

DOI No.: <http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2023.v29i06s.072>

Effect of Elevated CO₂ on the Growth, Physiological Traits and Biological Yield of Three Genotypes of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Kamla Dhyani¹, Rupinder Kaur^{2*}, Rupali Kaushik³, Shweta Sahni⁴ and Girish Chandra⁵

^{1,4}*School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun 248 001, Uttarakhand, India*

^{2,3}*Department of Agriculture, Tula's Institute, Dehradun 248 001, Uttarakhand, India*

⁵*School of Basic and Applied Science, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun 248 001, Uttarakhand, India*

(Received 12 July, 2023; Accepted 13 September, 2023)

ABSTRACT

Because of economical relevance of wheat being a staple crop, it is important to understand how this crop will respond to the foreseen increase in atmospheric CO₂ conc. An experiment was conducted to know about the physiological and growth pattern of three genotypes PBW-373, PBW-343, PBW-502 of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under elevated CO₂ condition. Two chambers one with increased CO₂ and one with ambient CO₂ were employed. Crop was cultivated within the chamber under ambient (400 ppm) and enhanced CO₂ (800 ppm) conditions, from seedling to maturity. Compared to ambient CO₂, crop produced more biomass. The impact of EC on plant growth, reproductive structure, productivity and physiological parameters (photosynthetic rate, transpiration, stomatal conductance, morphology, leaf area and biomass) etc. were examined. The outcomes weren't one way. For one plant growth cycle, all the parameters were recorded. Photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and biomass all experienced significant increases among two genotypes out of three. Overall performance of PBW-343, PBW-373 was determined to be good in view of test weight under high CO₂ conditions. Genotypic variation was also documented. Both genotypes are known to be tolerant of high CO₂ conditions. Overall, it can be said that EC promotes plant growth and biomass, although further research is needed to determine how EC affects flowering. Increases in shoot length, root length, and the number of tillers in terms of their size and weight were caused by the high CO₂ concentration. The economic yield of wheat per plant significantly increases when exposed to high CO₂. In this work, we investigated the impact of current and projected CO₂ concentration on wheat crop growth, biomass, and yield.

Key words: *Elevated CO₂, Photosynthetic rate, Stomatal conductance, Productivity, Physiological parameters, Reproductive growth, Biomass.*

Introduction

There is a significant discrepancy between the current CO₂ level, which is around 400 molCO₂.mol⁻¹, and the preindustrial level, which was 280 mol CO₂ mol⁻¹, due to anthropogenic activities such as pollu-

tion and industrialization. According to future predictions, air temperatures will rise by 2.6–5.4°C before the end of the century (IPCC, 2007a; Dlugokencky and Tans, 2017) as well as the average global amounts of greenhouse gases (GHGs), including CO₂, CH₄, N₂, and ozone in the troposphere

will increase. The combined land and ocean temperature has risen at an average rate of 0.14°F (0.08°C) per decade since 1880, according to NOAA's 2021 annual climate report. However, the average increase rate since 1981 has been more than twice as fast 0.32°F (0.18 °C) per decade. Global warming is mostly attributed to the increase in atmospheric (CO₂), which is expected to increase by 1.5 to 2 °C in the end of the 21st century (IPCC, 2021).

One of the well-documented global atmospheric trends over the past 50 years is the rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration (Prentice, 2001). Climate change will reduce the global area suitable for coffee by about 50 % across emission scenarios (Bunn, 2015). Agriculture and climate changes are both significant worldwide issues, and one has an impact on the other in one way or another. Unpredictable weather could be a factor in how climate change may affect the agriculture. To comprehend how climate change is affecting agriculture and to establish mitigation methods, local or regional research is more important (Kalra *et al.*, 2008). The earth's temperature is expected to rise by 2-3 °C as a result of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration doubling, which might adversely impact agricultural production. The key impacts on agriculture are likely to be the favourable gains in crop yields and water usage efficiency while more recent empirical research suggests that the warming may only be 0.25 °C. In comparison to the ambient chamber, the total biomass increased by 65.4% and 39%, respectively, at 700 and 550 ppm CO₂ (Vanaja, 2007).

There will be possibilities to improve productivity for some areas and crops, but overall, there is no question that net agriculture production will be negatively impacted by climate change (IPCC, 2007a). For the field study of crops in high CO₂ environments, open top chambers are the primary information source. A considerable amount of the potential increases in average crop yields owing to technical advancements, CO₂ fertilization, and other variables could be offset by climate trends since 1980, as demonstrated by Lobell *et al.*, (2011). Due to anthropogenic activities i.e., pollution, industrialization, the current CO₂ level is approximately 400 μmol CO₂mol⁻¹ and the preindustrial level was 280 μmol CO₂mol⁻¹, and it is great difference. The global average concentrations of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) such as CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and tropospheric ozone. According to NOAA's 2021 annual climate

report the combined land and ocean temperature has increased at an average rate of 0.14°F (0.08°C) per decade since 1880; however, the average rate of increase since 1981 has been more than twice as fast: 0.32°F (0.18 °C) per decade.

