaniyapuram, 49 in Azhalagar kovil, 45 in Achampathu, 34 in Vaigai river, 25 in Thiagarajar college campus, 23 in Palanganatham and 17 in Periyar area (Table 2). Out of 98 species, 78 species (78.78%) were resident, 14 species (14.14%) were migrant and 7 species (7.07%) were local migrant in Madurai City. Of the total (99) recorded species, 57 (57.57%) were found to be common, 30 (30.30%) species were rare, 12 (12.12%) species were abundant. Ardeidae was the most diverse families (11 species each, RDi= 11.11), followed by Accipitridae and Cuculidae (5 species each, RDi= 5.05). On the other hand, 17 families namely, Acrocephalidae, Anhingidae, Charadriidae, Coraciidae, Dicruridae, Oriolidae, Pelicanidae, Phalacrocoracidae, Picidae, Podicipedidae, Psittaculidae, Recurvirostridae, Rostratulidae, Timaliidae, Tytonidae, Phoenicopteridae and Caprimulgidae were least represented (1 species each, RDi= 1.01). Eight foraging guilds were found in the study area, carnivores (36 species, 36.36%) were highly represented guilds, followed by omnivores (30.30%) whereas, piscivores and frugivores (each 1 species, 1.01%) was the least represented.

Of the 99 species recorded, four species (4.04%)were 'Near Threatened' and the rest (96 species, 96.96%) were 'Least Concern' according to the IUCN Red List. Madakulam had the highest species diversity, richness and evenness index (D; 0.60; R: 0.44; and E: 0.90), followed by Mandela nagar (D; 0.56; R: 0.37; and E: 0.87), Thirupparankundram (D; 0.50; R: 0.32; and E: 0.82) and Avaniyapuram (D; 0.42; R: 0.30; and E: 0.75). Whereas the lowest species diversity, richness and evenness index was found in Periyar (D; 0.16; R: 0.20; and E: 0.50), Palanganatham (D; 0.18; R: 0.22; and E: 0.57) and Thiagarajar college campus (D; 0.24; R: 0.25; and E: 0.60) (Table 1). It is noteworthy to observe that 10 bird species out of the 99 species of birds were present in almost all of the study sites such as Shikra (Accipiter badius), Asian koel (Eudynamys scolopacea), Greater coucal (Centropus sinensis), House crow (Corvus splendens), Jungle crow (Corvus macrorhynchos), Jungle babbler (Argya striata), Indian robin (Saxicoloides fulicata), Common myna (Acridodotheres tristis), Purple sunbird (Cinnyris asiaticus) Spotted owlet (Athene brama) Black drongo (Dicrorus macrocercus) and Rose-ringed parakeet (Psittacula krameri) (Table 1).

Discussion

Disturbance of ecosystems is one of the most important contemporary phenomena that affect bird species diversity and their habitats. The study of birds in the field has been greatly helped by improvements in ecosystem and they also significantly contribute to the economic values in human society (Møller and Xia, 2020). The present study's findings show that the studied areas' avifaunal diversity and abundance greatly vary. It was found that while species diversity was abundant in Madakulam (88 species) and Mandela nagar (79 species), which are rural agro-based environments; it was less abundant in the urban environments of Perivar (17 species), Palanganatham (23 species), and Thiagarajar college campus (25 species). It is interesting to note that urban study locations had the lowest bird species diversity when compared to rural agro-based study sites. This is probably due to the fact that anthropogenic activities are more common in urban than ru-

status
CON
II pui
lent å
resic
guild,
feeding
ition,
stribu
ir dis
th the
g wil
s alon
abitats
ous h
ı vari
ity ir
urai c
Madı
ls in
of Birc
List o
le 1.]
[dab]

