Eco. Env. & Cons. 28 (January Suppl. Issue) : 2022; pp. (S296-S299) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

doi http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2022.v28i01s.041

Assessment and Evaluation of Water Quality of Thorapalli lake

Veena S.S. and M. Ramachandra Mohan*

*Lake Management and Water Quality Research Unit, Department of Zoology, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore 560 056, India

(Received 8 August, 2021; Accepted 13 September, 2021)

ABSTRACT

Water provides for the basic essentialities of human existence. Water of good quality is essential for socioeconomic growth. Besides it also sustains various species of plants and animals by regulating their metabolic activities. Chemical, biological and physical characteristics of water define its quality for use. The lake is located on the banks of the Ponnaiyar River, about 6 km south-east of Hosur, close to the Hosur Krishnagiri road. The lake issituated on the other side of the reservoir. The water samples of Thorapalli lake were collected and analyzed for its physico chemical characteristics for the period from January 2018 to December 2018. The present study was undertaken to characterize the physicochemical parameters such as Temperature (T), pH, Total Alkalinity (TA), Electrical Conductivity (EC), phosphates, Nitrates, Total Hardness (TH), Dissolved oxygen (DO), Turbidity (TY), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Biochemical oxygen demand(BOD), and Chemical oxygen Demand(COD). Each parameter was compared with its standard permissible limit as prescribed by World Health Organization (WHO). The study reveals that few of the parameters have values beyond the desirable limit prescribed by WHO.

Key words: Physicochemical parameters, Chemical oxygen demand, Biological oxygen demand, Thorapalli lake

Introduction

Since water is an important resource to man, it needs to be safe guarded from various impurities and made availability for various activities of daily life. Lake monitoring is an important aspect of lake management policies providing litmus indications of ecological degradation of lakes. Water provides for the basic essentialities of human existence. Water of good quality is essential for socioeconomic growth. Besides, it also sustains various species of plants and animals by regulating their metabolic activities (Chauhan and Verma, 2015). Chemical, biological and physical characteristics of water define its quality for use. Polluted water becomes turbid, foul smelling and inadequate for potable causes (Siddamallayya and Pratima, 2008). Physico-chemical aspects of water contamination in the form of nutrient addition, sediment runoff, and overuse of the resource can be ascertained. By monitoring the physical, chemical, and biological status of a lake, contaminants can be eliminated, lakes can be restored, and its ecology preserved. Land practices can also exert a strong influence on lakes. Therefore it becomes imperative to protect and conserve this rich natural resource in terms of its water quality. Therefore the present study investigates the quality of water, of Thorapalli lake, Tamil Nadu, and its impact on climate change.

Study Site and its Environmental Conditions

The present study was carried out in Thorapalli

VEENA AND RAMACHANDRA

Lake, Hosur town which falls under the north-western region of Krishnagiri district of Tamil Nadu. It is bordered on either side by both Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. Thorapalli Agra haram is a village in Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. It is located on the banks of the Ponnaiyar River, about 6 km south-east of Hosur, close to the Hosur Krishnagiri road. The lake is situated on the other side of the reservoir Krishnagiri district has a pleasant climate with dry atmospheres. The dry season lasts from January to March, summer falls between April and May, southwest monsoon season starts from June to Sept and from October to December is the northeast monsoon season. The major water supply towards Hosur taluk comes from river Ponnaiyar that basically originates in Nandidurg hills in Karnataka, where it is referred to as Dhakshina Pinakini'. Travelling from Devanahalli and Hoskote taluks of Karnataka, Ponniyar river enters Tamil Nadu state near Bagalur village of Hosur taluk. Location wise Hosur falls in the coordinates 12.735°N 77.829°E with an elevation of 880m (2,890ft) and total area of 72.41 km² (27.96sqmi). It has a total population of 245,354 with population density of 3,400/km² (8,800/sq mi). Hosur receives rainfall from both the northeast and the southwest monsoons. It has an average rainfall of 822.4 mm and month-wise distribution is around 18.7 mm during January-February, 182.5 mm - March to May; 349.8 mm - southwest monsoon and 271.4 mm during the northeast monsoon. The present study aims



to investigate the water quality of the selected water body, that provide for activities such as irrigation, industrial and human consumption in the region. The main objective of the study is to evaluate the water quality of sewage contamination received.