The amount of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases emitted over the next few decades will determine how much earth will warm in the future. Today, the burning of fossil fuels and the clearance of forests contribute about 11 billion metric tons of carbon every year, which is almost 40 billion metric tons of CO₂. The amount of carbon in the atmosphere exceeds what can be eliminated by natural processes, causing an annual increase in carbon dioxide (NOAA's Annual Climate Report for 2021). The cereal crop known as wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is significant. It is essential to restore productivity development in the main wheat-producing regions of the world (CIMMYT). It is grown in a variety of conditions, and the increased atmospheric CO₂ is a severe issue that affects the yield. Burning biomass residue and fossil fuels are two sources of CO₂ in the atmosphere. Since cereal crops are more susceptible to environmental stress, research is needed to determine how elevated CO₂ levels affect wheat growth and development.

According to Xiong (2021), stress tolerance breeding is improving wheat's hardiness under rising temperatures. A new study reveals that increasingly unpredictable weather presents challenges for developing widely-adapted wheat lines. Such research is crucial for ensuring food security and creating wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties that are more resistant to CO₂ and temperature stress. This investigation was carried out on three wheat genotypes (PBW-502, PBW-343, PBW-373) using open top chamber facility projected increased CO₂ (800 ppm) and ambient (400 ppm) in order to close such information gaps. Climate change has reportedly made it more difficult for breeders to identify lines that are adapted to abiotic stress, according to a CIMMYT publication from 2021. Additionally, they emphasize how climate change hinders the development of wheat breeding for yield and environmental adaptation. Future unpredictable weather conditions demonstrate how crucial it is to test wheat for climatic conditions in order to improve stress tolerance research for breeding. Wheat hardiness for high CO₂ is a crucial area for physiology and breeding for stress resistance in the future.

Materials and Methods

Study site and description of open top chamber (OTC)

The current experiment was carried out in the division of ecology and climate change of FRI (Dehradun), Uttarakhand, India (32°20'44.2172"N, 78°0'41.6185"E and 668 m.a.s.l.), in an open top chamber with enhanced CO₂ conditions. As per reports available from Singh *et al.*, (2018) OTC are made of 80%-85% transmittance high quality multi-layer polycarbonate sheet (3.0 m, width × 3.0 m, length × 4.0 m height). Commercial-grade CO₂ gas (100%) was injected into the chambers in the needed quantity. One OTC was used as the control chamber, which had 400 PPM of atmospheric CO₂. From seedling to harvest stage, growth cycle of *Triticum aestivum* was maintained with another OTC, (EC at 800 ppm). Sensors placed inside each compartment were used to measure the CO₂ levels. The appropriate chamber's solenoid valves were used to maintain the EC in each chamber.

Seed sowing and other practices

Seeds of all the three genotypes of *Triticum aestivum* PBW-373, PBW-343 and PBW-502 were purchased from the Pant Nagar-based Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology's Crop Research Centre. In plastic trays with soil, sand, and manure as the growing medium (2:1:1), seeds were planted (Fig. 1). Seedlings that were 15 days old were transplanted into pots and allowed to acclimate to pot conditions outside of OTC for 4 days. Seedlings were then placed in OTCs and given 4 days to acclimate to the environment. Finally, each OTC received the necessary amount of CO₂ gas. Plants received CO₂ treatment during their



Fig. 1. Seed sowing in trays (all the three genotypes of Wheat)

whole growth cycle (from germination to maturity). Throughout the life cycle, regular weeding and watering was done. 40 seeds of each of the three wheat varieties namely PBW-373, PBW-343, and PBW-502 were seeded in six trays, two of which were placed in each of the two chambers, OTC 1 (ambient 400 ppm) and OTC 2 (800 ppm) as given in Fig. 2 and 3.



Fig. 2. Wheat plants growing in OTC 2 (800 ppm)



Fig. 3. Open top chamber facility of different concentration of CO₂ in Forest Research Center, Dehradun

Growth parameters

The study was carried out for 5 months (November 2018 to April 2019) from germination to flowering stage. The parameters related to growth dynamics such as plant height (cm) at different days of interval, number of spikes, spike length, leaf diameter, fresh weight of plant, fresh weight of shoot, fresh weight of root, dry weights of root and shoot (g), height of spikes (cm) and grain weight, were considered.

Physiological parameters

All the physiological parameters like photosynthesis, transpiration, stomatal conductance, water use efficiency, chlorophyll estimation was observed under elevated CO₂ concentration (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Adaptive physiological responses of three genotypes of Wheat under ambient (400 ppm) and elevated (800 ppm) CO₂ concentrations

