# Status of fish diversity and their Habitat Ecology in the upper Ganga Basin, Uttarakhand

<sup>1</sup>D.S. Malik, <sup>2</sup>M.K. Sharma, <sup>1</sup>Arvind Kumar Sharma and <sup>1</sup>Amit Kumar Sharma\*

<sup>1</sup>Aquatic Biodiversity Conservation Lab, Department of Zoology and Environmental Science, Gurukula Kangri Vishwavidyalaya, Haridwar, Uttarakhand, India <sup>2</sup>Environmental Division, National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, India

(Received 22 July, 2020; Accepted 5 September, 2020)

# ABSTRACT

Ganges is the largest riverine ecosystem of India and supports diverse aquatic community compared to others aquatic ecosystem. The aim of the present study was carried out to assess the fish diversity status in relation to major physico-chemical parameters on spatiotemporal scales. Fish species were collected along with physicochemical parameters from selected eight sampling zones of the upper Ganga basin from September 2017 to August 2018. Aquatic diversity status was analysed with the help of PAST (version 3.0) software. The result of present experimental study clearly indicated that upper Ganga river basin provides the natural habitat of 27 fish species as *Tor putitora* (8.54%), *Tor tor* (10.68%), *Schizothorax richardsonii* (11.50%) and *S. plagiostomus* (10.95%) are major dominant species. Water temperature, water velocity and dissolved oxygen contributed as major influential ecological factors for fish species richness and their distribution in the upper Ganga river ecosystem.

Key words : Fish diversity, Habitat ecology, Upper Ganga basin, Temporal, Spatial abundance.

# Introduction

The Ganges river system along with its tributaries forms the single largest riverine system in India. Mainly river Ganga originates from the Gangotri to the Bay of Bengal and is divided into three major stretches i.e. the upper Ganga river stretch from Gangotri to Haridwar, middle Ganga river stretch from Haridwar to Varanasi and the lower Ganga river stretch from Varanasi to Bay of Bengal (Malik *et al.*, 2003) not only due to the difference in geomorphology, ecology and rheology but also in term of socio cultural heritage. Upper Ganga segment lies in Garhwal region (Latitude 29°26' to 31°28'N) and (Longitude 77°49' to 86°06'E) of the central Himalayas with the total geographical area of 39,090 sq. Km. (Nautiyal *et al.*, 1993). The upper segment flows on the steep and narrow bed, commonly the substrate is in form of boulders, cobbles and rocks which carried cold water are less subjected to anthropogenic pollution. The lotic ecosystem of Bhagirathi river is characterized by cold water, high water velocity, low productivity and distinct aquatic biodiversity with abundant rapids, runs, riffles and few deep pools. Upper Ganga basin supports the survival of million with an average density of about 1,000 inhabitants per square kilometre. Mainly the river water is being utilized for many purposes, i.e., fishing, irrigation, transportation and domestic usage. Ganga and its tributaries are controlled by barrages diverting flow for various purposes, i.e. for agricultural purposes, for drinking etc as a result fish catch have been declined, and thereafter, loss of species diversity. Fish diversity is partially dependent upon certain environmental variable which always effect the competing population. Various studies regarding fish fauna of the river Ganges and its tributaries have been made by various authors (Sarkar *et al.*, 2010; Sharma *et al.*, 2019). However, considering the reason mentioned above the present study aimed to investigate the status of fish species in relation to the habitat ecology.