Materials and Methods

This study was for one year (January 2018-December, 2018). Water quality was assessed for both physical and chemical parameters of the water and results were summarized by using the Pearson's correlation studies to determine the quality of water. Samples were either refrigerated at 4°C or immediately analyzed as per the requirement. Physical or chemical (organic/inor ganic) constituents of water were analyzed following protocols from standard procedures APHA, 2005; Trivedy and Goel, 1986; APHA, 1995; Sawyer *et al.*, 1994; Jenkins and Moore, 1977:

Results and Discussion

Analysis of the aquatic physico-chemical characteristics lends credence to the quality of water and the characteristic of the aquatic biota in terms of chemical and biological processes such as algal bloom and oxygen solubility. Physico-chemical analysis is reflective of the extent of pollution in the water body and therefore should be investigated at first priority (De, 2002; Jayaraman et al., 2003). On comparing the water temperatures from the lake during the study period, it was found that the maximum temperature of 31 °C was recorded during the month of May. Variation in the temperature range could be because of the variation in evaporation rate and therefore change in the water levels. On analysis of the pH ranges from the three water body it was found that maximum pH of 8.4 was recorded from the lake during the month of May in 2018 and the minimum pH of 6.9 was recorded during the months of January November during 2018. Maximum pH was recorded during the summer months and minimum pH was from the winter season. The variation in the recorded pH could be attributed to the variations in the water level of the lakes and the concentration of the nutrients that were present there Manjare *et al.*, (2010). The lake reported maximum EC during the summer month of April.

Higher EC values of the lake in the summer months could be correlated with the annual average

| - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Unit | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| ⁰ C | 28.4 | 29 | 29.9 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 26.9 | 28 |
| °C | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| FAU | 18 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 24 | 31 | 36 | 41 | 56 | 31 | 44 | 40 |
| mg/l | 358 | 400 | 200 | 348 | 600 | 500 | 416 | 248 | 400 | 317 | 250 | 300 |
| µmhos/cm | 590 | 625 | 500 | 790 | 600 | 550 | 400 | 315 | 400 | 320 | 300 | 5.8 |
| mg/l | 4.5 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| mg/l | 5.8 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 36 |
| mg/l | 8.9 | 8.4 | 22 | 19 | 44 | 12 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 11 | 10 | 24 | 36 |
| mg/l | 99 | 141 | 130 | 150 | 121 | 200 | 194 | 156 | 120 | 300 | 150 | 130 |
| mg/l | 101 | 120 | 88 | 112 | 128 | 156 | 218 | 78 | 65 | 68 | 102 | 112 |
| mg/l | 200 | 134 | 185 | 190 | 200 | 130 | 168 | 100 | 94 | 86 | 112 | 144 |
| mg/l | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 2.4 |
| mg/l | 10.1 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 24 | 34 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 14 |
| mg/l | 19 | 21 | 18.1 | 14 | 22 | 32 | 29 | 19 | 21 | 16 | 14.1 | 18 |
| | Unit °C °C FAU mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l | Unit JAN °C 28.4 °C 7.4 FAU 18 mg/1 358 µmhos/cm 590 mg/1 4.5 mg/1 5.8 mg/1 8.9 mg/1 101 mg/1 101 mg/1 101 mg/1 1.8 mg/1 1.8 mg/1 10.1 mg/1 10.1 mg/1 10.1 | Unit JAN FEB °C 28.4 29 °C 7.4 7.6 FAU 18 14 mg/l 358 400 µmhos/cm 590 625 mg/l 4.5 3.8 mg/l 5.8 4.9 mg/l 8.9 8.4 mg/l 101 120 mg/l 101 120 mg/l 101 8.4 mg/l 101 8.4 mg/l 101 120 mg/l 101 8.4 mg/l 101 8.8 mg/l 1.8 2.7 mg/l 10.1 8.8 mg/l 19 21 | Unit JAN FEB MAR ⁰C 28.4 29 29.9 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 FAU 18 14 21 mg/1 358 400 200 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 mg/1 8.9 8.4 22 mg/1 99 141 130 mg/1 101 120 88 mg/1 200 134 185 mg/1 1.8 2.7 2.4 mg/1 10.1 8.8 11.8 mg/1 10.1 8.8 11.8 mg/1 10.1 8.8 11.8 mg/1 10.1 8.8 11.8 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 FAU 18 14 21 18 mg/l 358 400 200 348 μmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 mg/l 99 141 130 150 mg/l 101 120 88 112 mg/l 200 134 185 190 mg/l 1.8 2.7 2.4 1.8 mg/l 10.1 8.8 11.8 12 mg/l 10.1 8.8 11.8 12 mg/l 10.1 8.8 11.8 12 mg/l 19 <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 μmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 mg/1 9.9 141 130 150 121 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 mg/1 200 134 185 190 200 mg/1 1.8 2.7 2.4 1.8 3.1</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 mg/l 99 141 130 150 121 200 mg/l 101 120 88 112 128 156 mg/l 200 134 185 190 200 130 mg/l 1.8</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 mg/1 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 mg/1 99 141 130 150 121 200 194 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 156 218</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 8.8 mg/l 99 141 130 150 121 200 194</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 3.1 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 8.8 11</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 26 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 7.5 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 31 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 317 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 320 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 6.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 3.1 2.4 mg/1 8.9</td> <td>Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 26 26.9 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 7.5 6.9 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 31 44 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 317 250 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 320 300 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 6.1 4.5 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12</td> | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 μmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 mg/1 9.9 141 130 150 121 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 mg/1 200 134 185 190 200 mg/1 1.8 2.7 2.4 1.8 3.1 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 mg/l 99 141 130 150 121 200 mg/l 101 120 88 112 128 156 mg/l 200 134 185 190 200 130 mg/l 1.8 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 mg/1 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 mg/1 99 141 130 150 121 200 194 mg/1 101 120 88 112 128 156 218 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 8.8 mg/l 99 141 130 150 121 200 194 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 mg/l 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 3.1 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 9.1 8.8 11 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 26 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 7.5 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 31 mg/1 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 317 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 320 mg/1 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 6.1 mg/1 5.8 4.9 6.4 7.1 8.8 4.2 4.4 2.6 3.1 2.4 mg/1 8.9 | Unit JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV °C 28.4 29 29.9 30 31 29 29 28 27 26 26.9 °C 7.4 7.6 7.6 7.9 8.4 8.2 7.1 8.4 7.1 7.5 6.9 FAU 18 14 21 18 24 31 36 41 56 31 44 mg/l 358 400 200 348 600 500 416 248 400 317 250 µmhos/cm 590 625 500 790 600 550 400 315 400 320 300 mg/l 4.5 3.8 2.1 2.6 4.1 5.8 7.1 8.9 7.4 6.1 4.5 mg/l 8.9 8.4 22 19 44 12 |