Measurement of adaptive physiological traits

The main physiological traits, i.e., rate of photosynthesis (μmol CO₂ m⁻²s⁻¹), transpiration (mmol H₂O m⁻²s⁻¹), stomatal response (mol H₂O m⁻²s⁻¹), and intercellular CO₂ concentration (μmol CO₂ mol⁻¹), were measured during vegetative phase using (PPS) Portable Photosynthetic System (Model 6400 XT-LICOR, Incl USA. Between 9:30 am and 12:30 pm, when the sky was clear, physiological parameters were measured in the youngest and most fully exhausted flag leaves. Eight plants, with five replicates of each plant, yielded 40 observations for each parameter. The ratio of CO₂ photosynthetic rate (A) to transpiration (E) was used to calculate the instantaneous water use efficiency (A/E). The ratio of photosynthetic rate (A) to stomatal conductance (gs) was used to estimate intrinsic water use efficiency, whereas the ratio of photosynthetic rate (A) to intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i) was used to estimate intrinsic carboxylation efficiency. Additionally, to determine the intrinsic mesophyll efficiency (C_i/gs), the ratio of intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i) to stomatal conductance (gs) was computed (Warrier *et al.*, 2013; Singh *et al.*, 2016 and Singh *et al.*, 2018).

Biomass estimation

For the purposes of the biomass study, the plants were uprooted, and the fresh weights of 10 plants from each replication were measured. The roots were then cleansed with distilled water to eliminate any soil that had adhered to the root hairs. According to Wu *et al.*, (2013) and Singh *et al.*, (2018), the fresh plant parts were weighed, oven dried at 65°C

until a constant weight was attained, and then weighed once again.

Chlorophyll estimation

The amount of chlorophyll was calculated based on Hiscox and Israelstam's (1979) research. 50 mg of freshly chopped leaves were put to a test tube containing 10 ml of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO). After that, the tube containing the leaf fragments and DMSO was incubated in an oven at 65 °C for three hours. After 3 hours of incubation, the content was agitated once or twice, and the absorbance at 663 and 645 was measured using a spectrophotometer against a pure DMSO blank. The following formula was used to compute the amount of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll (mg⁻¹ fresh weight of leaf) was estimated by using following formula.

$$\text{Chl}_a \text{ (mg/g)} = \{12.7(A_{663}) - 2.69(A_{645})\} \times V / (1000 \times W)$$

$$\text{Chl}_b \text{ (mg/g)} = \{22.9(A_{645}) - 4.68(A_{663})\} \times V / (1000 \times W)$$

$$\text{Chl total (mg/g)} = \{20.2(A_{645}) + 8.02(A_{663})\} \times V / (1000 \times W)$$

V= volume of solvent

W= Fresh weight of tissue extracted

Statistical analysis

All the experimental data were analysed by using SPSS 16.0 software to carry out the multivariate general linear model to observe the existence of significant mean difference in response of physiological parameters, biomass, and carbon accumulation at different CO₂ levels. The critical difference at 5% level of probability was calculated for testing the significance difference between two means. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the impact of cultivar and the four treatments. The statistical significance of data was compared based on their 95% confidence level (p < 0.05) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion

Adaptive physiological responses to the elevated CO₂ condition

The parameters for the physiological response to CO₂ indicated a significant difference between the control (400 ppm) and high (800 ppm) CO₂ values (Table 1).

Photosynthetic rate

The highest photosynthetic rate in variety PBW-343

was determined to be at 800 ppm CO₂, which is (5.63 mol CO₂m⁻² s⁻¹), when compared to ambient CO₂ levels with raised 800 ppm. In ambient (400 ppm) CO₂ conditions, it was discovered that the photosynthetic rate of the same variety (PBW-343) was approximately lower (4.98 mol CO₂m⁻² s⁻¹). Wheat's photosynthetic rates were found to be positively impacted by CO₂ levels; however there were clear variances across the various wheat kinds. Under both CO₂ concentrations (400 ppm and 800 ppm), variety PBW-502 demonstrated its maximum photosynthetic rate. At an EC of 400, the variety PBW-373's photosynthetic rate increased from 3.06 mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ to 4.88 mol CO₂m⁻² s⁻¹.

Water use efficiency

At a time interval of 80 days, the water use efficiency of all three varieties, PBW-502(1165.2), PBW-343(1269.4), and PBW-373(1124.1) rose in an elevated state (800 ppm), but the water use efficiency of all three varieties decreased in an ambient CO₂ condition (400 ppm), including PBW-502(438.2), PBW-343(439.9), and PBW-373(486.3).

Transpiration rate

Additionally, it was noted that elevated CO₂ concentrations caused greater water loss from the leaf surface through transpiration. At intervals of 80 days, all three genotypes PBW-502(9.17), PB-343(8.65), and PBW-373(10.28) saw an increase in transpiration rate under elevated CO₂ (800 ppm), while all three varieties PBW-502(4.56), PBW-343(5.49), and PBW-373(2.58) had a drop in transpiration rate under ambient conditions (400 ppm).

Stomatal conductance

Under EC (800 ppm), but not for ambient (400 ppm), stomatal opening and closure were observed to be significantly stimulated in terms of stomatal conductance. For the cultivars PBW-502, PBW-343, and PBW-373, stomatal conductance was determined to be 0.29, 0.25, and 0.35 mol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹, each under 400 ppm. For all three kinds, stomatal conductance increased under EC conditions by 0.37, 0.29, and 0.39 mol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ compared to ambient.