# Materials and Methods

#### Study Area

The study area was divided into four sampling zones and every zone has further three stations for the collection of hydrological parameters and fish species. Upper Bhagirathi river (Z1), Lower Bhagirathi river (Z2), Upper Ganga river (Z3) and Lower Ganga river (Z4), was selected to along upper Ganga basin to analyse the physicochemical characteristics and fish species richness. Fish samples were collected with the help of local fish anglers, local landing canters and from the previously contacted fisherman. For laboratory research work few samples from the total catch was collected from each sampling zone and frozen them in the ice box. Further, fish species sample were sorted and identified to species level. The water temperature was determined with the help of digital thermometer (Testo 1113-TMH) pH by using a pen-type meter (HANNA = HI98107) TDS and Conductivity by using a pen type meter (HANNA = HI98301). A Secchi disc (20 cm diameter) was used to measure the water transparency.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Distinct hydro-graphic conditions of different zones during different months. Maximum water temperature was recorded 21.2 °C at Zone 4 during July where the minimum water temperature was found 10.9 °C at Zone 1 during January, it can be due to direct relationship between bright sunshine, its duration and air temperature. Maximum average water temperature 16.4 °C recorded at zone 4 and minimum average water temperature 14.9 °C was recorded at zone 3. No significant difference was found in temperature among the stations. Water temperature was recorded minimum at Zone 1 and maximum at Zone 4 due to seasonal and altitudinal variation. Kumar *et al.*, (2018) also reported a varia-

#### Eco. Env. & Cons. 27 (February Suppl. Issue) : 2021

tion in water temperature during seasonal changes. Khanna et al., (2013) observed seasonal variation of water temperature ranged between 8.0 to 18.0 °C in the Bhagirathi river. Water pH values vary between 7.2 (July in Zone 2 and June, July in zone 1) to 8.2 during February in Zone 1). Mean water pH found in highest value 7.63 at zone 1 & zone 3 where lowest value 7.57 at Zone 4. Because the availability of many types of carbonates and bicarbonates in water enhance dissolve carbon dioxide level by dissociation and acts as a raw material for photosynthesis. Similarity observation was reported by (Ayoade et al., 2009). TDS ranges from 235.4 mg/L (recorded during September at zone 4) to 63.7 mg/L (recorded during June at zone 1and Zone 2). Turbidity ranges from 535 NTU (recorded during October at zone 4) to 52 NTU (recorded during January at zone 1). The water was exceedingly turbid (539.2 NTU) during October, while it was clear (53.0 NTU) in January at Zone 1. Overall turbidity was highest during the monsoon months (July-August) due to highest precipitation in the catchment basin. Similarly, observation has been made by (Pathak et al., 2015) while working on the determination of water quality index river Bhagirathi in Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand. Dissolve Oxygen (DO) ranges from 9.3 mg/L (recorded during February at zone 2) to 7.1 mg/L (recorded during June at zone 4) with a maximum mean 8.15 mg/L at zone 2 and minimum mean 7.96 mg/L at Zone 4. The reduction of DO might be due to organic load through the municipal, domestic sewage and nutrients. Dissolved Oxygen ranges between 7.1 and 9.3 mg/L and the almost same value is also reported by (Malik et al., 2018) in the river Bhagirathi river. Srivastava et al., (2016) recorded DO concentration of Ganga river which ranged from 5.4–8.2 mg/L. COD ranges from 6.6 mg/L (recorded during January at zone 4) to 5.1 mg/L (recorded during June at zone 1and in March at Zone 4). BOD ranges from 2.6 mg/L (recorded during June at zone 1 and July at Zone 4) to 1.0 mg/ L (recorded during February at zone 1). Maximum mean 2.0 mg/L at zone 4 and minimum mean 1.94 mg/L at Zone 1due to high temperature favour microbial activity.

# Fish species diversity (Species abundance and distribution)

Total of 1825 individuals were enumerated which comprises 27 fish species (Table 1). Maximum number of major fish species was counted for Schizothorax richardsonii (210)individuals), Schizothorax plagiostomus (200 individuals), Tor tor (195 individuals) and minimum for Namachelius montanus (19 individuals), in which Schizothorax richardsonii are maximum (11.50 %) and Namachelius montanus are (1.04 %) of total individuals respectively. The highest number of 520 individuals was counted in zone 4 throughout the study period whereas lowest number of individuals (391) was found in zone 3 due to relatively low human interference and optimum environmental condition and on the other hand, the lowest number of individuals observed at zone 3 due to an extreme environmental condition. Monthly abundance (individuals) variation was significant in all sampling zones. Highest number (249) of individuals was recorded in January. The monthly abundance for each sampling zone sharply reduced from June to September. The occurrence of fish species and their relative abundance is related with the number of factors viz. velocity, nature of substratum, water temperature, and water depth, availability of food, physicochemical properties and stream length (Kumar et al., 2019). Sharma et al., (2018) reported about 20 species collected by different types of the net from

