

Assessment of water quality index for Shivnath river in Durg, Chhattisgarh State, India

Sukhpreet Kaur Bhatia¹, and Sumita Nair^{2*}

^{1,2} *B. I. T. Durg, Chhattisgarh, India*

(Received 2 April, 2020; Accepted 10 May, 2020)

ABSTRACT

The present work is aimed at assessing the water quality index (WQI) for Shivnath River, one of the major tributaries of Mahanadi River of Durg, Chhattisgarh. This enables us to assess whether the quality of water is fit for drinking, irrigation and industrial use or not. For this study samples were collected for 2017 and 2018 in all three seasons and subjecting the samples to a comprehensive physicochemical analysis. For calculating the WQI, the following parameters have been considered: pH, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, bicarbonate, chloride, nitrate, total dissolved solids. The WQI for these samples ranges from 29.12 to 91.62 in three seasons of two years. The high value of WQI has been found to be mainly for the Pre Monsoon season with deteriorating river water quality and this value decreases (good water quality) in Monsoon season where dilution and changing weather condition may be the reason. The results of analyses have been used to suggest models for predicting water quality. The analysis reveals that the river water of the area needs some degree of treatment before consumption, and it also needs to be protected from the perils of contamination.

Key words : River water, Water quality standards, Water quality index, Shivnath River, Durg, India

Introduction

Rivers play important role in sustaining life in the environment. But natural water reservoirs are never chemically pure. Water quality index is one of the most effective tools (Mishra *et al.*, 2001; Naik *et al.*, 2001; Tiwari *et al.*, 1985) to communicate information on the quality of water to the concerned citizens and policy makers. It, thus, becomes an important parameter for the assessment and management of water quality. WQI is calculated from the point of view of the suitability of water for human consumption. The objective of the present work is to discuss the suitability of surface water for human consumption based on computed water quality index values. The objective of the present work is to discuss the

suitability of Shivnath river water for human consumption based on WQI calculated by weighted index method.

Methodology

Study Area

Shivnath river is one of the longest tributary of the River Mahanadi. It has its join in Khargahni in Bilaspur District in Chhattisgarh India. It has a total course of 290 k.m. Shivnath flows through the District of Rajnandgaon, Durg, and Janjgir- Champa these are the main stations at the Bank of Shivnath River (Sharadha Vaishnav *et al.*, 2017). Several small and Big Cities including Rajnandgaon, twin city of

1. Research Scholar, 2. Associate Professor

Durg-Bhilai all depend on the Shivnath River water to satisfy the thirst of growth, industries, agriculture and potable water. The River water quality is getting deteriorated due to various human activities like discharge of industrial effluents (Islam *et al.*, 2015; Puttaiah *et al.*, 2008).

Sampling and Analysis

The sampling was conducted in two consecutive years i.e. 2017 and 2018. The samples were collected for all the three seasons (i.e. Pre Monsoon, Monsoon and Post Monsoon). Samples were collected in duplicate from Shivnath river as per standard procedures. Several Physiochemical parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, and Alkalinity were monitored at the sampling site and other parameters like total dissolved solid, calcium, magnesium, total hardness were analyzed in the laboratory as per the standard procedures of APHA (1995). For the analysis of different parameters systronics conductometer and digital systronics pH meter were used for the determination of pH and conductivity. All the parameters were analyzed in duplicate.

Water Quality Index

TO design WQI method is one of the most effective tools to designate the quality of water that offer a simple, reproducible and effective means to express the quality of water. It is defined as a rating reflecting the composite influence of different water quality parameters (Ramkrishnah *et al.*, 2009). WQI is calculated from the point of view of to determine the suitability of ground water for drinking purpose. (Ramkrishnah *et al.*, 2009). In general, water quality indices incorporate data from multiple water quality parameters into a mathematical equation that rates the health of a waterbody with number (Yogendra *et al.*, 2009).