Intercellular CO₂ concentration

Intercellular CO₂ concentrations for the cultivars PBW-502, PBW-343, and PBW-373 were found to be 425.14, 395.38, and 399.45, respectively, in EC condition (800 ppm). For all three for the cultivars PBW-

502, PBW-343, and PBW-373 it reduced by 369.40, 349.43, and 360.09 under ambient conditions (400 ppm).

Leaf temperature

The varieties PBW-502, PBW-343, and PBW-373 had respective leaf temperatures of 28.72°C, 29.33 °C, and 32.27 °C under ambient conditions; however, increasing the CO₂ concentration also caused an increase in leaf temperature, with values of 32.03°C (PBW-502), 33.13 °C (PBW-343) and 33.09 °C (PBW-373) being recorded under 800 ppm. Furthermore a tendency of higher leaf temperature was observed efficiently under 800 ppm in comparison to the ambient condition.

In genotype PBW-343 photosynthetic rate was found to be lowered (47%) under the elevated CO₂ conditions, but in genotype PBW-502 photosynthetic rate was found to be increased (54%) at elevated CO₂ (800 ppm) as compared to ambient (400 ppm). Similarly in the genotype PBW-373, 34% more photosynthetic activity was found under high CO₂ levels. Stomatal conductance was 50% higher in genotype PBW-502 under elevated CO₂ (800 ppm) than at ambient (400 ppm). While genotype PBW-343 shows no discernible variation under low or high CO₂ conditions. Under high CO₂ compared to ambient conditions, genotype PBW-373 exhibited a 40% increase in stomatal conductance.

From present study it was found that overall, all the physiological parameters (photosynthetic rate, biomass, transpiration, etc.) were positively affected by elevated CO₂, the results are also similar to other researchers Singh *et al.*, (2018) and Sharma (2019). But different varieties showed different response in ambient and elevated CO₂ condition. Overall variety PBW-343 is more CO₂ resistant rather than PBW-502 and PBW-373. Increase in CO₂ concentration causes a rapid development of leaf area, which increases the availability of transpiring surface subsequently enhancing transpiration rate (Morison and Gifford, 1984 and Sharma, 2019). Physiological characters of all the three genotypes from control to EC (400 to 800 ppm) are presented in Table 1.

Growth and yield parameters affected by elevated CO₂

The results related to growth and yield parameters are given in Table 2. The fresh weight and dry weight of leaves shoot and root of all three genotypes found to be enhanced under an elevated CO₂

condition (800 ppm). The plants produced maximum biomass under high CO₂ condition. Maximum plant height (14.06 cm) was attained by genotype PBW-343 in EC condition and minimum (11.95 cm) was attained by PBW-373 at ambient CO₂ condition (400 ppm), overall maximum plant height was recorded in EC condition in comparison to the ambient CO₂ condition (400 ppm). It means elevated CO₂ have positive effects on plant height in Wheat. In case of fresh weight of the whole plant, overall maximum biomass was attained by variety PBW-343 (16.61 gm) under elevated CO₂ condition as compared to ambient (400 ppm) which is 7.18 g in PBW-502. Overall, 60.59 %, 54.48 % and 56.83 % increase in the total biomass of all the three varieties respectively, PBW-502, PBW-343 and PBW-373 was recorded. The plants became taller and produced maximum biomass under elevated CO₂ conc. It was also observed that rising of, atmospheric CO₂ could significantly stimulated the leaf photosynthesis and above-ground dry weight biomass production in C₃ plant species, *Xanthium strumarium* (Ziska, 2001).

Plant Biomass

Plant biomass was studied as both fresh weight of above ground and below ground part. There was a significant increase (68%) in plant fresh weight, under elevated CO₂ condition; Average fresh weight of a wheat was reported (13.14 gm) under elevated CO₂, while it is (7.8 gm) under ambient condition. Variety PBW-343 produced maximum fresh weight and dry weight under elevated CO₂ (800 ppm), which is followed by variety PBW-373. High atmospheric CO₂ conc. affected the plant biomass in the present work. These results are quite similar to the finding of Hu *et al.* (2021) which also reported that high atmospheric CO₂ promoted various physi-

ological and growth responses such as water and nutrient uptake, tillering, leaf photosynthesis, floret differentiation and grain filling, which leads to increased plant growth and grain yield.

Dry root weight

There was found an increase of 11.2 % in root dry weight (1.25g) under elevated CO₂ (800 ppm) in comparison to (1.11 g) ambient (400 ppm). Maximum root dry weight was attained by variety PBW-373 and PBW-502 under elevated CO₂ condition. There was 53 % more root fresh weight in elevated CO₂ condition. In case of root dry weight 87 % more root dry weight was observed under elevated CO₂ condition but maximum root dry weight was in PBW-502 (2.56 g). The results were similar with Singh *et al.*, 2018.

Leaf diameter

It was observed that leaf diameter increased under elevated conditions. A total of 13% more leaf diameter attained 800 ppm, maximum leaf diameter was attained by PBW-343 and PBW-373 under elevated CO₂ condition and minimum in PBW-373 under ambient condition.