		,)									
s.	Common and Scientific Name					Study	sites					Resident/	ΥĞ	РО	
No.		NW	ΤL	VR	PR	AK	MK	ΡN	AP	AL	IC	IUCN			
												Status			
	FAMILY: ARDEIDAE														
1	Large Egret, Ardea alba	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	U	U	
5	Median Egret, Ardea intermedia	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	U	U	
С	Grey Heron, Ardea cinerea	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	+	LM / LC	U	Ч	
4	Purple heron, Ardea purpurea	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT /LC	U	U	
ß	Indian Pond Heron, Ardeola grayii	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	U	A	
9	Cattle Egret, Bubulcus ibis	+	+	+	ı	+	+	+	+	+	ı	M / LC	U	A	
	Little Egret, <i>Egretta garzetta</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	ı	+	+	+	RT / LC	U	Ο	
8	Black-Crowned Night Heron, Nycticorax nycticorax	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	Ο	
6	Little Green Heron, Butorides striata	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	M / LC	U	Ч	
10	Yellow Bittern, Ixobrychus sinensis	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	Ч	
11	Black Bittern, Ixobrychus flavicollis	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	Ч	
	FAMILY: ACCIPITRIDAE														
12	Pariah Kite/ Black Kite, Milvus migrans	+	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	Ч	
13	Shikra, Accipiter badius	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	U	U	
14	Oriental Honey-Buzzard, Pernis ptilorhynchus	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	К	
15	Black-Winged Kite, Elanus caeruleus	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	LM / LC	U	Ч	
16	Short-toed Snake Eagle, Circaetus gallicus	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	Ч	
	FAMILY: COLUMBIDAE														
17	Mountain Imperial –Pigeon, Ducula badia	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	U	
18	Spotted Dove, Streptopelia chinensis	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	LM / LC	G	U	
19	Eurasian Collared-Dove, Streptopelia decaocto	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	G	К	
20	Blue –Rock Pigeon, Columba livia	ı	+	ı	+	ı	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	G	U	
	FAMILY: CUCULIDAE														
21	Asian Koel, Eudynamys scolopaceus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	Ч	
22	Greater Coucal, Centropus sinensis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	U	
23	Pied Crested Cuckoo, Clamator jacobinus	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	M / LC	0	U	
24	Indian Plaintive Cuckoo, Cacomantis merulinus	+	ı	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	M / LC	Π	A	
25	Common hawk cuckoo, Hierococcyx varius	+	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	RT / LC	0	U	
	FAMILY: RALLIDAE														
26	Common Coot, Fulica atra	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U	
27	Purple Moorhen, Porphyrio porphyrio	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U	
28	Watercock, Gallicrex cinerea	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U	
29	White Breasted Waterhen, Amaurornis phoenicurus	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U	
	FAMILY: ALCEDINIDAE														
30	White –Throated Kingfisher, Halcyon smyrnensis	+	+	+	ı	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	U	U	
31	Small Blue Kingfisher, Alcedo atthis	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	U	U	
32	Pied Kingfisher, Ceryle rudis	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	U	К	