Table 1. Temporal and spatial species abundance and distribution of fish species at selected sampling zones during 2016-2017

|   |   | 1  | ŗ                 |               | I         | 1   | I  | 0    | (   | ,   | 1   | ,   | •     |         | :     |     | ,    | ,    |    |
|---|---|--|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-----|----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|---------|-------|-----|------|------|----|
| ectes No. % $Z_1$ $Z_2$ $Z_3$ $Z_4$           | No. % $\mathbf{Z}_1$ $\mathbf{Z}_2$ $\mathbf{Z}_3$ $\mathbf{Z}_4$ | $\% \qquad \mathbf{Z}_1 \qquad \mathbf{Z}_2 \qquad \mathbf{Z}_3 \qquad \mathbf{Z}_4$ | $Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4$ | $Z_2 Z_3 Z_4$ | $Z_3 Z_4$ | N   |    | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb N | Aarch . | April | May | June | July |    |
| hizothorax richardsonii 210 11.507 89 40 43 3 | 210 11.507 89 40 43 3   | 11.507 89 40 43 3  | 89 40 43 3        | 40 43 3       | 43 3      | õ   | 80 | 10   | 19  | 25  | 32  | 27  | 20    | 18      | 12    | 16  | 10   | 13   | ×  |
| plagiostomus 200 10.959 90 32 35 4;           | 200 10.959 90 32 35 4   | 10.959 90 32 35 4  | 90 32 35 4        | 32 35 4       | 35 4      | 4   | ŝ  | 10   | 17  | 22  | 33  | 21  | 16    | 17      | 12    | 16  | 13   | 11   | 12 |
| r tor 195 10.685 40 65 58 35                  | 195 10.685 40 65 58 32  | 10.685 40 65 58 32   | 40 65 58 32       | 65 58 32      | 58 32     | б   |    | 9    |     | 16  | 15  | 18  | 28    | 15      | 16    | 24  | 16   | 25   | 6  |
| putitora 156 8.548 33 50 45 2                 | <b>156</b> 8.548 33 50 45 2                                       | 8.548 33 50 45 2   | 33 50 45 2        | 50 45 2       | 45 2      | Ñ   | 8  | 10   | 12  | 14  | 12  | 16  | 13    | 21      | 15    | 11  | 13   | 10   | 6  |
| ossocheilus latius 67 3.671 14 20 24 9        | 6 7 3.671 14 20 24 9  | 3.671 14 20 24 9   | 14 20 24 9        | 20 24 9       | 24 9      | 0,  | •  | б    | 4   | 9   | Ŋ   | 8   | 6     | 8       | 4     | 4   | 8    | Ŋ    | б  |
| irra gotiyla gotiyla 55 3.014 12 10 12 2      | 55 3.014 12 10 12 2   | 3.014 12 10 12 2   | 12 10 12 2        | 10 12 2       | 12 2      | 2   | 1  | 1    | 1   | б   | Ы   |     | 8     | ы       | 4     | 8   | 6    | 4    | З  |
| lamta 56 3.068 16 10 11 19                    | 56 3.068 16 10 11 19  | 3.068 16 10 11 19  | 16 10 11 1        | 10 11 10      | 11 19     | 1   | 6  | ы    | 1   | б   | ы   |     | Ŋ     | 4       | З     |     | 14   | 4    | 4  |
| rilius bendelisis 98 5.370 22 14 24 38        | 98 5.370 22 14 24 38  | 5.370 22 14 24 38  | 22 14 24 38       | 14 24 38      | 24 38     | 38  | ~  | б    | 9   | 6   | 14  | 16  | 6     |         | IJ    | 15  | 10   | З    | 1  |
| rrhinus mrigala 68 3.726 15 21 10 22          | 68 3.726 15 21 10 22  | 3.726 15 21 10 22  | 15 21 10 22       | 21 10 22      | 10 22     | 22  |    | 12   | Ŋ   | 6   | 8   | 13  | 9     | 8       | с     | 4   | 0    | 0    | 0  |
| <i>itla catla</i> 50 2.740 9 14 14 13         | 50 2.740 9 14 14 13   | 2.740 9 14 14 13   | 9 14 14 13        | 14 14 13      | 14 13     | 13  |    | ы    | 0   | IJ  | 0   | 12  |       | ы       | 4     | IJ  | 4    | З    | б  |
| <i>beo rohita</i> 62 3.397 16 19 11 16        | 62 3.397 16 19 11 16  | 3.397 16 19 11 16  | 16 19 11 16       | 19 11 16      | 11 16     | 16  |    | 0    | 4   |     | Ŋ   | 14  | 6     | 4       | ы     | 0   | 10   | 9    | 1  |
| <i>nio devario</i> 46 2.521 7 11 12 16        | 46 2.521 7 11 12 16   | 2.521 7 11 12 16   | 7 11 12 16        | 11 12 16      | 12 16     | 16  |    | 4    | С   | ~   | 4   | 6   | 2     | 9       | 4     | б   | 0    | 1    | б  |