Chlorophyll content

There was found a significant difference (13.8%) increase in chlorophyll content in under elevated CO₂. All the variety showed difference in ambient and elevated CO₂. It means elevated CO₂ have some positive effect on chlorophyll content in the plant. The corresponding results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2018). Among the varieties PBW-343 and PBW-373 attained maximum chlorophyll content under elevated CO₂, this may be reason for more biomass under elevated CO₂ (800 ppm) condition. These

Table 1. Effect of two levels of CO₂ conc. ambient (400ppm) and elevated (800 ppm) on the physiological characters of all the three genotypes (PBW-502, PBW-343, PBW-373) of Wheat

Treatments	Photosynthetic Rate ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Water Use Efficiency	Transpiration Rate ($\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Stomatal Conductance ($\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Intercellular CO ₂	Leaf Temp.(^o C)
T1V1	3.56	438.2	9.17	0.290	425.14	28.72
T1V2	4.98	439.9	8.65	0.253	395.38	29.33
T1V3	3.06	486.3	10.28	0.354	399.45	32.27
T2V1	4.00	1165.2	4.56	0.377	369.40	32.03
T2V2	5.63	1226.4	5.49	0.294	349.43	33.13
T2V3	4.88	1124.1	2.58	0.398	360.09	33.09
SE	0.815	125.12	24	0.012	24	1.79
CD	NS	NS	NS	0.034	NS	5.2

findings are accordance with (Zhang *et al.*, 2022), in compared to the control, the leaf chlorophyll content under elevated CO₂ and warming in three cultivars had an average increase of 10% and 15%, respectively in 3 varieties of wheat. It was found that chlorophyll content showed an increase from ambient to elevated condition from 2.32 (PBW-502), 2.68 (PBW-343) and 2.86 (PBW-373) under 400 ppm to 2.47 (PBW-502), 3.21 (PBW-343) and 3.17 (PBW-373) under 800 ppm. So there was found a significant increment.

Yield attributes

Spike length was maximum in ambient as compare to elevated CO₂, average spike length (3.08 cm) was observed in ambient 400 ppm while (2.19 cm) in 800 ppm. In case of grain weight it was more in 400ppm as compare to 800 ppm.

Grain weight/spike

There is a non-significant difference in grain weight/spikes, it may be due to some negative effect on flowering. High leaf area leads to higher biomass (fresh weight and dry weight) accumulation upon elevated CO₂ treatment, this may be due to the high rate of photosynthesis and water use efficiency under elevated CO₂ condition. Improvement in leaf area and LAI was recorded (Rangaswamy *et al.*, 2021), at both elevated levels of CO₂. There was significantly higher total leaf area at 50% flowering in

tomato. Additional examination of 81 tests with controlled CO₂ concentrations revealed that with a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ concentration, yields will likely rise by 33%. A further 46 observations were retrieved and averaged on the impact of CO₂ enrichment on transpiration. When paired with the yield increase, these findings showed that a doubling of CO₂ concentration might cut transpiration by 34%, which suggests that water use efficiency may double.

Our findings are corroborated with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2016) and who also reported an increase in crop height by the exposure to increasing CO₂ concentration. High leaf area leads to higher biomass (fresh weight and dry weight) accumulation upon elevated CO₂ treatment, this may be due to the high rate of photosynthesis and water use efficiency under elevated CO₂ condition. Improvement in leaf area and LAI was recorded (Rangaswamy *et al.*, 2021), at both elevated levels of CO₂. There was significantly higher total leaf area at 50% flowering in tomato.

Wang *et al.*, (2013) worked on 59 experimental data pertaining to photosynthesis of wheat crops grown across the world under EC (450-800 ppm) and recorded an increase of 33% in comparison to the ambient conditions. The observations made here are in conformity with their findings. In the present observation, it was found that increase in photosynthesis under elevated CO₂ condition (800 ppm) as

Table 2. Effect of ambient and elevated CO₂ on growth of three genotypes (PBW-502, PBW-343, PBW-373) of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)

Growth Parameters Variety/Treatment	Under ambient condition CO ₂ (400 ppm)			Under elevated CO ₂ (800 ppm)			SE	CD
	PBW-502	PBW-343	PBW-373	PBW-502	PBW-343	PBW-373		
	T1V1	T1V2	T1V3	T2V1	T2V2	T2V3		
Plant Height (cm)(At maturity)	12.53	12.98	11.95	13.24	14.06	13.25	1.19	NS
Fresh Weight (Plant) at maturity (g)	7.18	9.05	7.73	11.85	16.61	13.60	1.28	NS
Dry weight Shoot (g) 40 DAS	5.46	5.42	7.02	7.99	12.42	12.7	1.25	3.82
70 DAS	0.24	0.42	0.42	0.98	0.48	0.36	3.57	NS
Dry weight Root (g) 40 DAS	0.29	0.58	0.64	0.83	0.85	0.74	0.43	1.32
70 DAS	0.02	0.12	0.15	2.56	0.13	0.19	0.73	NS
Chlorophyll Content (mg/g)	2.32	2.69	2.86	2.47	3.21	3.17	0.65	NS
Spike length (cm) 100DAS	1.95	2.51	2.13	3.29	3.07	3.04	19	NS
No of spikelet's	13.5	11.5	13.5	28.7	29.7	28.1	2.83	8.63
Leaf Diameter (cm)	0.75	0.84	0.72	0.85	0.91	0.92	1.49	NS
Grain Weight (g) test	35.3	36.2	33.5	27.85	36.25	38.25		NS

V1= Variety 1, V2= Variety 2 and V3= Variety 3

T1 (Treatment 1) = Ambient CO₂ conc.

