DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/AJMBES.2022.v24i03.0012

COMPARISON BETWEEN THE BASAL AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF BORON AND PHYSIO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILINMAIZE

MUDIT TRIPATHI¹, NARENDRA SWAROOP², AND AKSHITA BARTHWAL^{*3}

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, (Naini Agricultural Institute) Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj 211 007, U.P., India

(Received 15 April, 2022; Accepted 26 May, 2022)

Key words: Maize, Basal, Foliar and Boron, etc.

Abstract– An experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season (July 2021 – Nov. 2021) which revealed after application of different levels NPK and boron applied through foliar application increases growth, yield of maize whereas through basal application the soil physical and chemical properties found to be best, in which maximum physical properties such as bulk density particle density % pore space water holding capacity varies from depth 0-15 cm to 15-30 cm was 1.305 Mg m⁻³ to 1.310 Mg m⁻³, 2.546 Mg m⁻³ to 2.548 Mg m⁻³ at 15-30 cm, 46.87 % at 0-15 cm and 44.50 % at, 39.62 % at 0-15 cm and 37.95 % at 15-30 cm, pH 6.90 at 0-15 cm and 6.92 at 15-30 cm, EC 0.278 dSm⁻¹ at 0-15 cm and 0.281 dSm⁻¹ at 15-30 cm, organic carbon 0.561 % at 0-15 cm and at 0.550 % at 15-30 cm, available nitrogen 332.45 kg ha⁻¹ at 0-15 cm and 325.22 kg ha⁻¹ at 15 -30 cm, available phosphorus 35.75 kg ha⁻¹ at 0-15 cm and 32.30 kg ha⁻¹ at 15 -30 cm, available potassium 221.46 kg ha⁻¹ at 0 -15 cm and 219.54 kg ha⁻¹ at 15-30 cm, available boron 0.85 mg kg⁻¹ at 0-15 cm and 0.73 mg kg⁻¹ at 15-30 cm with cost benefit ratio is 1: 1.83 best from T₁ [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron].

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a cereal crop, and it is called as "Queen of cereals" and "non-tillering plant". Maize is one of the three major World food crops, is recognized as the "golden food" because of its high grain yield and nutrition value and plays a very important role in the daily calorie intake of humans. Maize is the third most important crop in India after rice and wheat. In the World, India's ranks 5th in acreage and 8th in production of maize. Globally, total area of maize was 186.86 m ha, production 1078.56 Mt and in India area under maize cultivation is about 9.63 m ha, production 25.90 M t in 2016-17 (Zhong *et al.*, 2014).

In Uttar Pradesh, soil is 12% deficient in boron as it plays role in maize by stimulation of root and shoot development, early tassel and silk formation, movement of sugars from leaves to ears, pollen germination capacity, seed formation, storage of assimilates, better water use efficiency and drought tolerance. Boron can be used as soil and foliar applications on growing crop. Foliar application of Boron is believed to retain significant carbohydrate phloem mobility to flowering meristematic cell from either senescing leaves/bark. Thus, foliar spray of boron is not only a source to apply boron at a particular growth stage but also permits a rapidly acting action to mitigate the problem soon after the deficiency diagnosis (Gazala *et al.*, 2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences Prayagraj during Kharif season 2021, Variety sown was GA-85 for the experiment which lead in randomized block design with three levels of NPK (0, 50, 100 kg ha⁻¹), RDF and boron as basal

dose and boron as foliar spray. After harvesting the soil samples were taken upto 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth for the estimation of Physical properties include bulk density, partical density, pore space, and water holding capacity was done by 100 ml measuring cylinder and for Chemical properties include pH by digital pH meter, EC by digital EC meter, Organic carbon by wet oxidation method, available nitrogen by alkaline permanganate method, available phosphorus by photoelectric calorimetric method, available potassium by flame photometer method and available sulphur by turbiditmetric method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties of soil