ıtinued	
1. Con	
Table	

Tab	le 1. Continued													
s.	Common and Scientific Name				St	udy sit	es					Resident,	FG /	Ь
No.		NW	ΤΓ	VR	PR	ÅK	MK	ΡN	AP	AL	TC	IUCN Status		
	FAMILY: CISTICOLIDAE													
33	Jungle Prinia, <i>Prinia sylvatica</i>	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ľ	RT / LC	Ι	υ
34	Plain Prinia, Prinia inornata	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	ı	ľ	RT / LC	Ι	υ
35	Common tailorbird, Orthotomus sutorius	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ı	RT / LC	Ι	R
	FAMILY: CORVIDAE													
36	House Crow, Corvus splendens	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	A
37	Jungle Crow, Corvus macrorhynchos	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	A
38	Indian Treepie, Dendrocitia vagabunda	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	U
0	FAMILY: LEIUTHKICHIDAE												((
39	Indian Rufous Babbler, <i>Argya subrufa</i>	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	υ
40	Jungle Babbler, Argya striata	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	A
41	White Headed Babbler, Turdoides leucocephala	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	U
	FAMILY: MOTACILLIDAE													
42	Large Pied Wagtail, Motacilla maderaspatensis	+	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	Ι	U
43	Western Yellow Wagtail, Motacilla flava	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ŀ	M / LC	Ι	R
44	Paddyfield Pipit, Anthus rufulus	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ı	RT / LC	Ι	U
	FAMILY: MUSCICAPIDAE													
45	Oriental Magpie-Robin, Copsychus saularis	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	U	R
46	Indian Robin, Saxicoloides fulicata	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	U	A
47	Pied Bush Chat, Saxicola caprata	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	ı	ŀ	RT / LC	Ι	R
	FAMILY: PHASIANIDAE													
48	Indian Peafowl, Pavo cristatus	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	0	A
49	Grey Francolin, Francolinus pondicerianus	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ī	RT / LC	0	υ
50	Common Quail, Coturnix coturnix	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	R
	FAMILY: STURNIDAE													
51	Common Myna, Acridotheres tristis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	0	A
52	Brahminy Starling, Starnia pagodarum	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	+	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	υ
53	Rosy Starling, Pastor roseus	+	ı	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	M / LC	0	υ
	FAMILY: THRESKIORNITHIDAE													
54	Oriental White Ibis, Thereskiornis melanocephalus	+	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	+	RT / NT	U	υ
55	Black Ibis, <i>Psudibis papillosa</i>	+	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U
56	Glossy Ibis, Plegadis falcinellus	+	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	LM / LC	C C	R
	FAMILY: ANATIDAE													
57	Bar-headed Goose, Anser indicus	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	0	U
58	Spot-Billed Duck, Anas poecilorhyncha	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ŀ	RT / LC	0	U
	FAMILY: APODIDAE													
59	Asian Palm Swift, Cypsiurus balasiensis	+	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	Ι	υ

•
ted
tinı
0Ui
-
<u> </u>
[q]
Ta

Tabl	le 1. Continued													
s.	Common and Scientific Name					St	udy site	s				Resident/	Ę	Ю
No.		MN	TL	VR	PR	AK	MK	ΡN	AP	AL	TC	IUCN Status		
60	Indian Swiftlet, Aerodramus unicolor	+	+	+	1	+	+		1	+	1	RT / LC	Г	U
	FAMILY: CICONIIDAE	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	M / NT	U	U
61	Painted Stork, Mycteria leucocephala													
62	Asian Openbill-Stork, Anastomus oscitans	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	υ	υ
	FAMILY: ESTRILDIDAE													
63	Spotted Munia, Lonchura punctulata	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	RT / LC	υ	К
64	Indian silverbill, Euodice malabarica	ı	ī	ı	ı	ī	+	I	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	U	R
	FAMILY: HIRUNDINIDAE													
65	Red Rumped Swallow, cecropis daurica	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	LM / LC	Ι	U
99	Common Swallow, Hirundo rustica	+	ı	·	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	M / LC	Ι	U
	FAMILY: MEROPIDAE													
67	Blue Tailed Bee Eater, Nyctyornis athertoni	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	M / LC	Ι	U
68	Green Bee Eater, Merops orientalis	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	+	+	ı	RT / LC	Ι	U
	FAMILY: MONARCHIDAE													
69	Asian Brown Flycatcher, Muscicapa latirostris	ı	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	+	ı	M / LC	Ι	R
70	Asian Paradise-Flycatcher-Dark Morph,	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	+	+	+	ı	+	RT / LC	Ι	U
	Terpsiphone paradisi													
	FAMILY: NECTARINIIDAE													
71	Purple Sunbird, Cinnyris asiaticus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	Ζ	A
72	Purple-Rumped Sunbird, <i>Leptocoma zeylonica</i> FAMILY: PASSERIDAE	+	I	ı	ı	+	+	+	+	I	+	RT / LC	Ζ	A
73	House Sparrow. Passer domesticus	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	Я
74	Yellow –Throated Sparrow, Petronia xanthocollis	ı	ı	· I	ı	ı	+	ı	1	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	Ч
	FAMILY: PYCNONOTIDAE													
75	Red –Vented Bulbul, Pyenonotus cafer	ı	ı	ı	+	+	+	+	+	ı	+	RT / LC	0	U
76	White Browed Bulbul, <i>Pycnonotus luteolus</i> EAMILY, RHIDINIBIDAE	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	RT / LC	0	К
													F	C
	White-Browed Fantail Flycatcher, <i>Khipidura aureola</i>	ı	+	+	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	KT / LC	- •	ن ، ا
78	White-Ihroated Fantail Flycatcher, <i>Khipidura albicollis</i> FAMILY: SCOLOPACIDAE	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	+	ı	ı	ı	I	RT / LC	-	X
79	Wood Sandpiper, Tringa glareola	+	+	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	RT / LC	U	U
80	Marsh Sandpiper, Tringa stagnatilis FAMILY: STRIGIDAE	+	I	+	ı	I.	I	ı	ı	+	I	RT / LC	C	U
81	Short-Eared Owl, Asio flammeus	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	+	ı	+	ı	ı	M / LC	υ	Я
82	Spotted Owlet, Athene brama	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	υ	U
	FAMILY: ACROCEPHALIDAE													
83	Clamorous Reed Warbler, Acrocephalus stentoreus FAMILY: ANHINGIDAE	+	+	ı	ı.	+	+	ı	ı	ı	I	RT /LC	Ι	U

