Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (3) : 2023; pp. (1131-1135) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2023.v29i03.018

Genetic resources of barnyard millet: enhanced utilization in breeding programs

Ikkurti Gopinath

Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

(Received 7 January, 2023; Accepted 10 March, 2023)

ABSTRACT

Policy interventions that promote food diversification aim to supply and provide access to nutrient rich foods apart from usually consumed staple crops. Small millets hold promise in ensuring food diversity and minimise food-energy malnutrition. Being good source of nutrients, they are the future of snack industry considering rise in health-conscious consumers. Barnyard millet is used for food and fodder. End use application of barnyard millet could be enhanced by information on genomic resources and germplasms available for application of breeding practices.

Key words : Genetic resources, Pre-breeding, Small millet, Barnyard millet.

Introduction

Barnyard millet (*Echinochloa* sp.) is small seeded cereal millet mostly cultivated in Pakistan, India, Japan, Nepal, China and central Africa (Gowda *et al.*, 2009). The Indian barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea*) was domesticated in India while the Japanese barnyard millet (*Echinochloa esculenta*) was originated in eastern Asia. Both species are similar in many traits with overlapping features (Joshi *et al.*, 2015). However, awned and large spikelets with papery glumes makes differs Japanese barnyard millet from Indian barnyard millet. The races of *Echinochloa esculenta* include *crusgalli*, *macrocarpa*, *utilis* and *intermedia*. Barnyard millet is rich in protein (>10%), crude fibre and iron (>10 mg per 100g) (IIMR, 2018).

Prebreeding, Germplasm Screening and Selection

Morphological differences are observed in the cultivated species of *Echinochloa*. They include high seed shattering behaviour of *colona* and *frumentacea* while it is low in other two species (Seetharam *et al.*, 2003).

Differences are also observed in colour of caryopsis with straw brown colouration getting darker in *crusgalli* and yellow brow in *esculenta*. Plant height varies among species with tallest height of 250 cm achieved by crusgalli and frumentacea, colona usually at 60 cm while esculenta reaching intermediate heights (Joshi *et al.*, 2015).

Considering region-wise distribution, majority collections of barnyard millet are conserved in Asia (India and Japan) of which the Indian ICAR-NARS national collections and ICRISAT collections are 4734 and 749, respectively (Table 1). The germplasm collections of Echinochloa species totals to 11268 (http://apps3.fao.org). Core collections in barnyard millet have been established from assessing diversity in more than 700 accession and identifying a core sets of 89 and 50 representative of worldwide collections (Gowda et al., 2009; Upadhyaya et al., 2014). The prebreeding reports state that F1 hybrids derived from cross of two barnyard species are sterile however hybridisation within the genus was reported to be rampant which gave rise to current diversity (Yabuno, 1962; Hilu, 1994; Yamaguchi et al., 2005). The intensive rice-wheat cultivation have sharply decreased production of barnyard millet in India and the world. This reduced diversity of the crop and negligence of its landraces (Sood et al., 2015). Similar to the minor crops, fewer research and resources were devoted to study of barnyard millet. The recent increase in health conscious consumers have recognised the importance of barnyard millet and other small millets owing to nutritional benefits (Varshney et al., 2010). Preliminary morphological characterisation studies of barnyard millet germplasm provides basic information for utility in crop improvement. The Himalayan regions of India harbors promising genotypes for traits like plant height, inflorescence length, tiller number and grain yield (>16g) (Gupta et al., 2009). Germplasm of 89 accessions representing core collections were grouped in three for morpho-agronomic traits (Sood et al., 2015). The studies on barnyard millet germplasm evaluation reported considerable variation for yield related traits (IIMR, 2018). Characterisation of barnyard millet landraces identified resistant lines for grain sumt (RBM 57, RBM77and RBM58), high protein (NDS6, NDS2), high lysine (NDS6, NDS5) and low phytic acid and tannin (NDS6, NDS3)

Varieties, Mating and Methods

The breeding objectives primarily are for higher vield, nutritional value, easy de-hulling and climate resilience. The focus has also shifted to traits like early ground cover, stay-green trait, chlorophyll retention. These traits contribute greatly to drought stress. Crossing and hybridization in barnyard millet is a difficult task due to small size of inflorescence (Seetharam et al., 2003). The African and Indian germplasm have been used in recombination breeding which led to development of many varieties of small millets (Seetharam et al., 2003). Fewer barnyard millet genetic resources are registered in India. The registered mostly consist of easy de-hulling trait as in the case of genotype B29. (Gupta et al., 2014). The first variety released was K1 in India. Of the several varieties released in India, notable varieites are PRJ1, MDU1, Co2, VL207, VL-Madira-172 and Gujarat Banti-1 (Table 2). Till 2012, selection from landraces population have facilitated in release 9 varieties of which 3 were of by hybridization(https://www.millets.res.in/ aicrp_small.php).