The result of data depicted in Table 1showed that maximum bulk density (Mg m⁻³) of soil were found in treatment T_o which was at 0-15 cm (1.305 Mgm⁻³) and at 15-30 cm (1.310Mgm⁻³) followed by T_{s} at 0-15 cm (1.303Mgm⁻³) and at 15-30 cm (1.307Mgm⁻³) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T₁ which was at 0-15 cm (1.286 Mgm⁻³) and at 15-30 cm (1.289Mgm⁻³) respectively. The maximum particle density (Mg m⁻³) of soil were found in the treatment T_o[@ 100% RDF+ 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (2.526 Mgm⁻ ³) and at 15-30 cm (2.548Mgm⁻³) followed by T_{s} [@ 100% RDF+ 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (2.524 Mgm⁻³) and at 15-30 cm (2.546 Mgm⁻³) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T, which was at 0-15 cm (2.520 Mgm⁻³) and at 15-30 cm (2.528Mgm⁻³) respectively. The result maximum % pore space of soil was found in the treatment T_o [@ 100% RDF+ 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (42.87%) and at 15-30 cm (37.50%) followed byT [@ 100% RDF+ 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (41.49%)and at 15-30 cm (36.86%) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T_1 [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (38.32%) and at 15-30 cm (32.72%) respectively. Similar results were found by Kannan et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015 and Jayprakash et al., 2005.

The maximum Water holding capacity (%) of soil was found in treatment $T_9[@ 100\% RDF+5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (34.62%) and at 15-30 cm (36.95%) followed by <math>T_8[@ 100\% RDF+0.5\% B$ (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (31.42%) and at 15-30 cm (35.87%) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment $T_1[@ 0\% RDF + 0\%$

Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (30.57%) and at 15-30 cm (29.57%) respectively. similar findings reported by Motior Rahman *et al.*, (2005); Kumar *et al.*, (2015); Jayprakash *et al.*, (2005) and Kannan *et al.*, (2013).

Chemical properties of soil

The maximum pH of soil was found in the treatment T_o[@ 100% RDF+ 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (6.90) and at 15-30 cm (6.92) followed byT_o[@ 100% RDF+ 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (6.87) and at 15-30 cm (6.88) while the minimum pH values of the result were found in treatment $T_1 @ 0\%$ RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (6.71) and at 15-30 cm (6.73) respectively. The maximum EC (dSm⁻¹) of soil was found in treatment T₁[@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron]which was at 0-15 cm (0.268dSm⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (0.264dSm⁻¹) followed by T₂[@ 0% RDF+ 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (0.264dSm⁻¹)and at 15-30 cm (0.261dSm⁻¹) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T_o[@ 100% RDF+ 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (0.229dSm⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (0.224dSm⁻¹) respectively. Similar results recorded by Masih et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2015.

The maximum Organic Carbon (%) of soil was found in the treatment T_o[@ 100% RDF+ 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (0.561%) and at 15-30 cm (0.550 %) followed by T_s[@ 100% RDF+ 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (0.560%) and at 15-30 cm (0.549%) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T_1 @ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (0.553%) and at 15-30 cm (0.542%) respectively. The maximum Available Nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹) of soil was found in treatment T_o [@ 100% RDF + 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (265.45 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (225.22 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T_o [@ 100% RDF + 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (263.29 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (223.03 kg ha⁻¹) while the minimum values of the result were found in treatment T₁ [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (235.18 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (210.63 kg ha⁻¹) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Meena et al., 2018(ac); Gupta et al., 2018; Ruth et al., 2018 and Singh et al., 2017.