s.	Common and Scientific Name				Stu	idy site	(0					Resident/	Ъ	Ю
No.		MN	ΤL	VR	PR	AK	MK	ΡN	AP	AL	TC	IUCN Status		
84	Oriental Darter, Anhinga melanogaster FAMILY: CHARADRIIDAE	+	+				+	ı		+	ı	LM / NT	U	U
85	Red-Wattled Lapwing, Vanellus indicus FAMILY: CORACIIDAE	+	+	+	ı	ī	+	ı	ī	ī	ı	RT / LC	0	C
86	Indian Roller, <i>Coracias benghalensis</i> FAMILY: DICRURIDAE	+	+	+	ı	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT/ LC	C	C
87	Black Drongo, Dicrurus macrocercus FAMILY: ORIOLIDAE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	I	C
88	Indian Golden Oriole, Oriolus kundoo FAMILY: PELECANIDAE	+	ī	ı	ı	ī	+	ı	ī	ī	ı	M / LC	0	К
89	Spot-billed Pelican, <i>Pelecanus philippensis</i> FAMITY: PHALACROCORACIDAF	+	+	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	+	ı	M / NT	Ъ	U
06	Little Cormorant, <i>Microcarbo nigar</i> FAMILY. PICIDAF	+	ī	ı	ı	ī	+	ı	ı	+	I	LM / LC	C	U
91	Lesser Golden Backed Wood Pecker,	ı	+	ı	+	+	+	+	+	ı	+	RT / LC	I, N	U
	Dinopium benghalense FAMILY: PODICIPEDIDAE													
92	Little Grebe, Tachybaptus ruficollis FAMILY: PSITTACULIDAE	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	I	RT / LC	U	C
93	Rose –Ringed Parakeet, Psittacula krameri FAMILY: RECURVIROSTRIDAF	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	RT / LC	ц	U
94	Black-Winged Stilt, <i>Himantopus himantopus</i> FAMILY: ROSTRATULIDAE	+	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	I.	RT / LC	U	U
95	Greater Painted-Snipe, Rostratula benghalensis FAMILY: TIMALIIDAE	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ī	ı	ı.	ı.	ı	RT / LC	0	К
96	Tawny –Bellied Babbler, <i>Dumetia hyperythra</i> FAMILY: TYTONIDAE	ı	ī	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	RT / LC	I, N	К
97	Barn Owl, Tyto alba FAMILY: PHOENICOPTERIDAE	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	ı	ı	ı	I	RT / LC	U	К
98	Greater Flamingo, <i>Phoenicopterus roseus</i> FAMILY: CAPRIMITI GIDAF	1 1		1 1	1 1	1 1	+ +	1 1	1 1	+ 1	1 1	M / LC RT / LC	υ⊢	2 2
66	Indian Nightjar, Caprimulgus asiaticus Total Number of Saccios	02	77	78	1	10	. 0	6 C	L L	1	ц С		1	
	DIVERSITY INDICES	<i>c</i> /	14	1°	77	47	0	C1	D 1	10	07			