T2 (Treatment 2) = Elevated CO₂ conc.

compare to ambient (400 ppm). Root dry weight, Chlorophyll content, no. of spikelet's and grain yield was found maximum in elevated CO₂ condition as compare to ambient CO₂. Best growth parameters were seen in variety PBW-343. There was found a significant difference in chlorophyll content in all the variety in both the case ambient and elevated CO₂, it means elevated CO₂ have some positive effect on chlorophyll content in the plant. The corresponding results were reported by (Blandino *et al.*, 2020; Chavan *et al.*, 2019; Hatfield *et al.*, 2019; Korres *et al.*, 2016; Li *et al.*, 2020; Singh *et al.* (2018); Tcherkez *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2021 and Yadav *et al.*, 2019. Besides above, the carbon allocation to leaves, stem, and root parts was also enhanced under an elevated CO₂ condition. The plants become taller and produce maximum biomass under elevated CO₂ condition. It was also observed that rising of atmospheric CO₂ could significantly stimulate leaf photosynthesis and above-ground dry weight biomass production of a C₃ species, *Xanthium strumarium* (Ziska, 2001). Similar results were reported for biomass and photosynthesis for *Cirsium arvense* L. (Ziska 2002). The biomass production and water use efficiency of the plants exposed to elevated CO₂ condition too increased. The corresponding results were reported by (Barnes *et al.*, 1995; Kannojiya *et al.*, 2019; Lamichaney *et al.*, 2021; Prakash *et al.*, 2022; Pandey *et al.*, 2017; Singh *et al.*, 2018. Enhanced CO₂ level can lead to positive effects more in C₃ plants compared to C₄ plants (Mishra *et al.*, 2013; Rawat and Melkania., 2015; Pleijel and Hogy, 2015).

According to the present study and earlier research, exposure to 800 ppm CO₂ has an impact on a plant's growth, development, and yield. Plant biomass (fresh weight and dry weight) and yield (grain weight/spike), which are all impacted by the CO₂, are typically caused by a greater availability of photosynthates, all growth related metrics are responding favourably, but a non significant effect on yield was observed (Broberg *et al.*, 2019) future research on the effects of CO₂ on flowering and grain production per hectare is necessary. Many growth and physiological parameters are significantly affected by varietal differences; variety PBW-343 has demonstrated greater resilience to CO₂, while variety PBW-502 has detrimental effects of CO₂ on both morphology and physiological functions.

Conclusion

The results of the current study show that the *Triti-*

cum aestivum is capable of adapting to changing climatic conditions, particularly those associated with increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration. The physiological processes, particularly the photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, water use efficiency and, may be improved under such elevated CO₂ concentration conditions, promoting plant growth and ultimately increasing biomass production, particularly the root biomass in *Triticum aestivum*. This plant may therefore be among the most significant cereal crops that will be more suited to reducing climate change in the future. Three genotypes of wheat, PBW-502, PBW-343, and PBW-734, were employed in the current study. There are genotypic differences between these genotypes in terms of growth and physiological traits. Therefore, in the future, we can choose genotypes that are CO₂ tolerant and beneficial for the future physiological breeding programme. To recommend more CO₂ resistant varieties for the upcoming future of climate change, additional screening tools for more variety are therefore needed at a significant level.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very thankful to the Director, FRI, Dehradun for providing the necessary facility to carry out the proposed study as well as to Dr. Hukum Singh, Scientist B in Division of Ecology and Climate change.

References

- Barnes, J.D., Ollerenshaw, J.H. and Whitfield, C.P. 1995. Effects of elevated CO₂ and/or O₃ on Growth, development and physiology of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 1:129-142.
- Blandino, M., Badeck, F.W., Giordano, D., Marti, A., Rizza, F., Scarpino, V. and Vaccino P. 2020. Elevated CO₂ Impact on Common Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Yield, Wholemeal Quality, and Sanitary Risk. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 68(39):10574-10585.
- Broberg, M.C., Hogy, P., Feng, Z. and Pleijel, H. 2019. Effects of Elevated CO₂ on Wheat Yield: Non-Linear Response and Relation to Site Productivity. *Agronomy.* 9: 243-245.
- Bunn, C., Laderach, P., Ovalle-Rivera O. and Kirschke, D. 2015. A bitter cup: climate change profile of global production of Arabica and Robusta Coffee. *Clim. Change.* 129(1-2): 89-101.
- Chavan, S.G., Duursma, R.A., Tausz, M. and Ghannoum, O. 2019. Elevated CO₂ alleviates the negative impact of heat stress on wheat physiology but not on grain