Molecular Breeding and markers developed

The development of advanced generation genome sequencing approaches enriched better understanding of genome composition and molecular predictions in crop plants. Chloroplast genome sequencing of seven Echinochloa species provided basic information regarding the conserved gene order, genome organization and its structure (Ye et al., 2014; Perumal et al., 2016). The size of chloroplast genome ranged from 139592-139851 bp with an identity of 99.5% among them. Sebastin et al. (2019) reported presence of 61% AT rich regions and 38.6% GC rich regions with a maximum of 131 genes reported in its chloroplast genome. The comparative genomic studies releaved that barnyard millet is closer to Panicum virgatum with high sequence identity among them than Oryza and Triticum.

Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) have been previously used in barnyard millet diversity analysis (Rutledge et al., 2000). The reports when further validated revealed low representation of actual diversity present in barnyard millet germplasm by the usage of RAPD markers (Prabha et al., 2010). The application of sequence based markers is limited in barnyard millet in the current age of advanced genomics. Nozawa

able worldwide barnyard millet germplasm accessions	USA Others Total		842 360 11268	Renganathan Renganathan Renganathan et al. (2020) et al. (2020) et al. (2020)
	Europe		80	Renganathan et al. (2020)
	Asia	Others	4503	Renganathan et al. (2020)
		ICRISAT	749	http://genebank.icrisat.org/IND/ Core?Crop=Barnyard%20millet
Table 1. Total number of available worldwide		ICAR-NARS	4734	Renganathan et al. (2020)
Table 1. Tot	Crop	Name	Barnyard 4734 millet	References

GOPINATH

et al. (2006) used five SSR markers and characterised 155 accessions of Echinochloa, grouping the accessions of three species into separate 3 clusters. EST-SSR sequences from used from weedy species of Echinochloa are less effective for application in cultivated species. A study reporting in-silico identification of 22 EST-SSR primers by Babu and Chauhan (2017) also identified SSR repeats of which GT dimers, AGA trimers and TGTTT pentamer sequences were most common. In addition to this, sequencing based identification of SSRs by Chen et al. (2017) in E. phyllopogon identified putative SSRs and SNPs. These markers when validated would be of significant utility in studying and understanding diversity, genome structure, mapping of traits, further developing of molecular.

Genes mapped, QTLs identified

The linkage maps constructed in barnyard mark the initial steps to understand markers trait association (MTAs) (Wallace *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2017). Ishiwaka *et al.* (2013) identified MTAs for waxy trait using functional SNPs. They identified three presence of mutant three alleles for waxy gene in barnyard millet namely EeWx1, EeWx1, and EeWx3 associated with low amylose content. In another report of MTA, Renganathan *et al.* (2019) reported MTA of anthocyanin pigments to SSR marker BMESSR 39. The independent validations of these marker trait associations can be useful in identifying linked markers for utilisation in marker assisted selection.

Resistance to Biotic and Abiotic Stress

The barnyard millet cultivation is majorly threatened by grain smut (*Ustilagopanici frumentacea*), loose smut (*Ustilagotritici*) sheath blight and shoot fly. Identification of superior genotypes with resistance to pests is promising approach in millet production. Grain quality and yield is reduced in smut infestation. Joshi *et al.* (2015) studied grain smut in 49 Indian landraces of barnyard millet and reported susceptibility index of 0.0 to 15.3 with a mean of 8.45. Highest variation was observed for grain smut indicating presence of resistance lines (RBM57, RBM77, RBM78, RBM81 and RBM82). Besides these, Nagaraj and Mantur (2008) and Gupta *et al.* (2010) identified barnyard accessions resistance to both loose smut and grain smut. Rawat *et al.* (2019) identified resistant genotypes DHBM996 and TNEF204 against shoot fly and stem borer.

Resistance to abiotic stress for crops like barnyard millet is pivotal importance considering the cultivation soil and agro-ecosystems. *Echinochloa* species usually have higher water use efficiency and dry matter production compared to other millets (Zegada-Lizarazu and Iijima, 2005). Accessions like CO(Kv)2, TNEF204 and MDU 1 were identified to be tolerant to saline growth conditions (Arthi *et al.*, 2019). However, studies on characterization of genes related to performance to abiotic stress are yet to be done. The molecular mechanism pathwaysare to be elucidate. Moreover, breeders are focussing on stay-green trait, early ground cover, chlorophyll retention at later stages to combat moisture stress.