Tab	le 1. Continued												
s.	Common and Scientific Name				St	udy sit	es					Resident/	FG FO
No.		MM	TL	VR	PR	AK	MK	N	AP	AL	IC	IUCN Status	
	Dominance Index	0.93	0.87	0.74	0.58	0.82	0.97	0.62	0.75	0.84	0.68		
	Shannon's (H) Index (Species Diversity)	0.56	0.50	0.29	0.16	0.40	0.60	0.18	0.39	0.42	0.24		
	Margalef's Index (Species Richness)	0.37	0.32	0.25	0.20	0.27	0.44	0.22	0.26	0.30	0.25		
	Pielou's Index (Species Evenness)	0.87	0.82	0.64	0.50	0.74	06.0	0.57	0.68	0.75	0.60		
Abb NT - TL - tial ,	 reviation: FO - Frequency of Occurrence, A - Ab Near Threatened, O - Omnivores, C - Carnivores Thirupparankundram Lake, VR - Vaigai River, J Area, AP - Atchampathu, AL - Avaniyapuram lab 	undant, C – Co , P – Piscivoree PR - Periyar R ke, TC - Thiag	ommon s, I – Ins esidenti arajar C	, R – Ra sectivore ial Area college C	re, RT – es, G – C , AK - A	- Reside Granivoi Azhagai FG - F	nt, M – tes, F – l Kovil, oraging	Migran Frugivol MK – N Guild	tt, LM - res, N - Aadakul	Local N Nectari am, PN	ligrant, vores.N	LC - Least IN - Mandel 1ganatham	Concern, la Nagar, Residen-
(a	s f s l n a g A n a N	t t ł r	r i (e ł	F F a] (I I a	k C	c 1	1	l r	וּ (נ	r r i

al environment. According to Sunil Kumar et al (1997), monoculture plantations and high evels of hudisturman pance lead to a ower number of ecological diversity. Simiarly, the numper of bird species in the Periyar, Palanganatham, n d 9 Thiagarajar College camouses was low, possibly due to a lack of vegetation and nigh levels of numan activ-Kunte ty. (1997) revealed that human interference was naving a significant impact on ecological diversity. The present study has also found that 78

The present study has also found that 78 species of birds were identified as resident, followed by 14 migrant species and 7 local migrant species. According to Mukhopadhyay a n d M a z u m d a r (2017), the availability of

Eco. Env. & Cons. 28 (December Suppl. Issue) : 2022

food and suitable habitat attracts both resident and migratory birds. Migratory birds are significant elements of wetlands, agricultural environments, and other habitats. Further, agro-environment provides several feeding habitats to the different bird species (Gokula and Anantha Raj, 2013). Sundar and Subramanya (2010) have reported that 351 bird species recorded in agro-ecosystem of India. It has been discovered that the habitat preferences of over 45% of the bird species on the Indian subcontinent are agricultural environments (Sundar and Subramanya, 2010; Yashmita-Ulman and Singh 2021). In the present study, 17 different migrating bird species were found in Madakulam, Mandela Nagar, Thirupparankundram, and Avaniyapuram. These birds travel between continents and nations. Therefore, the above study locations are recognized as great environment examples in local level.