The maximum Available Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) of soil was found in treatment T₉ [@ 100% RDF + 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (27.41 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (25.53 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T₈ [@ 100% RDF + 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (27.29 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (25.40 kg ha⁻¹) while

Table 1.	Effect	of Borc	m as bé	asal and	d foliar	applic.	ation w	rith NPJ	K on Pl	nysico-	chemic	al prof	perties -	of soil	in maiz	e.						
Treatmen	t B der	ulk ısitv	Par der	ticle vsitv	Pc	ace	Wat	ter ng	pH (1 v/w	:2.5)	EC (dSm	(1-1	Organ carbo	nic n	Availa Nitrog	ible en	Availa	ble orus	Availal Potassi	ble ium	Availa boro	ble
	(Mg 0-15	m ⁻³) 15-30	(Μξ 0-15	m^{-3}	0-15	6) 15-30	capacit 0-15	ty (%) 15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	(%) 0-15	15-30	(kg hi 0-15	a ⁻¹) 15-30	(kg h 0-15	a ⁻¹) 15-30	(kg hí 0-15	a- ¹) 15-30 ((mg] 0-15	(g^{-1})
	cB	G	cB	cB	G	cB	cB	G	G	G	œ	œ	œ	G	G	cl	G	G	G	G	G	œ
T,	1.286	1.289	2.520	2.528	38.32	32.72	30.57	32.57	6.71	6.73	0.268	0.264	0.553	0.542	235.18 2	210.63	25.62	20.40 1	191.67 1	190.42	0.61	0.52
T,	1.288	1.291	2.521	2.530	40.62	34.24	31.18	32.28	6.74	6.77	0.264	0.261	0.554	0.543	238.20 2	212.76	25.71	20.25 1	192.69 1	191.72	0.63	0.54
Ţ,	1.289	1.293	2.522	2.533	41.85	36.18	32.42	34.74	6.76	6.79	0.259	0.256	0.555	0.544	242.51	213.36	25.75	21.11 1	193.87 1	191.92	0.65	0.55
T_{4}	1.291	1.296	2.520	2.535	39.44	33.28	31.89	34.42	6.78	6.80	0.253	0.250	0.556	0.545	247.72 2	215.74	26.61	22.57 1	195.92 1	193.34	0.70	0.59
Ţ,	1.293	1.299	2.522	2.538	40.50	37.42	33.72	32.08	6.81	6.82	0.248	0.244	0.557	0.546	250.54 2	216.56	26.71	22.12 1	196.38 1	195.28	0.73	0.60
T,	1.297	1.301	2.521	2.540	41.56	40.56	32.29	33.87	6.83	6.84	0.243	0.239	0.558	0.547	252.88 2	217.31	26.56	23.22 1	196.84 1	194.45	0.75	0.61
T_7	1.299	1.304	2.525	2.543	39.28	37.09	32.82	34.75	6.85	6.86	0.235	0.232	0.559	0.548	258.72	221.69	27.29	24.14 1	197.46 1	195.86	0.77	0.63
T,	1.303	1.307	2.524	2.546	41.49	36.86	31.42	35.87	6.87	6.88	0.231	0.227	0.560	0.549	263.29 2	223.03	27.29	25.40 1	199.07 1	193.78	0.77	0.64
T,	1.305	1.310	2.526	2.548	42.87	37.50	34.62	36.95	6.90	6.92	0.229	0.224	0.561	0.550	265.45 2	225.22	27.41	25.53 1	199.32 1	193.54	0.78	0.66
F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
SEm ±	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.74	0.61	4.14	5.11	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.10	0.01	4.72	4.57	2.03	1.57	3.12	4.12	0.08	0.12
CD (P =	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.36	0.35	2.36	3.87	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.04	0.05	2.20	2.40	0.98	0.68	1.01	1.99	0.03	0.05
0.05)																						

the minimum values of the result were found in the treatment T₁ [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (25.62 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (20.40 kg ha⁻¹) respectively.

The maximum Available Potassium (kg ha⁻¹) of soil was found in the treatment T_0 [@ 100% RDF + 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (199.32 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (193.54 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T_o [@ 100% RDF + 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (199.07 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (193.78 kg ha⁻¹) while the minimum values of the results were found in treatment T_1 [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (191.67 kg ha⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (190.42 kg ha⁻¹) respectively. The results were in agreement with findings by Gupta et al., (2018); Ruth et al., (2018) and Singh et al., (2017).