Conclusion

The health benefits associated with food diversification with small millets in incredible. Barnyard millet, being an underutilised crop, has tremendous scope of improvement through application of

Varieties	Remarks	
Co2	Pureline selection from EF79	
RAU11	Pureline selection (Sushrutha)	
VL207	Pedigree selection VL172xGECH506	
Co(KV)2	Goof grain quality	
GP70A	Grain smut resistant	
GP90A	Grain smut resistant	
GP110	Grain smut resistant	
GP117	Grain smut resistant	
PRJ1	Grain smut resistant	
VL-Madira-172	Accessed from: https://www.nutricereals.dac.gov.in/barnyardmillet.aspx	
Gujarat Banti-1	Accessed from: http://millets.res.in/technologies/5-Recommended_Package_of_Practices-	
·	Barnyard_Millet.pdf	

 Table 2. Details of famous barnyard millet varieties in India

genomics assisted breeding. In this international year of millet, improvement of major and minor millets can potentially improve germplasm for targeted traits and thus provide better food diversification source.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally Funding The research is not funded

Acknowledgement

The authors, IG acknowledges fellowship grant from UGC.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

References

- Arthi, N., Rajagopal, B., Geethanjali, S., Nirmalakumari, A. and Natesan, S. 2019. Screening of barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea*) germplasm for salinity tolerance. *Electron J Plant Breed*. 10: 659–666. doi: 10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00083.8
- Babu, B. and Chauhan, R. 2017. In-silico identification of EST based microsatellite markers and SNPs, and comparative genomic analysis of ESTs in barnyard millet for their omics applications. *Curr Agric Res J.* 5: 279–287. doi: 10.12944/CARJ.5.3.03
- Chen, G., Zhang, W., Fang, J. and Dong, L. 2017. Identification of massive molecular markers in *Echinochloaphyllopogon* using a restriction-site associated DNA approach. *Plant Divers*. 39: 287–293. doi: 10.1016/j.pld.2017.08.004
- Gowda, J., Bharathi, S., Somu, G., Krishnappa, M. and Rekha, D. 2009. Formation of core set in barnyard millet [*Echinochloa frumentacea* (Roxb.) Link] germplasm using data on twenty-four morphoagronomic traits. *Int J Plant Sci.* 4: 1–5.
- Gupta, A., Joshi, D., Mahajan, V. and Gupta, H. 2010. Screening barnyard millet germplasm against grain smut (*Ustilagopanici-frumentacei* Brefeld). *Plant Genet Resour.* 8: 52–54. doi: 10.1017/S1479262109990141
- Gupta, A., Mahajan, V., Kumar, M. and Gupta, H. 2009. Biodiversity in the barnyard millet (*Echinochloa* frumentacea Link. Poaceae) germplasm in India. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 56: 883–889. doi: 10.1007/ s10722-009-9462-y
- Gupta, A., Sood, S., Agrawal, P.K. and Bhatt, J.C. 2014. B 29: an easy dehulling barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea* Link) genotype. *Natl Acad Sci Lett*. 38: 21– 24. Doi: 10.1007/s40009-014-0295-7

- Hilu, K. 1994. Evidence from RAPD markers in the evolution of *Echinochloa millets* (Poaceae). *Plant Syst Evol.* 189: 247–257. doi: 10.1007/BF00939730
- IIMR, 2018. Annual Report 2017-18. Hyderabad: Indian Institute of Millets Research.
- Ishikawa, G., Seimiya, Y., Saito, M., Nakamura, T. and Hoshino, T. 2013. Molecular characterization of spontaneous and induced mutations in the three homoeologous waxy genes of Japanese barnyard millet [*Echinochloa esculenta* (A. Braun) H. Scholz]. *Mol Breed*. 31 : 69–78. doi: 10.1007/s11032-012-9769-9
- Joshi, R.P., Jain, A.K., Chauhan, S.S. and Singh, G. 2015. Characterization of barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea* (Roxb.) Link.) landraces for agro-morphological traits and disease resistance. *Electron J Plant Breed*. 6: 888–898.
- Nagaraja, A. and Mantur, S.G. 2008. Evaluation of barnyard millet entries for grain smut resistance and yield. *Mysore J Agric Sci.* 42: 375–377.
- Nozawa, S., Takahashi, M., Nakai, H. and Sato, Y.I. 2006. Difference in SSR variations between japanese barnyard millet (*Echinochloa esculenta*) and its wild relative E. crus-galli. *Breed Sci.* 56: 335–340. doi: 10.1270/ jsbbs.56.335
- Perumal, S., Jayakodi, M., Kim, D.S., Yang, T.J. and Natesan, S. 2016. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of Indian barnyard millet, *Echinochloa frumentacea* (Poaceae). Mitochondrial DNA1: 79–80. doi: 10.1080/23802359.2015.1137832
- Prabha, D., Negi, Y.K. and Khanna, V.K. 2010. Morphological and isozyme diversity in the accessions of two cultivated species of barnyard millet. *Nat Sci.* 8:71–76.
- Rawat, L., Nautiyal, A., Bisht, T.S., Prasad, S., Naithani, D. and Makhloga, K. 2019. Screening of barnyard millet germplasm against shoot fly and stem borer damage under field conditions. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.* 8: 1221–1226. doi: 10.20546/jjcmas.2019.802.142
- Renganathan, V.G., Vanniarajan, C. and Ramalingam, J. 2019. Genetic analysis and identification of molecular markers linked to the anthocyanin pigmentation in barnyard millet [*Echinochloa frumentacea* Roxb (Link)]. In: *Proceedings of the Neglected and Underutilized crop species for Food, Nutrition, Energy and Environment,* (New Delhi: NIPGR), 43.
- Rutledge, J., Talbert, R.E. and Sneller, C.H. 2000. RAPD analysis of genetic variation among propanil-resistant and -susceptible *Echinochloa crus-galli* populations in Arkansas. *Weed Sci.* 48: 669–674. doi: 10.1614/0043-1745(2000) 048[0669:raogva]2.0.co;2
- Sebastin, R., Lee, K.J., Cho, G.T., Lee, J.R., Shin, M.J. and Kim, S.H. 2019. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of japanese millet *Echinochloa esculenta* (A. braun) H. scholz (Poaceae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resour.* 4: 1392–1393. doi: 10.1080/23802359. 2019.1598787