The present study reveals that the Family Ardeidae is the most diverse family followed by Accipitridae and Cuculidae. However, several studies have also reported that the bird family Ardeidae is the most diverse avian family in different ecosystems throughout India (Dal and Vaghela, 2015; Mazumdar, 2017). This finding is consistent with the study of Vijayan et al (2006), Surana (2007), Zakaria et al (2009), Zakaria and Rajpar (2010), Ali et al (2011), Debnath et al (2018), Sathish et al (2020), Mahato et al. (2021) etc. With regard to IUCN threat status four species, namely, Oriental White Ibis, Thereskiornis melanocephalus, Painted Stork, Mycteria leucocephala, Oriental darter, Anhinga melanogaster, and Spot-billed pelican, Pelecanus philippensis are classified Near threatened (NT). Rajesh and his colleagues (2021) discovered recently 72 species in the Manjamalai Sacred Grove in the Madurai district fall under the IUCN category of Least Concern. According to BirdLife International (2010), there are 1,186 species of threatened bird's worldwide, and 123 species in India.

A diversity index is an effective tool for quantifying the number of different species present in a community and the distribution of individuals within each species (You *et al.*, 2009). The diversity Index was applied in the present study to investigate the diversity of bird species across the study areas. A diversity index reveals that the Madakulam, where there are 88 species of birds, has the highest diversity index values (richness and evenness), whereas Periyar, where there are only 17 species, has the lowest diversity index values. The high species rich-

RAJAGOPAL ET AL

ness of the bird's population in the study area may be due to the presence of diverse habitats in and around this area including built-up areas combined with wetlands, riverbanks, large expanses of paddy cultivation, monoculture plantations, uncultivated grazing pastures, backyard gardens, orchards, etc. It is reported that the environmental circumstances, the number of species, and the distribution of individuals within each species are the elements that affect the value of Shannon's index (Qiptiyah, 2015). According to Kiros *et al* (2018), the diversity, richness, and abundance of bird species vary depending on the vegetation's composition, which affects the birds' choice of food sources, nesting sites, and protection.

Conclusion

The preliminary survey provides effort towards documentation of avifaunal diversity in and around Madurai city. This survey reveals that the higher avifaunal diversity was recorded in the rural agrobased habitats like Madakulam and Mandela nagar. These two habitats have large water bodies and agricultural landscapes, that provide a variety of foods, refuge, places for nesting and breeding and other amenities that have an influence on the increasing bird's population. Both habitats need to be planted with more number of nesting plants in order to enhance the avifaunal diversity, and it is also necessary to stop some anthropogenic activities that may be detrimental to the avifaunal diversity. Future research in this areas. should focus on the ecology bird nesting, breeding and feeding, which would help us to better understand birds more accurately and enable us to develop more effective conservation strategies. This study recommends for contacting the awareness programme to the local people to educate them about the importance of waterbirds and wetlands. In addition, NGOs and Education institutes (schools, colleges, universities etc.) are required to initiate bird population census program for monitoring and conserving of the birds in more populated areas.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to thanks the Principal and the Management of Thiagarajar College (Autonomous), Madurai for providing the facilities and the constant encouragement. KS acknowledges the National Centre for Excellences, MHRD, Thiagarajar College, Madurai for the award of Project Fellow.