Calculation of water quality index

In this study for the determining of Water Quality Index seven water quality parameters were chosen. Water quality index provides a single number that express the water quality status in determine the suitability for drinking purpose. For the calculation of WQI using the standards of drinking water quality recommended by the world health organization (WHO), Indian council of medical research (ICMR) and Bureau of Indian standard (BIS). The quality of water is obtained in terms of weighted arithmetic

index has been used for the calculation of WQI of the water body. Quality Rating (qi) was calculated for each parameter by using the following expression:

$$Q_i = \frac{(V_{\text{actual}} - V_{\text{ideal}})}{(V_{\text{standard}} - V_{\text{ideal}})} \times 100$$

Where, Q_i = Quality rating of i th parameter for a total of n water quality parameters. V_{actual} = Actual value of the water quality parameter obtained from laboratory analysis V_{ideal} = ideal value of that quality parameter can be obtained from the standard tables.

Videal for pH = 7 and for other parameters it is equating to zero V_{standard} = Recommended WHO standard of the water quality parameter.

Calculation of Unit weight (Wi)

Unit weight was calculated by a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard (S_i) for the corresponding parameter using the following expression

Results and Discussion

Water quality Index (WQI) of the Shivnath River water is calculated from different physicochemical parameters in three seasons of 2017 and 2018. The results were compared with the water quality index and status of water quality (Table 1). Table 2 shows the values of different parameters in all the three season and for two years. Season and Year wise calculation of WQI is presented in Table 3, 4, 5 and 6. It is clear from table 6 that with higher values of WQI in Pre Monsoon season in both the years supports the poor and very poor water quality which improves in Monsoon and post Monsoon season to good water quality status (Chatterji and Raziuddin, 2002).

Table 1. Water Quality Index (WQI) and status of Water Quality (Chatterji and Raziuddin, 2002)

| Water Quality Index Level | Water Quality Status |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 – 25 | Excellent water quality |
| 26 -50 | Good water quality |
| 51 -75 | Poor water quality |
| 76 – 100 | Very Poor water quality |
| > 100 | Unsuitable for drinking |

Table 2. Seasonal variation of the physiochemical parameter of the river (values are in ppm)

| S. No. | Parameter | Standard Value | 2017 | | | 2018 | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| | | | Pre Monsoon | Monsoon | Post Monsoon | Pre Monsoon | Monsoon | Post Monsoon |
| 1. | pH | 8.5 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 6 | 7.2 | 6.82 | 5.9 |
| 2. | EC $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$ | 300 | 1.23 | 52.8 | 23.2 | 2.82 | 0.729 | 0.932 |
| 3. | TDS | 500 | 615 | 2640 | 1160 | 1410 | 365 | 466 |
| 4. | TH | 300 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 130 | 125 | 225 |
| 5. | Ca | 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 80 | 190 |
| 6. | Mg | 30 | 60 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 35 |
| 7. | Alkalinity | 120 | 150 | 100 | 110 | 180 | 150 | 220 |
| 8. | Nitrate | 45 | 0.18 | 0.69 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.41 |
| 9. | Chloride | 250 | 28 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 33 |
| Water Quality Index | | 59.38 | 29.12 | 23.69 | 91.62 | 29.72 | 29.75 | |