- yield. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70:6447-6459.
- Dlugokencky, E. and Tans, P. 2017. Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide. National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL).
- Ekhar, K.M., Kota, V.R., Reddy, T.P., Rao, K.V. and Reddy A.R. 2021. Amelioration of plant responses to drought under elevated CO₂ by rejuvenating photosynthesis and nitrogen use efficiency: implications for future climate resilient crops. *Photos. Res.* 150(1-3):21-40. doi: 10.1007/s11120-020-00772-5.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez A. 1984. *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research*. 2nd ed. Willey New York, USA, pp. 704.
- Hatfield, J.L. and Dold, C. 2019. Water-use efficiency: Advances and challenges in a changing climate. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10: 1-14.
- Hiscox, J.D. and Israelstam, G.F. 2011. Erratum: A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. *Can. J. of Bot.* (57):1332-1334.
- Hu, S., Chen, W., Tong, K., Wang, Y., Jing, L., Wang, Y. and Yang, L. 2021. Response of rice growth and leaf physiology to elevated CO₂ concentrations: A meta-analysis of 20-year FACE studies. *Sci Total Environ.* doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151017. Epub 2021 Oct 16.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007a. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Fourth Assessment Report, pp. 51. Available online: <http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/ar4-syr.html>
- Kalra, N., Chakraborty, D., Sharma, A., Rai, H. K., Jolly, M., Chander, S., Kumar, P. R., Bhadraray, S., Barman, D., Mittal, R. B., Lal, M. and Sehgal, M. 2008. Effect of increasing temperature on yield of some winter crops in northwest India. *Curr. Sci.* 94(1): 82-88.
- Kannojiya, S., Singh, S.D., Prasad, S., Kumar, S., Malav, L.C. and Kumar, V. 2019. Effect of elevated temperature and carbon dioxide on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) productivity with and without weed interaction. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.* (89):751-756.
- Korres, N. E., Norsworthy, J. K., Tehranchian, P., Gitsopoulos, T. K., Loka, D. A., Oosterhuis, D. M., Gealy, D. R., Moss, S. R., Burgos, N. R., Miller, M. R. and Palhano, M. 2016. Cultivars to face climate change effects on crops and weeds: a review. *Agron. Sustainable Dev.* 36(1):12. doi: 10.1007/s13593-016-0350-5
- Lamichaney, A., Tewari, K., Basu, P.S., Katiyar, P.K. and Singh, N.P. 2021. Effect of elevated carbon-dioxide on plant growth, Physiology, yield and seed quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Indo-Gangetic plains. *Physiol Mol Biol Plants.* 27(2):251-263.
- Li, S., Li, X., Wei, Z. and Liu, F. 2020. ABA-mediated modulation of elevated CO₂ on stomatal response to drought. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 56:174-180.
- Lobell, D.B., Schlenker, W. and Costa-Roberts, J. 2011. Climate Trends and Global Crop Production since 1980. *Science.* 333: 616-620.
- Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (editors.]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, In press, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.
- Mishra, K.K., Vikram, P., Yadaw, R.B., Swamy, B.M., Dixit, S., Cruz, M.T., Maturan, P., Marker, S. and Kumar, A. 2013. qDTY 12.1: a locus with a consistent effect on grain yield under drought in rice. *BMC Genet.* 14(1):12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-14-12.
- Morison, J.I.L. and Gifford, R.A. 1984. Plant growth and water use with limited water supply in high CO₂ concentrations: leaf area, water use, and transpiration. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 11:361-374.
- NOAA National Centres for Environmental Information, Monthly Global Climate Report for Annual 2021, published online January 2022, retrieved on July 11, 2023 from <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/global/202113>.
- Pandey, V., Sharma, M., Deeba, F., Maurya, V.K., Gupta, S.K., Singh, S.P. and Mishra, A. and Nautiyal, C.S. 2017. Impact of Elevated CO₂ on Wheat Growth and Yield under Free Air CO₂ Enrichment. *Am. J. Clim. Chang.* 6(4):573-596.
- Pleijel, H. and Hogy, P. 2015. CO₂ dose response functions for wheat grains, protein and mineral yield based on FACE and open top chamber experiments. *Env. Pollu.* 198:70-77.
- Prakash, V., Kumari, H. and Singh, H. 2022. Effect of elevated carbon dioxide on growth and development of *Santalum album* L. seedlings inoculated with plant growth promoting microorganisms in Open Top Chambers. *Inte. J. of Env. Agri and Biotech.* 7(5):149-159.
- Prentice, I.C., Farquhar, G.D., Fasham, M.J.R., Goulden, M.L., Heiman, M., Jaramillo, V.J., Kheshgi, H.S., Le, Quere, C., Scholes, R.J., and Wallace, D.W.R. 2001. The carbon cycle and atmospheric carbon dioxide. In Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., Linden, P.J.V.D., Dai, X., Maskell, K. and Johnson, C.A. (Editors). Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis (pp.183-237). Cambridge University Press.
- Rangaswamy, T.C., Sridhara, S., Manoj, K.N., Gopakkali, P., Ramesh, N., Shokralla, S., Zin El-Abidin, T.K., Almutairi, K.F. and Elansary, H.O. 2021. Impact of