References

- Ali, S. 1980. "Indian Ornithology: The Current Trends". Bulletin of the British Ornithologists. 100 (1): 80–83.
- Ali, S. 2002. *The Book of Indian Birds*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Ali, Z., Bibi, F., Shelly, S.Y., Qazi, A. and Khan, A.M. 2011. Comparative avian faunal diversity of Jiwani coastal wetlands and Taunsa barrage wildlife sanctuary, Pakistan. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*. 21: 381-387.
- Birdlife International, 2010. IUCN Red List for birds. Available from: http://www.birdlife.org.
- Boros, M, Takács, A., Dobosy, P. and Vörös, L. 2021. Extreme guanotrophication by phosphorus in contradiction with the productivity of alkaline soda pan ecosystems. *Science of the total Environment*. 793: 148300.
- Dal, P. and Vaghela, A.K. 2015. Preliminary survey of avifaunal diversity around Shetrunji River, Dhari, India. *Journal* of *Biology* and *Earth Sciences*. 5(1): 19-24.
- Debnath, S., Biswas, S. and Panigrahi, A.K. 2018. Present status and diversity of avian fauna in Purbasthali bird sanctuary, West Bengal, India. *Agricultural Science Digest*. 38(2): 95-102.
- Denis Lepage. 2022. Avibase bird checklists of the world.https://avibase.bsc-eoc.org/ checklist.jsp?region=IN.
- Egwumah, F.A., Egwumah, P.O. and Edet, D.I. 2017. Paramount Roles of Wild Birds as bioindicators of contamination. *International Journal of Avian & Wildlife Biology*. 2(6):194-199.
- Garcia, K., Olimpi, M.E., Karp, D.S. and Gonthier, D.J. 2020. The good, the bad, and the risky: Can birds be incorporated as biological control agents into Integrated Pest Management Programs?. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*. 11(1): 1–11.
- Gill, R. 1995. The effects of habitat fragmentation on Midwestern grassland bird communities. *Ecological Applications*. 4: 461-471.
- Gokula, V. and Anantha Raj, P. 2013. Diversity of waterbirds in relation to months in vaduvoor lake, Tamilnadu, India. *Online Journal of Bioscience Informatics.* 5: 10-14.
- Hammer, D.A., Harper, T. and Ryan, P.D. 2001. Past: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*. 4: 1-25.
- Holmberg, T. 2021. Animal waste work: The case of urban sewage management in Sweden. *Contemporary Social Science*. 16(1): 14-28.
- Kiros, S., Afework, B. and Legese, K. 2018. A preliminary

study on bird diversity and abundance from Wabe fragmented forests around Gubre subcity and Wolkite town, southwestern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Avian & Wildlife Biology*. 3(5), 333–340.

- Kunte, K.J. 1997. Seasonal patterns in butterfly abundance and species diversity in four tropical habitats in Northern Western Ghats. *Journal of Bioscience*. 22: 593-603.
- Mahato, S., Mandal, S. and Das, D. 2021. An appraisal of avian species diversity in and around Purulia Town, West Bengal, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*. 13(3): 17906-17917.
- Mazumdar, S. 2017. Compositions of avian communities in a human-modified wetland Okhla Bird Sanctuary, India: with notes on conservation initiatives. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society*. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12595-017-0239-6.
- Møller, A.P. and Xia, C. 2020. The ecological significance of birds feeding from the hand of humans. *Scientific Reports*. 10 : 9773.
- Moore, R., Robinson, W., Lovette, I. and Robinson, T. 2008. Experimental evidence for extreme dispersal limitation in tropical forest birds. *Ecology Letter*. 11: 960-968.
- Mukhopadhyay, S. and Mazumdar, S. 2017. Composition, diversity and foraging guilds of avifauna in a suburban area of southern West Bengal, India. *The Ring*. 39(1): 103-120.
- Narayanan, T.B. and Sathasivam, K. 2002. Unusually large congregation of Glossy Ibis (*Plegadis falcinellus*) at Madurai. *Newsletter for Birdwatchers*. 42(1) : 13.
- National Birds of India. 2007. Government of India. Retrieved 2 December 2007. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, India.
- Nichols, E.G. 1944a. Occurrence of birds in Madura District [Part I]. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*. 44 (3): 387–407.
- Nichols, E.G. 1944b. Occurrence of birds in Madura District. Part II. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society. 44 (4): 574–584.
- Nichols, E.G. 1945. Occurrence of birds in Madura District. Part III. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*. 45 (2): 122–132.
- Pejchara, L., Pringle, R.M., Ranganathan, J., Zook, J.R., Duran, G., Oviedo, F. and Daily, G.C. 2008. Birds as agents of seed dispersal in a human-dominated landscape in southern Costa Rica. *Biological Conservation*. 141: 536-554.
- Puri, S.K. 2007. Diversity of birds in local ecosystem Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*. 42 : 223-228.
- Qiptiyah, M. 2015. Bird species diversity in the Mangrove Area in the Aopa Watumohai Swamp National Park. *Journal of Biodjati*. 26: 1–9
- Rajesh, M., Gangadharan, A., Kishore, R. and Ganapathi, S. 2021. Avian diversity in Manjamalai sacred grove, Madurai district, Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Sci*-