Table 3. Calculation of Water Quality Index in Pre Monsoon Season

| S. No. | Parameter | 2017 | | | | 2018 | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|----------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|---------|--------|
| | | Obseved Value | WI | QI | WiQi | Obseved Value | WI | QI | WiQi |
| 1. | pH | 7.5 | 0.117647 | 88.235 | 10.380 | 7.2 | 0.117647 | 84.7059 | 9.9654 |
| 2. | EC | 1.23 | 0.003333 | 0.41 | 0.001366 | 2.82 | 0.003333 | 0.94 | 0.0031 |
| 3. | TDS | 615 | 0.002 | 123 | 0.246 | 1410 | 0.002 | 282.00 | 0.5640 |
| 4. | <i>Alkalinity</i> | 160 | 0.003333 | 53.333 | 0.177758 | 130 | 0.003333 | 43.333 | 0.1444 |
| 5. | Ca | 100 | 0.01333 | 133.33 | 1.77728 | 110 | 0.01333 | 46.66 | 1.9551 |
| 6. | Mg | 60 | 0.03333 | 200 | 6.666 | 20 | 0.03333 | 66.666 | 2.2220 |
| 7. | Alkalinity | 150 | 0.008333 | 125 | 1.0416 | 180 | 0.008333 | 150 | 1.2500 |
| 8. | Nitrate | 0.18 | 0.0412 | 0.4 | 0.01648 | 0.45 | 0.0412 | 1.00 | 0.4120 |
| 9. | Chloride | 28 | 0.0074 | 11.2 | 0.08288 | 32 | 0.0074 | 1.28 | 0.0947 |

Table 4. Calculation of Water Quality Index in Monsoon Season

| S. No. | Parameter | 2017 | | | | 2018 | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|--------|---------|
| | | Obseved Value | WI | QI | WiQi | Obseved Value | WI | QI | WiQi |
| 1. | pH | 6.2 | 0.117647 | 72.9412 | 8.5813 | 6.82 | 0.117647 | 80.24 | 9.439 |
| 2. | EC | 52.8 | 0.003333 | 17.6000 | 0.0587 | 0.729 | 0.003333 | 0.24 | 0.00079 |
| 3. | TDS | 2640 | 0.002 | 528.00 | 1.0560 | 365 | 0.002 | 73 | 0.1460 |
| 4. | <i>Alkalinity</i> | 140 | 0.003333 | 46.667 | 0.1555 | 125 | 0.003333 | 41.67 | 1.1388 |
| 5. | Ca | 100 | 0.01333 | 133.333 | 1.7773 | 80 | 0.01333 | 106.67 | 1.4218 |
| 6. | Mg | 40 | 0.03333 | 133.33 | 4.4440 | 45 | 0.03333 | 150 | 4.9995 |
| 7. | Alkalinity | 100 | 0.008333 | 83.333 | 0.6949 | 150 | 0.008333 | 125 | 1.0416 |
| 8. | Nitrate | 0.69 | 0.0412 | 1.53 | 0.63173 | 0.38 | 0.0412 | 0.84 | 0.3479 |
| 9. | Chloride | 32 | 0.0074 | 12.8 | 0.09472 | 34 | 0.0074 | 13.6 | 0.10064 |

Correlation study of the obtained data is also shown in Table 7, which shows the significant negative correlation of pH with Nitrate and Chloride concentration while both are significantly positively correlated with EC. The Regression analysis of the data is also shown in Table 8. The regression analysis is carried out between the WQI and the different

parameters of water sample. In 2017 the pH and Nitrate values are showing higher values of R square while in 2018 Nitrate and EC having R Square value higher than 70%, which indicate that in these cases both (studied parameter and WQI) dependent and independent variables are in line. Hence change in one variable will definitely has impact on another variable.

Table 5. Calculation of Water Quality Index in Post Monsoon Season

| S. No. | Parameter | 2017 | | | | 2018 | | | |
|--------|------------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | Observed Value | WI | QI | WiQi | Observed Value | WI | QI | WiQi |
| 1. | pH | 6 | 0.117647 | 70.5882 | 8.30450 | 5.9 | 0.117647 | 69.4117 | 8.1661 |
| 2. | EC | 23.2 | 0.003333 | 7.7333 | 0.02578 | 0.932 | 0.003333 | 0.310667 | 0.0010 |
| 3. | TDS | 1160 | 0.002 | 2320.00 | 4.6400 | 466 | 0.002 | 93.2 | 0.1864 |
| 4. | Alkalinity | 120 | 0.003333 | 40.00 | 0.1333 | 225 | 0.003333 | 75 | 0.2500 |
| 5. | Ca | 100 | 0.01333 | 133.33 | 1.7777 | 190 | 0.01333 | 253.33 | 3.3778 |
| 6. | Mg | 20 | 0.03333 | 66.6667 | 2.2222 | 35 | 0.03333 | 116.6667 | 3.8889 |
| 7. | Alkalinity | 110 | 0.008333 | 91.667 | 0.76389 | 220 | 0.008333 | 183.33 | 1.5278 |
| 8. | Nitrate | 0.49 | 0.0412 | 1.09 | 0.44862 | 0.41 | 0.0412 | 0.91 | 0.37538 |
| 9. | Chloride | 30 | 0.0074 | 12 | 0.0888 | 33 | 0.0074 | 13.2 | 0.09768 |