- Elevated CO₂ and Temperature on Growth, Development and Nutrient Uptake of Tomato. *Horticulturae*. 7:509. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7110509>
- Rawat, N. and Melkania U. 2015. Effect of increased level of CO₂ on growth and yield of wheat crop. *Int. J. of Curr. Res.* 7 (11): 22261-22265.
- Sharma, R., Singh, H., Kaushik, M., Nautiyal, R. And Singh, O. 2018. Adaptive physiological response, carbon partitioning, and biomass production of *Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal grown under elevated CO₂ regimes. *3 Biotech.* 8:267. doi: 10.1007/s13205-018-1292-1.
- Singh, H., Sharma, R., Savita, A., Singh, M.P., Kumar, M., Verma, A., Ansari, M.W. and Sharma, S.K. 2018. Adaptive physiological response of *Parthenium hysterophorus* to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Ind. For.* 144:1-14.
- Singh, H., Sharma, R., Verma, A., Kumar, M. and Kumar, S. 2016. Zc Can atmospheric CO₂ enrichment alter growth dynamics, structure and functioning of medicinal and aromatic plant (Tulsi)? An approach to understand adaptation and mitigation potential of medicinal and aromatic plants in wake of climate change scenario. In: Annual session of the national academy of sciences India, jointly organized by National Academy of Sciences India and Uttarakhand State Council for Science and Technology (UCOST), Dehradun, 2-4 Dec 2016, pp 94.
- Singh, P., Guleri, R., Singh, V., Kaur, G., Katari, H., Singh, B., Kaura, G., Kaul, S.C., Wadhwa, R. and Pati, P.K. 2015. Biotechnological interventions in *Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng. Rev.* 19:1-20.
- Tcherkez, G., Sinda, B. M., Luis, L., García-Mina, J.M., Zamarreño, A.M., Paradelo, A., Cui, J., Badeck, F.W., Meza, D., Rizza, F., James, B., Han, Xue., Posch, S.T., Cattivelli, L., Fangmeier, A. and Aranjuelo, Iker. 2020. Elevated CO₂ has concurrent effects on leaf and grain metabolism but minimal effects on yield in wheat. *J. of Exp. Bot.* 71(19): 5990-6003.
- Vanaja, M., Reddy, P.R., Lakshmi, N.J, Maheswari, M., Vagheera, P., Ratnakumar, P., Jyothi, M., Yadav, S.K. and Venkateswarlu, B. 2007. Effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations on growth and yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper)-a rainfed pulse crop. Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad, India. *Pl. Soil Environ.* 53(2): 81-88.
- Wang, L., Feng, Z. and Schjoerring, J. K. 2013. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on physiology and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): a meta-analytic test of current hypotheses. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 178: 57-63.
- Wang, X. and Liu, F. 2021. Effects of Elevated CO₂ and Heat on Wheat Grain Quality. *Plants (Basel).* 10(5): 1027.
- Warrier, R.R., Jayaraj, R.S.C. and Balu, A. 2013. Variation in gas exchange characteristics in clones of *Eucalyptus niamaldulensis* under varying conditions of CO₂. *J. Stress Physiol. Biochem.* 9(3): 333-344.
- Wu, J., Hong, J., Wang, X., Sun, J., Lu, X., Fan, J. and Cai, Y. 2013. Biomass partitioning and its relationship with the environmental factors at the alpine steppe in northern Tibet. *PLoS One.* 8: 12.
- Xiong, W., Reynolds, M., Crossa, J., Schulthess, U., Sonder, K., Montes, C., Addimando, N., Singh, R., Ammar, K., Gerard, B. and Payne, T. 2021. Increased ranking change in wheat breeding under climate change. *Nat. Plants.* 7(9): 1207-1212.
- Yadav, A., Bhatia, A., Yadav, S., Kumar, V. and Singh, B. 2019. The effects of elevated CO₂ and elevated O₃ exposure on plant growth, yield and quality of grains of two wheat cultivars grown in north India. *Heliyon.* 5(8):e02317
- Zhang, C., Li, Yansheng., Yu, Zhenhua., Wang, G., Liu, Xiaobing., Liu, Junjie., Liu, Judong., Zhang, X., Yin, K. and Jin, Jian. 2022. Co-elevation of atmospheric CO₂ and temperature alters photosynthetic capacity and instantaneous water use efficiency in rice cultivars in a cold-temperate region. *Front. in Plant Sci.* 13. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1037720>
- Ziska, L.H. 2002. Influence of rising atmospheric CO₂ since 1900 on early growth and photosynthetic response of a noxious invasive weed, Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*). *Funct. Plant Bio.* 29: 1387-1392.
- Ziska, L.R. 2001. Changes in competitive ability between a C₄ crop and a C₃ weed with elevated carbon dioxide. *Weed Sci.* 49: 622-627.
-