Eco. Env. & Cons. 28 (December Suppl. Issue) : 2022

ence and Technology. 6(4): 110-122

- Ranjit, M. and Asif, K.N. 2020. Birds of the Indian subcontinent-in a nutshell. *Buceros BNHS Newsletter*. 24(2 & 3): 1-81.
- Sathasivam, K. 1991. House Sparrow in unusual plumage. Newsletter for Birdwatchers. 31 (9-10) : 15.
- Sathasivam, K. 1992. Alpine Swifts over Madurai. Newsletter for Birdwatchers. 32 (7-8): 15.
- Sathasivam, K. 1995. A Pitta mystery. Newsletter for Birdwatchers. 35 (1): 21.
- Sathasivam, K. 1996. On Shikras with red eyes and definition of colours. *Newsletter for Birdwatchers*. 36 (3): 46.
- Sathasivam, K. 2015. The birds of Madurai city. *Indian Birds.* 10 (2): 29-34.
- Sathish, V.S., Anilkumar, B., Lakshmi, S.D., Poornima, P.S. and Basavarajappa, S. 2020. Aquatic avifaunal diversity and species composition in dry agro-climatic region of southern Karnataka, India. *Biosystematica*. 11(1&2): 35-47
- Sundar, K.S.G. and Subramanya, S. 2010. Bird use of rice fields in the Indian Subcontinent. *Waterbirds*. 33 : 44-70
- Sunil Kumar, M., Srihari, K.T., Nair, P., Varghese, T. and Gadagkar, R. 1997. Ant species richness at selected localities of Bangalore. *Insect Environment*. 3: 3-5.
- Surana, R. 2007. Avian diversity during rehabitation stage of chimdi lake, Sunsari Nepal. Our Nature. 5(1): 75-80.
- Torre-Cuadros, M.D.L., Herrando-Perez, S. and Young, K.R. 2007. Diversity and structure patterns for tropical montane and premontane forests of central Peru, with an assessment of the use of higher-taxon surrogacy. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 16: 2965e2988.
- Vijayan, L., Prasad, S.N., Sridharan, N. and Guptha, M.B. 2006. Status of Wetlands and Wetland Birds in Selected Districts of Tamilnadu. Sálim Ali Centre For Ornithology & Natural History, Coimbatore. http:/ /www.sacon.in/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/ FT-2006-PR135s-STATUS-OF-WL-TN.pd
- Yashmita-Ulman and Singh, M. 2021. Bird composition, diversity and foraging guilds in agricultural landscapes: a case study from eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*. 13(8): 19011-19028.
- You, M., Vasseur, L., Régnière, J. and Zheng, Y. 2009. The three dimensions of species diversity. *The Open Conservation Biology Journal*. 3: 82–88.
- Zakaria, M. and Rajpar, M.N. 2010. Bird species composition and feeding guilds based on Point Count and Mist Netting Methods at the Paya Indah Wetland Reserve, Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research.* 21(2): 7–26.
- Zakaria, M., Rajpar, M.N. and Sajap, A.S. 2009. Species diversity and feeding guilds of birds in Paya Indah Wetland Reserve, Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Zoological Research*. 5(3): 86-100.