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of Thorappali lake 2018

Table 2. Showing correlation matrix of Physico-chemical parameters of Thorapalli lake 2018

| Temp | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|---|
| pН | 0.55 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TUR | -0.67 | -0.38 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TDS | 0.39 | 0.38 | -0.15 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC | 0.77 | 0.42 | -0.76 | 0.43 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| DO | -0.58 | -0.01 | 0.73 | 0.03 | -0.66 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| BOD | 0.87 | 0.30 | -0.62 | 0.39 | 0.71 | -0.74 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| COD | 0.37 | 0.11 | -0.01 | 0.22 | 0.02 | -0.36 | 0.65 | 1 | | | | | | |
| CHL | -0.42 | 0.03 | 0.07 | -0.03 | -0.31 | 0.28 | -0.52 | -0.34 | 1 | | | | | |
| ALK | 0.40 | -0.02 | -0.17 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 1 | | | | |
| HAR | 0.83 | 0.18 | -0.67 | 0.27 | 0.67 | -0.64 | 0.90 | 0.39 | -0.50 | 0.40 | 1 | | | |
| NIT | -0.08 | 0.24 | 0.43 | -0.20 | -0.41 | 0.74 | -0.39 | -0.24 | 0.04 | 0.08 | -0.25 | 0.61 | 1 | |
| SUL | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.35 | -0.05 | -0.20 | 0.11 | 0.69 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 1 |

temperature. Mustapha and Abdu, (2012), has studied the physico-chemical parameters in reference to the EC in aquatic bodies. Analysis of the data revealed that the minimum DO levels were found in the lake. Minimum levels of hardness was recorded during the study. Maximum nitrate levels of 34.1 mg/l during the month of August. Higher nitrate value may be due to surface run off and domestic sewage and activities. Other authors like Rajashekhar *et al.* (2007) have reported similarly. The lake showed medium level of contamination as per BIS. BOD range (2.4-8.8 mg/l). COD levels were slightly higher.

References

APHA, 1995. American Public Health Association, Standard Methods: For the Examination of Water and Wastewater, APHA, AWWA, WEF/1995, APHA Publication.