| sbora daniconius 62 3.397 12 10 12 28         | 62 3.397 12 10 12 28  | 3.397 12 10 12 28  | 12 10 12 28       | 10 12 28      | 12 28     | 28  |    | 0    | 0   |     |     | 13  | Ŋ     | 9       | 4     | б   | Ŋ    | 8    | 4  |
| <i>machelius rupicola</i> 26 1.425 4 5 6 11   | 26 1.425 4 5 6 11   | 1.425 4 5 6 11   | 4 5 6 11          | 5 6 11        | 6 11      | 11  |    | 0    | 1   | 0   | 0   | 10  | З     | 1       | 4     | IJ  | 2    | 0    | 0  |
| beavani 29 1.589 8 5 4 12                     | 29 1.589 8 5 4 12   | 1.589 8 5 4 12   | 8 5 4 12          | 5 4 12        | 4 12      | 12  |    | ы    | ы   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 1     | ы       | 8     | 9   | 4    | З    | 0  |
| zonatus 22 1.205 6 3 4 9                      | 22 1.205 6 3 4 9  | 1.205 6 3 4 9  | 6 3 4 9           | 3 4 9         | 4 9       | 6   |    | 0    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1     | 0       |       | IJ  | С    | 4    | 1  |
| <i>montanus</i> 19 1.041 4 3 2 10             | 19 1.041 4 3 2 10   | 1.041 4 3 2 10   | 4 3 2 10          | 3 2 10        | 2 10      | 10  |    | 1    | 0   | ы   | 1   | 0   | 2     | 1       | З     | IJ  | ы    | 1    | 1  |
| yptothorax madraspatnam28 1.534 7 3 4 14      | m28 1.534 7 3 4 14  | 1.534 7 3 4 14   | 7 3 4 14          | 3 4 14        | 4 14      | 14  |    | ы    | 1   | 0   | 0   | б   | 1     | 0       | 8     | 4   | Ŋ    | З    | 1  |
| pectinopeterus 29 1.589 8 5 3 13              | 29 1.589 8 5 3 13   | 1.589 8 5 3 13   | 8 5 3 13          | 5 3 13        | 3 13      | 13  |    | 1    | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2     | 0       | с     | 6   | 9    | 4    | ς  |
| cavia 23 1.260 6 7 5 5                        | 23 1.260 6 7 5 5  | 1.260 6 7 5 5  | 6 7 5 5           | 7 5 5         | 5<br>2    | Ŋ   |    | б    | 0   | 1   | 2   | б   | 0     | 0       | IJ    | ю   | 2    | 0    | 0  |
| <i>garius bagarius</i> 41 2.247 10 2 1 28     | 41 2.247 10 2 1 28  | 2.247 10 2 1 28  | 10 2 1 28         | 2 1 28        | 1 28      | 28  |    | 4    | 4   | ю   | Ю   | Ŋ   | 4     |         | 4     | ы   | 7    | 1    | 0  |
| eudechenies sulcatus 56 3.068 19 10 12 15     | 56 3.068 19 10 12 15  | 3.068 19 10 12 15  | 19 10 12 15       | 10 12 15      | 12 15     | 15  |    | Ŋ    | 4   | ŋ   | с   | 12  | ŋ     | 4       | 7     | 1   | 4    | ŋ    | 9  |
| upisoma garua 58 3.178 18 11 13 16            | 58 3.178 18 11 13 16  | 3.178 18 11 13 16  | 18 11 13 16       | 11 13 16      | 13 16     | 16  |    | ŋ    | 9   | ~   | 4   | 10  | 9     | ŋ       | ю     | 0   | 4    | 9    | 0  |
| tia Dario 36 1.973 10 8 5 13                  | 36 1.973 10 8 5 13  | 1.973 10 8 5 13  | 10 8 5 13         | 8 5 13        | 5 13      | 13  |    | ы    | 0   | 1   | Ы   | С   | 0     | ы       | 10    | 9   | 4    | З    | 0  |
| tia almorhae 28 1.534 7 8 5 8                 | 28 1.534 7 8 5 8  | 1.534 7 8 5 8  | 7 8 5 8           | 8<br>5<br>8   | 5<br>8    | 8   |    | ы    | С   | 1   | ы   | ы   | 1     | 0       | 4     | 9   | Ŋ    | 2    | 0  |
| astacembelus armatus 65 3.562 17 10 13 25     | 65 3.562 17 10 13 25  | 3.562 17 10 13 25  | 17 10 13 25       | 10 13 25      | 13 25     | 25  |    | Ю    | 2   | IJ  | ~   | 12  | 9     | ŋ       | ς     | 1   | 11   |      | с  |
| <i>acorhynchus mykiss</i> 40 2.192 14 5 3 18  | 40 2.192 14 5 3 18  | 2.192 14 5 3 18  | 14 5 3 18         | 5 3 18        | 3 18      | 18  |    | 9    | Ы   | б   | 1   |     | 1     | 8       | Ŋ     | ы   | 6    | 0    | З  |
| tal 1825 100.00 513 401 391 520               | 1825 100.00 513 401 391 520                                       | 100.00 513 401 391 520   | 513 401 391 520   | 401 391 520   | 391 520   | 520 |    | 66   | 107 | 162 | 164 | 249 | 170   | 162     | 157   | 171 | 168  | 134  | 82 |