- Seetharam, A., Gowda, J. and Halaswamy, J.H. 2003. "Small millets." In: Nucleus and Breeder Seed Production manual, eds Chowdhury SK, Lal SK, (New Delhi: Indian Agricultural Research Institute) 54–67.
- Sood, S., Khulbe, R., Saini, N., Gupta, A. and Agrawal, P.K. 2014. Research note interspecific hybrid between *Echinochloa esculenta* (Japanese barnyard millet) and *E. frumentacea* (Indian barnyard millet) – A new avenue for genetic enhancement of barnyard millet. *Electron J Plant Breed.* 5: 248–253.
- Sood, S., Khulbe, R., Saini, N., Gupta, A. and Agrawal, P.K. 2015. Research note interspecific hybrid between *Echinochloa esculenta* (Japanese barnyard millet) and *E. frumentacea* (Indian barnyard millet) – A new avenue for genetic enhancement of barnyard millet. *Electron J Plant Breed.* 5: 248–253.
- Upadhyaya, H.D., Dwivedi, S.L., Singh, S.K., Singh, S., Vetriventhan, M. and Sharma, S. 2014. Forming core collections in barnyard, kodo, and little millets using morphoagronomic descriptors. *Crop Sci.* 54: 2673–82.
- Upadhyaya, H.D., Dwivedi, S.L., Singh, S.K., Singh, S., Vetriventhan, M. and Sharma, S. 2014. Forming core collections in barnyard, kodo, and little millets using morphoagronomic descriptors. *Crop Sci.* 54: 2673–82.

Varshney, R.K., Glaszmann, J.C., Leung, H. and Ribaut,

J.M. 2010. More genomic resources for less-studied crops. *Trends Biotechnol*. 28:452–460. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2010.06.007

- Wallace, G.J., Upadhyaya, H., Vetriventhan, M., Buckler, E., Hash Charles, J. and Ramu, P. 2015. The genetic makeup of a global barnyard millet germplasm collection. *Plant Genome*. 8: 01-07. doi: 10.3835/ plantgenome2014.10.0067
- Yabuno, T. 1962. Cytotaxonomic studies on the two cultivated species and the wild relatives in the genus *Echinochloa*. *Cytologia*. 27: 296–305. doi: 10.1508/ cytologia.27.296
- Yamaguchi, H., Utano, A.Y.A., Yasuda, K., Yano, A. and Soejima, A. 2005. A molecular phylogeny of wild and cultivated *Echinochloa* in East Asia inferred from non-coding region sequences of trn T-L-F. *Weed Biol Manag*. 5: 210–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1445-6664.2005.00185.x
- Ye, C.Y., Lin, Z., Li, G., Wang, Y., Qiu, J. and Fu, F. 2014. Echinochloa chloroplast genomes: insights into the evolution and taxonomic identification of two weedy species. *PLoS One*. 9:e113657. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0113657
- Zegada-Lizarazu, W. and Iijima, M. 2005. Deep root water uptake ability and water use efficiency of pearl millet in comparison to other millet species. *Plant Prod Sci.* 8: 454–460. doi: 10.1626/pps.8.454.