- APHA 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st Edition, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington DC.
- Bhat, S.A., Meraj, G., Yaseen, S. and Pandit, A.K. 2014. Statistical assessment of water quality parameters for pollution source identification in sukhnag stream: an inflow stream of lake Wular (Ramsar site), Kashmir Himalaya. J. Ecosyst. 3: 1-18.
- Bhat, S.A., Meraj, G., Yaseen, S. and Pandit, A.K. 2014. Statistical assessment of water quality parameters for pollution source identification in sukhnag stream: an inflow stream of lake Wular (Ramsar site), Kashmir Himalaya. J. Ecosyst. 3: 1-18.
- Chandrasekhar, J. S., Babu, K. L. and Somasekar, R. K. 2003. Impact of urbanization on Bellandur Lake, Bangalore – A case study. *J. Environ. Biol.* 24 (3): 223-27.
- Chauhan, A. and Verma, S.C. 2015. Impact of agriculture, urban and forest land use on physico-chemical prop-

erties of water: A review. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 4: 18.

- Gajbhiye, S., Sharma, S.K. and Awasthi, M.K. 2015. Appli cation of principal components analysis for interpretation and grouping of water quality parameters. *Int. J. Hybrid Inform. Technol.* 8: 89-96.
- Jayaraman, P.R., Ganga Devi, T. and Vasudevan Nayar, T. 2003. Water quality studies on Karamana river, Thiruvananthapur district, South Kerala. *Ind. Pollut. Res.* 22 : 89-100.
- Jenkins Jr, D. E. and Moore, W. H. 1977. A rapid method for the preparation of high potency auto and alloantibody eluates. *Transfusion*. 17(2) : 110-114..
- Manjare, S.A., Vhanalakar, S.A. and Muley, D.V. 2010. Analysis of water quality using physico-chemical parameters tamdalge tank in Kolhapur District, Maharashtra. *International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research*. 1(2) : 115-119.
- Moyle, J. B. 1949. Some Indices of Lake Productivity, Trans. Am. Fish Soc. 76: 322- 334.
- Mustapha, A. and Abdu, A. 2012. Application of principal component analysis and multiple regression models in surface water quality assessment. *J. Environ. Earth Sci.* 2 : 16-24.
- Rajashekhar, A.V., Lingaiah, A., Satyanarayana Rao and Ravi Shankar Piska, 2007. The studies on water quality parameters of a minor reservoir, Nadergul, Rangareddy district Andhra Pradesh. *J. Aqua. Biol.* 22(1): 118-122.
- Read, E.K., Patil, V.P., Oliver, S.K., Hetherington, A., Brentrup, J.A. and Zwart, J.A. 2015. The importance

of lake-specific characteristics for water quality across the continental United States. *Ecol. Appl.* 25: 943-955.

- Sawye, r C.N., McCarty, P.L. and Parkin, C.F. 1994. Chem istry for Environmental Engineering, McGraw-Hill.
- Shanbhag, A.B., Borges, S.D. and Walia, R. 2003. Carambolim Lake, an ideal freshwater wetland of Goa. In: *Recent Advances in Environmental Sciences*. (Ed. K.G. Hiremath). Discovery publ. House, New Delhi. pp. 278-310.
- Sharma, C. and Tiwari, R.P. 2008. Studies on zooplanktons of fresh water reservoir at Lony dam Theonther Rewa (M.P.). Int. J. Pharm. Life Sci. 2 : 492-495.
- Siddamallayya, N. and Pratima, M. 2008. Impact of Domestic Sewage on Freshwater Body. J. Environ. Biol. 29 : 303-308.
- Sigee, D.C. 2005. Freshwater Microbiology: Biodiversity and Dynamic Interactions of Microorganisms in the Aquatic Environment. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.UK.
- Singh, R.P. and Mathur, P. 2005. Investigation of variations in physico-chemical characteristics of a fresh water reservoir of Ajmer city, Rajesthan. *Ind. J.Environ. Sci.* 9: 57-61.
- Trivedy, R. K. and Goel, P. K. 1986. Chemical and Biological Methods for Water Pollution Studies," Environment Publication, Karad.
- Wetzel, R.G. 1983. *Limnology*, 2nd Edition, Saunders, USA, p.767.
- Wetzel, R.G. 1983. Recommendations for future research on periphyton: Wetzel, R.G. (Ed), *Periphyton of Freshwater Ecosystems*, Dr. W. Junk, The Hague, pp. 339-