The Available Boron (mg kg⁻¹)of soil was found in the treatment T_{0} [@ 100% RDF + 5 kg Boron ha⁻¹ (basal dose)] which was at 0-15 cm (0.78mg kg⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (0.66mg kg⁻¹) followed by T_o [@ 100% RDF + 0.5% B (foliar spray)] at 0-15 cm (0.77mg kg-¹) and at 15-30 cm (0.64 mg kg⁻¹) while the minimum values of the result were found in the treatment T₁ [@ 0% RDF + 0% Boron] which was at 0-15 cm (0.61mg kg⁻¹) and at 15-30 cm (0.52mg kg⁻¹) respectively. Similar results were found by Islam et al., 2018.

CONCLUSION

In the present investigation, it was apparent that application of NPK and Boron as foliar spray and basal dose fertilizer in treatment T_{0} (@ 100%) RDF + 5 kg B ha⁻¹ (basal dose)) was found on physical and chemical parameters of soil such as bulk density, particle density, % pore space, water holding capacity, EC, pH, organic carbon, available N, P and K and boron than other treatment combinations. Thus it can be suggested that different levels of NPK and Boron fertilizer improved soil nutrient availablity as well improvised the morphological growth in maize and added contribution to the protein and carbohydrate concentration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Hon'ble Vice chancellor SHUATS, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agriculture Institute, for taking their keen interest and encouragement to carry out the research work.

REFERENCES

- Gazala, N., Sharma, U. and Kumar, P. 2016. Boron Its Importance in Crop Production, Status in Indian Soils and Crop Responses to its Application. *International Journal of Advanced Research*. 4(5): 654-660.
- Gupta, S., Swaroop, N., Thomas, T., Dawson, J. and Rao, 2018. Effects of different levels of Phosphorus and Zinc on physico-chemical properties of soil, growth and yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) *International Journal* of Chemical Studies. 6(3): 2105-2108.
- Islam, A., Raza, A., Akbar, N., Abbas, R. N. and Khan, H. Z. 2018. Integrated nitrogen management studies in forage maize. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci.* 14(8): 744-747.
- Jaypraksh, M. A. 2005. Response of maize to different micronutrients and their application methods. *Journal* of Animal and Plant Sci. 22(1): 122-129.
- Kannan, R.L., Divya, M., Abhinaya, D., Krishna, R.L. and Krishna Kumar, S. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility and productivity in maize. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Science.* 2(8): 61-67.
- Kumar, R., J. S. Bohra, Narendra, K. and Amitesh, K. S. 2015. Fodder yield, nutrient uptake and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by NPKS and Zn fertilization. *Res. on Crops.* 16(2): 243-249.
- Masih, A., Narendra, S., Parshottam, S., Vinod, P. and Yogesh, U. 2018. Effects of integrated nutrient

management on chemical properties of soil in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(1): 1097-1099.

- Meena, K.B., Alam, S., Singh, M.D.H., Bhat, M.A., Singh, A.K., Mishra, A.K. and Thomas, T. 2018ac. Influence of farmyard manure and fertilizers on soil properties and yield and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays L.*) *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 6(3): 386-390.
- Motior Rahman M., Abdou A. Soaud, Fareed H. AL Darwish Faruq Golam and M. Sofian- Azirun, 2005. Growth and nutrient uptake of maize plants as affected by elemental sulfur and nitrogen fertilizer in sandy calcareous soil. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 10(60): 12882-12889.
- Ruth N., Abigael, N., Otinga, John R., Okalebo, M. P. and Roel, M. 2018. Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Response to Secondary and Micronutrients for Profitable N, P and K Fertilizer Use in Poorly Responsive Soils. *Agronomy*, 8: 49.
- Singh, S. K., Dey, P., Sharma, P.K., Singh, Y.V., Latare, A.M., Singh, C.M., Deelip, K., Omkar, K., Yadav, S.N. and Varma, S.S. 2017. Primary and Cationic Micronutrient Status of Soils in Few Districts of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 64(4): 319-332.
- Zhong, Y., Chang, J., Yang, C. and Li, C. 2014. Effect of placement depth of phosphorus on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Food, Agri. & Environment.* 12(1): 251-254.