Bhagirathi river, where Khanna et al., (2013) observed 53 fish species from the Ganga river. The reasons for the reduction in species diversity are longterm change in hydrological and meteorological parameters. A large amount of fresh water discharge from dam and reservoir brings sediment and causes siltation and makes water turbid which ultimately effects on species number. The highest number of individuals was observed at Zone 4 and this is due to relatively low human interference and optimum environmental condition and on the other hand lowest number of individuals observed at Zone 3 due to extreme environmental interference. Five major dominant species was observed in the upper Ganga basin which is similar to several studies which reported the dominance of the resident species in the river (Sharma et al., 2018; Agarwal et al., 2011).

### **Diversity Status**

Highest Simpson index (1-D) (0.9532) was found at Zone 4 and lowest (0.9155) was found at zone 1. Higher Simpson index (1-D) values were found in April (0.9468) where low during December (0.8899). Highest Shannon index (H) (3.17) was found at Zone 4 and lowest (2.874) was found at zone 1. Higher Shannon index (H) values were found in April (3.11) where low during December (2.549). Highest evenness value (0.8819) was found at Zone 4 and lowest (0.6557) was found at zone 1. Highest evenness value was found 0.8303 in April and lowest value observed 0.6096 in December. The main causes of the differences occurring in the biodiversity indexes are seasonal variations of nutrients at the seagrass beds affecting the coexistence of many shspecies (Huh and Kitting, 1985).

# CCA analysis of species abundance and water parameters

The results obtained from first two axes were plotted in (Fig. 1). The vector length of a given variable indicates the importance of the variable in CCA analysis and the longest vector of pH at four showed significant correlation with zone Z1. Vector length of dissolved oxygen showed significant with zone Z2 and Z3 where water temperature showed significant relation with zone Z4. High values of pH are associated with *Pseudechenius sulcatus* and *Clupisoma garua*. High values of DO are associated with *Schizothorax plagiostomus* and *Tor tor*. High values of water velocity are associated with *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Fig. 1).

# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi for funding through National Institute of Hydrology (NIH) Roorkee under NMSHE project "Study of aquatic ecology and Biodiversity of Upper Ganga Basin".



Fig. 1. CCA analysis of fish species abundance and water parameters.

# References

- Ayoade, A. A., Agarwal, N. K. and Chandola Saklani, A. 2009. Changes in Physico-chemical Features and Plankton of Two Regulated High-Altitude Rivers Garhwal Himalaya, India. *European Journal of Scientific Research.* 27(1) : 77-92.
- Agarwal, N. K, Singh, G. and Singh, H. 2011. Present status of Ichthyofaunal diversity of Garhwal Himalayan river Bhilangana and its tributaries with reference to changing environment. *Environment Conservation Journal*. 12 (3) : 101-108.
- Huh, S. H. and Kitting, C.L. 1985. Trophic relationships among concentrated populations of small shes in seagrass meadows. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 92 : 29–43.
- Khanna, D. R., Bhutiani, R. and Mukesh, R. 2013. Fish Diversity and Their Limnological Status of Ganga River System in Foothills of Garhwal Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. *Journal of Environmental Research and Development* 7(4) : 1374-1380.
- Kumar, V., Malik, D. S. and Sharma, A. K. 2018. Study on Distribution Pattern of Benthic Diversity in Relation to Water Quality of River Ganga and its Tributaries., Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) 5(5): 88-103.
- Kumar, D., Malik, D. S., Sharma, A. K. and Gupta, V. 2019. Estimation of Length-weight and Length-length Relationship of Catfish, *Rita rita* Inhabiting in the Middle Stretch of River Ganga. *Annals of Biology*. 35 (2): 156-159.
- Malik. D. S., Sharma. A. K. and Bargali, H. 2018. Status of phytoplankton diversity in relation to water quality of Bhagirathi riverine system in Garhwal Himalaya.

*International Journal of Advanced Science and Research.* 3 (1): 30-37.

- Nautiyal, P., Agarwal, N. K. and Singh, H. R. 1993. Certain abiotic components of the fluvial system and their interrelationships as evidenced by statistical evaluation. In: *Advances in Limnology*, edited by HR Singh, Narendra Publishing House, Delhi. pp. 181-186.
- Pathak, S. K., Prasad, S. and Pathak, T. 2015. Determination of Water Quality Index River Bhagirathi In Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India. *International Journal* of Research – Granthaalayah 3(9): 1-7.
- Sarkar, U. K., Gupta, B. K., Lakra, W. S. 2010. Biodiversity, ecohydrology, threat status and conservation priority of the freshwater fishes of river Gomti, a tributary of river Ganga (India). *Environmentalist*. 30 (1) : 3-17.
- Srivastava, V., Prasad, C., Gaur, A., Goel, D. K. and Verma, A. 2016. Physico-chemical and biological parameters investigation of River Ganga: From Source to plain of Allahabad in India. *Eur Exp Biol.* 6 : 1-5.
- Sharma, A. K., Malik, D. S. and Bargali, H. 2018. Present status of fish diversity and population abundance of selected fish species in Bhagirathi river at Uttarakhand. *International Journal of Creative Research thoughts (IJCRT)*. 6 (1): 432-438.
- Sharma, A. K., Malik, D. S. and Bargali, H. 2018. Food and Feeding Habits of *Schizothorox Richordsonii* (Gray, 1832) Inhibiting Bhagirathi River, Tehri Garhwal, India., *Int. J. Recent Sci. Res.* 9 (4): 25562-25565.
- Sharma, A. K., Malik, D. S. and Tyagi, D. 2019. Food and feeding habits of *Tor tor* (Hamilton, 1822) and *Schizothorox richordsonii* (Gray, 1832) inhibiting Bhagirathi river, Tehri Garhwal, India. *International Journal of Science and Nature* (*IJSN*). 10(1) 97-103. (2229-6441).