Table 6. WQI of the River Shivnath

| Year | Pre Monsoon | Monsoon | Post Monsoon | Mean |
|------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------|
| 2017 | 59.38 | 29.12 | 23.69 | 37.40 |
| 2018 | 91.62 | 29.72 | 29.75 | 50.36 |
| Mean | 75.51 | 29.42 | 26.72 | 43.88 |

Table 7. Correlation study

| | pH | EC | TDS | Alkalinity | Ca | Mg | Hardness | Nitrate | Chloride |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| PH | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| EC | -0.7439 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| TDS | -0.73167 | 0.088742 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity | -0.82857 | 0.990541 | 0.22458 | 1 | | | | | |
| Ca | 0.599655 | -0.98089 | 0.106757 | -0.94491 | 1 | | | | |
| Mg | 0.830589 | -0.24572 | -0.98732 | -0.3764 | 0.052414 | 1 | | | |
| Hardness | 0.951347 | -0.91362 | -0.48604 | -0.96077 | 0.817057 | 0.61859 | 1 | | |
| Nitrate | -0.86642 | 0.978217 | 0.293573 | 0.997448 | -0.91913 | -0.44158 | -0.97812 | 1 | |
| Chloride | -0.79808 | 0.996371 | 0.173196 | 0.998625 | -0.96077 | -0.32733 | -0.94491 | 0.992336 | 1 |

Table 8. Regression analysis of some parameters, which have significant value of correlation with WQI of three seasons.

| Multiple R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | F | P-value |
|------------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| | | | 2017 | |
| pH | 0.999827 | 0.999654 | 0.999309 | 2891.829 |
| Nitrate | 0.85699 | 0.734432 | 0.468864 | 2.765514 |
| Chloride | 0.786741 | 0.618961 | 0.237923 | 1.624406 |
| EC | 0.731348 | 0.53487 | 0.069741 | 1.149939 |
| | | | 2018 | |
| pH | 0.725252 | 0.525991 | 0.051982 | 1.109664 |
| Nitrate | 0.904374 | 0.817892 | 0.635783 | 4.491239 |
| Chloride | 0.866235 | 0.750363 | 0.500727 | 3.005824 |
| EC | 0.996155 | 0.992325 | 0.984651 | 129.3014 |

Conclusion

Nevertheless, WQI for Pre monsoon season for both the years indicates the deteriorating pattern of wa-

ter quality of the river in this region. From the foregoing observation of physicochemical parameters and WQI calculations, it can be concluded that water quality of Shivnath River is deviating from good

quality to poor water quality status and care must be taken to control. Framing proper policies for maintaining the water quality status to good and very good level the assessment of WQI can be served as good tool.

References

- APHA. 1985. *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Waste*. 16th Ed. American public Health Association, Washington, DC. 1985, 197-1157.
- Agarwal, A. and Saxena, M. 2011. Assessment of Pollution by Physicochemical Water Parameters. *Advanced Applied Science Research*. 2(2) : 185-189.
- Bhaskar, M. and Dixit, A.K. 2013. Water quality appraisal of Hasdeo River at Korba in Chhattisgarh India. *IJSR*, ISSN: 2319-7064.
- Belorkar, S.A. 2010. Assessment of the Deterioration in Physicochemical and Microbiological Quality of Shivnath River Water in Durg District, India. *E-Journal of Chemistry* ISSN: 09734945.
- Bhanja and Ajoyku 2000. *Determination of water quality index*. pp. 84-85.
- Chatterjee, C. and Raziuddin, M. 2002. Determination of water quality index (WQI) of a degraded River in Asanol Industrial area, Raniganj, Burdwan, West Bengal. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*. 1(2) : 181-189.
- Islam, M.S., Ahmed, M.K., Raknuzzaman, Mamun, M. and M.H.A. Islam, M.K. 2015. Heavy metal pollution in surface water and sediment: preliminary assessment of an urban river in a developing country. *Ecological Indicators*. 48 : 282-291.
- Jomet Sebastian, K., Sadan and Yamakanamardi, M. 2013. Assessment of Water Quality Index of Cauvery and Kapila rivers and at their confluence. *International Journal of Lakes and Rivers*. ISSN 0973-4570 6(1) : 59-67.
- Kangabam, Rajiv Das, Bhoominathann, Kanagaraj Suganthi and Govindaraju Munisamay. 2017. Development of a water quality index (WQI) For the Loktak Lake India. *Applied Water Science*. 7 : 2907-2918.
- Mwanawoki, P.M., Devarajan, N. Niane, B., Ngelinkoto, P., Thevenon, F. Nlandu, J.W., Mpiana, P.T. Prabakar, Kand, Mubedi, J.I., Kabele, C.G., Wil di, W. and Pote, J. 2015. Trace Metal distributions in the sediments from river reservoir systems: Case of Congo River and Lake MaValle, Kinshasa (*Democratic Republic of Congo*), pp 586-597.
- Mishra, P. C. and Patel, R. K. 2001. *Indian J Environ Ecoplan*. 5(2) : 293-298.
- Naik, S. and Purohit, K. M. 2001. *Indian J Environ Ecoplan*. 5(2) : 397-402.
- Naik, S. and Purohit, K.M. 1998. Status of water quality at Bondamunda of Rourkela industrial Complex-Part-I: Physico-Chemical Parameters. *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*. 18 (5): 346-353.
- Puttaiah, E.T. and Kiran, B.R. 2008. Heavy metals transport in a sewage fed lake of Karnataka, India. *Proceedings of Taal*, PP 347-354.
- Ramakrishnaiah, C. R. and C. Ranganna, G. 2009. Assessment of Water quality Index for the Groundwater in Tumkur Tulka. Sadashivaiah rnataka State, India. *E-journal of Chemistry*. 6(2) : 523-530
- Singh, D. F. 1992. *Proc Acad Environ Biol*. 1(1) : 61-66.
- Sharad, K. Jain, Pushpendra K. Agarwal, Vijay, P. Singh. *Hydrology and Water Resources of India*. Books.google.com. Retrieved 8 October 2017.
- Singh, D.F. 1992. Studies on the water quality index of some major rivers of Pune. Maharashtra. *Proc Acad Environ Biol*. 1(1) : 61-66.
- Tiwari, T. N. and Mishra, M.A. 1985. *Indian J Environ Proc.*, 5 : 276-279.
- Tirkey Poonam, Bhattacharya Tanushree, Chakraborty Sukalyan 2013. Water quality indices important tools for water quality assessment: A review. *International Journal of Advances in Chemistry (IJAC)* 1: 1.
- Upadhyay, Anima and Chandrakala, M. 2017. Study of Physico-chemical Parameters to obtain WQI of Yamuna River Water New Delhi India. *International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering Management and Applied Sciences*. Volume VI, Issue VIS, JUNE 2017.
- Vaishnav, Shraddha, Sharma, Devyani, Saraf Ashish 2017. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Research Technology*. IJESRT ISSN : 2277-9655.
- Yogendra, K. and Puttaiah, E.T. 2008. Determination of Water Quality Index and Suitability of an Urban Waterbody in Shimoga Town, Karnataka. Sengupta, M. and Dalwani, R. (Editors).